Robert A. Saccone Vice President – Nuclear Operations PPL Susquehanna, LLC 769 Salem Boulevard Berwick, PA 18603 Tel. 570.542.3698 Fax 570.542.1504 rasaccone@pplweb.com



JUN 14 2006

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Mail Stop O-P1-17 Washington, DC 20555-0001

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 50-388/2006-02-00 LICENSE NO. NPF-22 PLA-6061

Docket No. 50-388

Attached is Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-388/2006-02-00. This event was determined to be reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

As part of a cause investigation for a late entry into TS LCO 3.8.1 for Unit 2, on April 19, 2006, it was identified that a reportable event had occurred during the 2004 Unit 1 refueling outage. On March 6, 2004, the Unit 1 4.16kV ESS 1A Bus was being tested in accordance with plant procedures. Unit 1 busses supply electrical power to common systems which impact Unit 2 safety systems and require entry into LCO 3.8.1 and 3.8.7 for Unit 2. However, when the ESS 1A Bus was de-energized, only LCO 3.8.7 was entered. Entry into Unit 2 LCO 3.8.1, Conditions A, B and D was missed, resulting in Required Actions A.1 and B.1 not being performed within 1 hour and the mandated time for Unit 2 to be in Mode 3 being exceeded. Because no loss of safety function occurred, there was no impact to the power required to operate safety-related loads necessary to cool the reactor core, maintain containment integrity, and support other vital functions in the event of a postulated accident in one reactor unit, while safely shutting down the unaffected unit.

This event resulted in no actual adverse consequences to the health and safety of the public. No commitments are associated with this LER.

Robert Saccone Vice President – Nuclear Operations

Attachment

TE22

Mr. S. Collins Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

cc:

Mr. A. J. Blamey Sr. Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 35 Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Mr. R. Osborne Allegheny Electric Cooperative P. O. Box 1266 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

Mr. R. R. Janati Bureau of Radiation Protection Rachel Carson State Office Building P. O. Box 8469 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

