

July 19, 2006

Mr. F. G. Burford
Acting Director
Nuclear Safety and Licensing
Entergy Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213-8298

SUBJECT: ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNIT 2, AND JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR
POWER PLANT - APPLICATION TO USE EFFECTIVE DOSE EQUIVALENT
WEIGHTING FACTORS FOR EXTERNAL EXPOSURE (TAC NOS. MD1737
AND MD1738)

Dear Mr. Burford:

By letter dated May 16, 2006, Entergy Operations, Inc. and Entergy Nuclear Operating, Inc. (Entergy or the licensee) requested the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) approval for the use of the weighting factors provided in the American National Standard Institute (ANSI) HPS N13.41-1997 (the standard) for external radiation exposures when demonstrating compliance with total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), based on requirements in Part 20 of Title 10 to the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) for Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 (ANO-2), and James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF).

The NRC staff has completed its review. Enclosed is the NRC staff's related Safety Evaluation that concludes that your request for the use of the weighting factors provided in the standard for external radiation exposures when demonstrating compliance with TEDE, based on requirements in 10 CFR Part 20 for ANO-2 and JAF is acceptable.

F. G. Burford

-2-

Please contact me at (301) 415-1302 or Bhalchandra K. Vaidya at (301) 415-3308, if you have any questions on this matter.

Sincerely,

/RA/

David Terao, Chief
Plant Licensing Branch IV
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-368 and 50-333

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

F. G. Burford

-2-

Please contact me at (301) 415-1302 or Bhalchandra K. Vaidya at (301) 415-3308, if you have any questions on this matter.

Sincerely,

/RA/

David Terao, Chief
Plant Licensing Branch IV
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-368 and 50-333

Enclosure: Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	RidsAcrcsAcnwMailCenter	RidsNrrDorlLp11-1 (RLaufer)
LPLIV r/f	LPLI-1 r/f	RPedersen, DIRS
RidsNrrDorlLp14 (DTerao)	RidsNrrLALFeizollahi	RidsNrrLASLittle
RidsNrrPMBVaidya	RidsNrrPMDHolland	RidsNrrPMJBoska
RidsDorlDpr	JLamb (RIV)	BSosa (RI)
RidsRgn4MailCenter (DGraves)		RidsOgcRp
RidsRgn1MailCenter (ECobey)		SBernal, DIRS

ACCESSION NO: ML061870512

NRR-106

OFFICE	NRR/LPL4/PM	NRR/LPL4/LA	NRR/IHPB/BC	OGC	NRR/LPL4/BC
NAME	BVaidya	LFeizollahi	TFrey	MLemoncelli	DTerao
DATE	07/13/06	07/13/06	06/23/06	07/17/06	07/19/06

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO THE APPROVAL TO USE WEIGHTING FACTORS
FOR EXTERNAL RADIATION EXPOSURES
ENTERGY OPERATIONS, INC. AND ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATING, INC.
ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNIT 2, AND
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT
DOCKET NOS. 50-368 AND 50-333

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated May 16, 2006 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML061430359), Entergy Operations, Inc. and Entergy Nuclear Operating, Inc. (Entergy or the licensee) requested the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) approval for the use of the weighting factors provided in the American National Standard Institute (ANSI) HPS N13.41-1997 (the standard) for external radiation exposures when demonstrating compliance with total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), based on requirements in Part 20 to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) for Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 (ANO-2), and James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF). The effect of granting this request would be to allow the licensee the option to control TEDE using the weighted external exposure measurements in those cases where it is a more accurate predictor of the risk from occupational radiation exposure.

2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

The radiation protection approach and dose limits contained in 10 CFR Part 20 are based on the recommendations of the International Commission on Radiation Protection (ICRP) in their 1977 Publication No. 26 (ICRP 26). For stochastic effects, the ICRP's recommended dose limitation is based on the principle that the risk should be equal, whether the whole body is irradiated uniformly or there is non-uniform irradiation (such as when radioactive materials are taken into the body and, depending on their physical and chemical properties, concentrate in certain tissues and organs). Therefore, the ICRP 26 recommendations are based on controlling the sum of the risk weighted doses to selected organs.

Effective dose equivalent (EDE or H_E) is defined in 10 CFR 20.1003 as "the sum of the products of the dose equivalent to the organ or tissue (H_T) and the weighting factors (W_T) applicable to each of the body organs or tissues that are irradiated ($H_E = \sum W_T H_T$)."

For the purposes of implementing workplace controls, and due to the difference in dosimetry, 10 CFR Part 20 breaks this EDE into two components: (1) dose resulting from radioactive sources internal to the body, and (2) dose resulting from sources external to the body. Dose limits and other requirements in Part 20 are based on the sum of these external and internal exposures. For radioactive material taken into the body, the occupational dose limit is based on the resulting dose equivalent integrated over 50 years, or committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE) defined in 10 CFR 20.1003 as $\sum W_T H_{T,50}$.