¢.													
NRC FO	NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06-30-2007							
(6-2004)	(6-2004) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)						Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory information collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulator						
	(Se	e reverse for I	required	number of	,		infoc	oliects@ni s NFOB-	rc.gov, and to the Desk Off 10202 (3150-0104) Office (cer, Office	e of Information	n and Regulaton	
	ď	igits/character	s for eac	h block)			DC 2 curre perso	0503. If ntly valid on is not re	a means used to impose ar OMB control number, the h quired to respond to, the info	Informati IRC may rmation co	on collection de not conduct of pllection.	oes not display a r sponsor, and a	
1. FACILI	YNAME						2. D	OCKET N	UMBER	3. PA	GE		
S	usquehan	na Steam	Elect	ric Station - l	Jnit	2		05000	388		1 OF	4	
4. TITLE	м	issed Tec	hnical	Specification		0381	Entr	/ for Un	it 2 During Unit 1 ES	SBus	Testina		
5. EVENT DATE			6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER	FACILIT	FACILITIES INVOLVED		
				SEQUENTIAL	REV				FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		05	5000 <u>-</u>		
04	19	2006	06	- 02 -	00	06	14	2006	PAGIEITTINAME	DOCK			
9. OPE	RATING	-		11.THIS REPOR	<u> 15 אד וא</u>	SUBMITTE	D PUR	SUANT T		10 CFR §	: (Check all the	at apply)	
MC	DE	5	20.2201(b) 20.220 20.2201(d) 20.220 20.2203(a)(1) 50.36(20.2203(a)(2)(i) 50.36(20.2203	a)(3)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	50	50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)			
10. PC	DWER	0			20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50	$\frac{.73(a)(2)(x)}{.71(a)(4)}$			
ana nan					50.36(c)((1)(i)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	73	.71(a)(4)			
			20	.2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(c)(2)	1	50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	01	THER		
			20	.2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.46(a)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	Sp	ecify in Abstra	act below or in	
			20	.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<u> </u>	50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)		IC FORM 366A	4	
				2203(a)(2)(v)	3(a)(2)(v) X 50.73(a)()	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	-			
			20.2203(a)(2)(v) $50.73(a)(2)20.2203(a)(3)(i)$ $50.73(a)(2)$				2)(i)(C 2)(ii)(A	$\frac{(i)(C)}{(ii)(A)} = \frac{50.73(a)(2)(vii)(A)}{50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)}$					
				12.	LICE	ENSEE CO	NTAC	T FOR T	HIS LER		······		
FACILITY N	AME							TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)					
Brenda W. O'Rourke, Senior Engineer							570-542-1791						
		<u>13. CON</u>	IPLETE	ONE LINE FO	REA	ACH COM	PONE	NT FAILI	JRE DESCRIBED IN THI	S REPOI	RT	1	
CAUSE	SYST	ЕМ СОМ	PONENT	MANU- FACTURER	REF	ORTABLE		CAUSE	SYSTEM COMPO	DNENT	MANU- FA CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	
l	. 1	4. SUPPLE	MENT	AL REPORT EX	PEC	TED	-		15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION	MONT		YEAR	
YES	(If yes, con	nplete 15. EX	(PECTE	ED SUBMISSIO	N DA	<u>ATE) X</u>			DATE				
16. ABSTR As part identifie the Unit de-ener systems operato Entry in not beir	ACT (Limin of a caus d that a i 1 4.16k ¹ gized an s which ir r logs for to Unit 2 ig perforr	to 1400 spa se investi reportable V ESS 14 d LCO 3. mpact Un March 6 LCO 3.8 med withi	aces, i.e gation e even A Bus 8.7 w it 2 sa it 2 sa , 2004 .1, Co n 1 h	n regarding n regarding nt had occu was being as entered afety systen 4, identified onditions A, our and the	a la rrec test for ns a tha B a ma	single-space ate entry d during ted in ac Unit 2. and requ and requ and D wa andated	v into the ccord Unit uire e CO s as m time	TS LC 2004 U 2004 U 200	<i>lines)</i> CO 3.8.1 for Unit 2, Jnit 1 refueling out with plant procedur ses supply electrica to LCO 3.8.1 and 3 vas entered when the resulting in Requir it 2 to be in Mode 3	on Ap age. C es. Th al powe 3.8.7. ne bus ed Act 3 being	ril 19, 200 On March 6 De ESS 1A Er to comm A review 6 was de-e ions A.1 a g exceede	06, it was 6, 2004, A Bus was- non of nergized. ind B.1 d.	
The app inaccur Unit 1 E	barent ca ate interp SS Bus	use for the for the station leader to be the station of the station of the station of the statement of the s	nis ev cy pla	ent was attr nt personne	ibu əl re	ted to co egarding	onfus g whi	sing laı ch LC(nguage in TS 3.8.1 Ds were required to	which be er	resulted intered to s	in an support	
Becaus safety-r vital fur unaffec	e no loss elated los ctions in ted unit.	of safety ads nece the even	r func ssary t of a	tion occurre to cool the postulated	ed, t rea acc	there wa actor cor bident in	as no re, m one	impac aintain reacto	ct to the power require containment integ r unit, while safely	uired to rity, an shuttin	o operate id support ig down th	other ie	
Interim during t will revis Bus tes	compens ne remain se TS 3.8 ting.	atory meaning Unit and/or	asure: 1 ESS Base	s were estat S Bus testing s to provide	olish g th cla	ned to cl at was b rity and	early being remo	r state v performove inte	which TS LCOs we med during the Unit erpretation on LCO	re requ 1 refu applica	iired to be eling outag ability durir	entered ge. PPL ng ESS	

-

T T

ŕ

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	6	5. LER NUMBER		3. PAGE		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION			
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station - Unit 2	05000388	2006	- 002 -	00	2 of 4		

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NHC Form 366A)

CONDITIONS PRIOR TO EVENT

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Unit 1, Mode 5, Refueling Outage Unit 2, Mode 1, 100% Power

BACKGROUND

7

The primary AC distribution system for Susquehanna Units 1 and 2 each consist of four 4.16 kV Engineered Safeguards System (ESS) buses having a primary and alternate offsite source of power as well as an onsite diesel generator (DG) source that supports one 4.16 kV ESS bus in each unit. In addition, some common support systems required by both units receive power through Unit 1 electrical power distribution subsystems.

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On March 11, 2006, with Unit 1 in a refueling outage, activities for testing the Unit 1 4.16kV ESS Bus 1D (1A204) were in progress. The testing required Unit 2 to enter LCO 3.8.7, Condition C. This was required since Unit 1 busses power common loads, and therefore impact Unit 2 SSCs that are required to be operable when Unit 2 is in Mode 1. PPL personnel later discovered that entry into LCO 3.8.1, Conditions A, B and D for Unit 2 were missed and should have been entered when the Unit 1 bus was de-energized. For a de-energized bus, Condition D requires entry into LCO 3.8.7. Because LCO 3.8.7 was initially entered when the bus was de-energized, the Required Actions for Condition D were met. The Required Actions for Conditions A and B state that with "One offsite circuit inoperable, verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each offsite circuit within 1 hour and once per 8 hours thereafter." Although the SR was not completed within 1 hour, this event was determined not to be reportable since the surveillance test was satisfactorily completed prior to exceeding the time required for Unit 2 to be in Mode 3.

As part of PPL's cause investigation for the late entry into TS LCO 3.8.1 for Unit 2, an extent of condition evaluation was performed. On April 19, 2006, PPL identified that a similar (yet reportable) event had occurred during the 2004 Unit 1 refueling outage. On March 6, 2004, the Unit 1 4.16kV ESS 1A Bus (1A201) was being tested in accordance with plant procedures. The ESS 1A Bus was deenergized and LCO 3.8.7 was entered for Unit 2. A review of operator logs for March 6, 2004, showed that only LCO 3.8.7 was entered when the Unit 1 bus was de-energized. Entry into Unit 2 LCO 3.8.1. Conditions A, B and D was missed, resulting in Required Actions A.1 and B.1 not being performed within 1 hour and the mandated time for Unit 2 to be in Mode 3 being exceeded. Although Condition D was not entered, LCO 3.8.7 was entered when the bus was de-energized and the appropriate Required Actions were taken.

Because Unit 2 TS LCO 3.8.1, Required Actions A.1 and B.1 were not completed within 1 hour, Action F requires Unit 2 to be in Mode 3 within 12 hours and in Mode 4 within 36 hours. Since it was not recognized in 2004 that entry into these conditions was required, this event is reportable in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), for any operation or condition prohibited by Technical Specifications.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-2004) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE						
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION							
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station - Unit 2	05000388	2006 - 002 - 00	3 of 4						

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

CAUSE OF EVENT

The apparent cause for the 2004 event was attributed to confusing language in TS 3.8.1 which resulted in an inaccurate interpretation by plant personnel regarding which LCOs were required to be entered to support the Unit 1 ESS Bus testing. Based on interviews with PPL personnel, the impact to operability of an offsite source and a DG during ESS Bus testing was recognized. However, the impact was open to interpretation regarding which LCOs were required to be entered.

ANALYSIS / SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

Actual Consequences:

During the 2004 event, TS LCO 3.8.7 was entered for Unit 2, but entry into TS LCO 3.8.1, Conditions A, B, and D for Unit 2 was missed. Conditions A and B require SR 3.8.1.1 to be performed within 1 hour to verify correct breaker alignment and indicated power availability for each offsite circuit. Although the SR was not performed, a review of operator logs indicated that power was available to both offsite circuits and the 'A', 'B' and 'D' DGs remained operable and therefore, the intent of the SR was met.

Of the three conditions, Condition D imposed the most restrictive completion time (i.e., 12 hours for Unit 2 to be in Mode 3) for one onsite and one offsite 4.16kV power source inoperable. However, the Required Actions in Condition D are modified by a note that states that when Condition D is entered for a de-energized bus and not an inoperable bus, entry into the applicable Conditions and Actions for LCO 3.8.7 are required. In 2004, the Unit 1 ESS bus was de-energized. Entry into LCO 3.8.7 requires a safety function determination (SFD) to be performed in accordance with LCO 3.0.6 to ensure that a loss of safety function had not occurred. During the 2004 event, a SFD was performed which concluded that no loss of safety function had occurred. As such, there was no impact to the power required to operate safety-related loads necessary to cool the reactor core, maintain containment integrity, and support other vital functions in the event of a postulated accident in one reactor unit, while safely shutting down the unaffected unit.

Potential Consequences:

During the 2004 event, the worst case scenario would have been if Unit 2 had had an event (such as loss of an offsite power source or a DG) which required safety-related loads powered by the Unit 1 bus to be available to mitigate the event. However, based on plant design, sufficient power sources (i.e., 3 DGs or 1 offsite power source) would have been available to provide power to safety-related loads necessary to mitigate an event.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Immediate Corrective Action

• Interim compensatory measures were established to clearly state which TS LCOs were required to be entered during the remaining Unit 1 ESS Bus testing that was being performed during the Unit 1 refueling outage.

LICENS	EE EVENT F	REPORT	(LER)		
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station - Unit 2	05000388	2006	- 002 -	00	4 of 4

additional cop

Action(s) to Prevent Recurrence

• Revise TS 3.8.1 and/or Bases to provide clarity and remove interpretation on LCO applicability during ESS Bus testing.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

None

~