The TEDE is defined in 10 CFR 20.1003 as the “sum of the deep-dose equivalent (DDE) (for external exposures) and the committed effective dose equivalent (for internal exposures).”

$$\text{TEDE} = \text{DDE} + \text{CEDE}$$

The organ weighting factors (W_T) are the proportion of the risk of stochastic effects resulting from the dose to that organ or tissue to the total risk of stochastic effects when the whole body is irradiated uniformly. The weighting factors are applicable to the organs and tissues whether the dose results from radiation sources internal or external to the body. However, measuring the dose to the various organs and tissues with a dosimeter worn outside the body, presents some practical difficulties. If the body is irradiated uniformly, the external component of TEDE can be determined with a single DDE measurement on any part of the whole body. However, if the body is not irradiated uniformly, a single-dose measurement cannot determine the dose to the various organs and tissues for an accurate determination of the external EDE (EDE_{ex}). To ensure a conservative determination of TEDE, 10 CFR 20.1201(c) requires that the DDE component be determined from the part of the whole body receiving the highest exposure.

Authority to permit use of other weighting factors is found in footnote 2 to the table of organ weighting factors in 10 CFR 20.1003 states, “[f]or the purpose of weighting the external whole body dose (for adding to the internal dose), a single weighting factor, of $W_T = 1.0$, has been specified. The use of other weighting factors for external exposure will be approved on a case- by-case basis until such time as specific guidance is issued.”

This approach to determining TEDE can be overly conservative for extremely non-uniform irradiations (i.e., when only a small portion of the whole body is irradiated). As discussed in the NRC Regulatory Issue Summaries 2002-06, 2003-04, and 2004-01, the NRC has approved several methods for determining EDE_{ex} , and has encouraged the use of EDE_{ex} in place of DDE for demonstrating compliance with the TEDE requirements in 10 CFR Part 20.

3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

3.1 Evaluation

The NRC staff has reviewed the technical approach for estimating EDE_{ex} provided in the standard. This multiple dosimetry method divides the whole body into seven separate compartments. Each compartment, or composite compartment (since the standard allows combining adjacent compartments), is monitored separately. The results of the dose

measurement for each compartment are weighted with an associated “compartment factor.” The resulting weighted doses are then summed to determine the EDE_{ex} for the whole body. The compartment factors are listed in Table 1 of the standard. The factor for each compartment was developed by summing the stochastic weighting factors given in ICRP 26 (Part 20 organ weighting factors) for all the organs located within that compartment. For each tissue that resides in more than one compartment (e.g., red bone marrow), the weighting factor was apportioned between the compartments based on the fraction of the total mass of the tissue residing in each, using the information in ICRP 23.

The ANSI/HPS 13.41 multiple dosimeter method of determining EDE_{ex} is based on the assumptions that (1) the average dose to the tissues in each compartment can be reasonably measured (with one or more dosimeters), and (2) that the dose distribution across the compartment is sufficiently constant so that this average dose can be applied to each tissue in the compartment. The compartments defined in the standard are small enough so that under most normal exposure situations these assumptions are met and a single determination of DDE in each compartment is sufficient. However, this may not be the case in those unusual situations where a significant dose gradient exists across one or more compartments (particularly the thorax and abdomen compartments). In these cases, the number and placement of dosimeters in each compartment become critical to ensuring that the EDE_{ex} is not underestimated.

To ensure that the estimates of EDE_{ex} are conservative, the licensee has committed to measuring the dose to each compartment (or composite compartment) by locating the dosimeter, calibrated to DDE, at the highest exposed portion of that compartment. The dosimeter location for each compartment will be subject to the same criteria currently used for demonstrating compliance with 10 CFR 20.1201(c).

The licensee has stated that in most normal exposure situations they intend to provide one dosimeter for a combined thorax-abdomen composite compartment, consistent with the standard and their current multi-badging practice.

3.2 Regulatory Commitments

The following table identifies the regulatory commitments made by Entergy.

List of Regulatory Commitments

COMMITMENT	TYPE (Check one)		SCHEDULED COMPLETION DATE (If Required)
	ONE- TIME ACTION	CONTINUING COMPLIANCE	
Entergy will monitor the part of the whole body within each compartment (and/or composite compartment) that receives the highest dose. Entergy is developing a fleet wide Nuclear Management Manual procedure EN-RP-204, "Special Monitoring Requirements," for determining dosimeter selection and placement. This procedure guidance will be consistent with that found in NRC Inspection Procedure 71121.01, issue date 03/06/02.		x	
Based on the NRC's approval of this request, Entergy will account for dose consistent with the guidance of the standard as follows: The DDE for each compartment will be determined from dosimeters worn at that location. When no dosimeter is worn at a particular compartment, the DDE will be determined from the dosimeter positioned where the exposure is judged to be similar. The assigned EDE will be the sum of each DDE measurement multiplied by its appropriate compartment factor. The assigned lens dose equivalent (LDE) will be the higher of the head or chest dosimeters. The assigned shallow dose equivalent (SDE) will be the highest of any whole body dosimeter.		x	

The NRC staff finds that reasonable controls for the implementation and for subsequent evaluation of proposed changes pertaining to the above regulatory commitments are provided by the licensee's administrative processes, including its commitment management program. Should the licensee choose to incorporate a regulatory commitment into the emergency plan, Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, or other documents with established regulatory controls,

the associated regulations would define the appropriate change-control and reporting requirements. The NRC staff has determined that the commitments do not warrant the creation of regulatory requirements, which would require prior NRC approval of subsequent changes. The NRC staff has agreed that Nuclear Energy Institute 99-04, Revision 0, "Guidelines for Managing NRC Commitment Changes," provides reasonable guidance for the control of regulatory commitments made to the NRC staff (see Regulatory Issue Summary 2000-17, "Managing Regulatory Commitments Made by Power Reactor Licensees to the NRC Staff," dated September 21, 2000). The commitments should be controlled in accordance with industry guidance or comparable criteria employed by a specific licensee. The NRC staff may choose to verify the implementation and maintenance of these commitments in a future inspection or audit.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The NRC staff concludes that calculating TEDE using EDE_{ex} in place of DDE provides a more accurate estimate of the risk associated with the radiation exposures experienced by radiation workers at a nuclear power plant. The NRC staff finds that limiting TEDE such that

$$EDE_{ex} + CEDE < 5 \text{ rem}$$

is consistent with the basis for the dose limits, and footnote 2 to the "Organ Dose Weighting Factors" table in 10 CFR Part 20.1003.

Additionally, the NRC staff concludes that the multiple dosimetry method to estimate EDE_{ex} using the weighting factors listed in Table 1 of the standard, as proposed by the licensee, is technically sound and is acceptable for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with the TEDE-based requirements in 10 CFR Part 20.

Therefore, based on the above discussion and the information provided by Entergy in its submittal, the NRC staff approves the use of the weighting factors provided in the ANSI HPS N13.41-1997 (the standard) for external radiation exposures when demonstrating compliance with total effective dose equivalent (TEDE), based on requirements in 10 CFR Part 20 for ANO-2 and JAF.

Principal Contributor: R. Pedersen

Date: July 19, 2006

Arkansas Nuclear One
cc:

Senior Vice President
& Chief Operating Officer
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P. O. Box 31995
Jackson, MS 39286-1995

Director, Division of Radiation
Control and Emergency Management
Arkansas Department of Health
4815 West Markham Street, Slot 30
Little Rock, AR 72205-3867

Winston & Strawn
1700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-3817

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P. O. Box 310
London, AR 72847

Regional Administrator, Region IV
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

County Judge of Pope County
Pope County Courthouse
Russellville, AR 72801

Vice President, Operations Support
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P. O. Box 31995
Jackson, MS 39286-1995

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway
P. O. Box 651
Jackson, MS 39205

Mr. Jeffrey S. Forbes
Site Vice President
Arkansas Nuclear One
Entergy Operations, Inc.
1448 S. R. 333
Russellville, AR 72801

September 2005

FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

cc:

Mr. Gary J. Taylor
Chief Executive Officer
Entergy Operations, Inc.
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213

Mr. John T. Herron
Sr. VP and Chief Operating Officer
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Peter T. Dietrich
Site Vice President
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 110
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Kevin J. Mulligan
General Manager, Plant Operations
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 110
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. Oscar Limpas
Vice President Engineering
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Christopher Schwarz
Vice President, Operations Support
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. John F. McCann
Director, Licensing
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Ms. Charlene D. Faison
Manager, Licensing
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Michael J. Colomb
Director of Oversight
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. David Wallace
Director, Nuclear Safety Assurance
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 110
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. James Costedio
Manager, Regulatory Compliance
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 110
Lycoming, NY 13093

Assistant General Counsel
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Resident Inspector's Office
James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 136
Lycoming, NY 13093

FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

cc:

Mr. Charles Donaldson, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
New York Department of Law
120 Broadway
New York, NY 10271

Mr. Peter R. Smith, President
New York State Energy, Research,
and Development Authority
17 Columbia Circle
Albany, NY 12203-6399

Mr. Paul Eddy
New York State Dept. of Public Service
3 Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223-1350

Oswego County Administrator
Mr. Steven Lyman
46 East Bridge Street
Oswego, NY 13126

Supervisor
Town of Scriba
Route 8, Box 382
Oswego, NY 13126

Mr. James H. Snizek
BWR SRC Consultant
5486 Nithsdale Drive
Salisbury, MD 21801-2490

Mr. Michael D. Lyster
BWR SRC Consultant
5931 Barclay Lane
Naples, FL 34110-7306

Mr. Garrett D. Edwards
814 Waverly Road
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Mr. Michael Kansler
President
Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.
440 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, NY 10601