

July 14, 2006

Andrew Lingenfelter, Manager
GNF Engineering
Global Nuclear Fuel - Americas, LLC
P.O. Box 780, M/C F12
Wilmington, NC 28402

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR GLOBAL NUCLEAR FUEL (GNF)
TOPICAL REPORT (TR) NEDE-33214P, "DENSIFICATION TESTING"
(TAC NO. MC8679)

Dear Mr. Lingenfelter:

By letter dated October 3, 2005, GNF submitted TR NEDE-33214P, "Densification Testing" to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff for review. Enclosed for GNF's review and comment is a copy of the NRC staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Pursuant to Section 2.390 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), we have determined that the enclosed draft SE does not contain proprietary information. However, we will delay placing the draft SE in the public document room for a period of 10 working days from the date of this letter to provide you with the opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects. If you believe that any information in the enclosure is proprietary, please identify such information line-by-line and define the basis pursuant to the criteria of 10 CFR 2.390. After 10 working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional 10 working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the draft SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The NRC staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the NRC staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes. If you have any questions, please contact Michelle Honcharik at 301-415-1774.

Sincerely,

/RA by WReckley for/

Stacey L. Rosenberg, Chief
Special Projects Branch
Division of Policy and Rulemaking
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 712

Enclosure: Draft SE

cc w/encl: See next page

Global Nuclear Fuel

Project No. 712

cc:

Mr. Charles M. Vaughan, Manager
Facility Licensing
Global Nuclear Fuel - Americas
P.O. Box 780
Wilmington, NC 28402

Mr. George B. Stramback
Regulatory Services Project Manager
GE Nuclear Energy
175 Curtner Avenue
San Jose, CA 95125

Mr. James F. Klapproth, Manager
Engineering & Technology
GE Nuclear Energy
3901 Castle Hayne Road
Wilmington, NC 28402

Mr. Glen A. Watford, Manager
Technical Services
GE Nuclear Energy
175 Curtner Avenue
San Jose, CA 95125

12/21/05

July 14, 2006

Mr. Andrew Lingenfelter, Manager
GNF Engineering
Global Nuclear Fuel - Americas, LLC
P.O. Box 780, M/C F12
Wilmington, NC 28402

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR GLOBAL NUCLEAR FUEL (GNF)
TOPICAL REPORT (TR) NEDE-33214P, "DENSIFICATION TESTING"
(TAC NO. MC8679)

Dear Mr. Lingenfelter:

By letter dated October 3, 2005, GNF submitted TR NEDE-33214P, "Densification Testing" to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff for review. Enclosed for GNF's review and comment is a copy of the NRC staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Pursuant to Section 2.390 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), we have determined that the enclosed draft SE does not contain proprietary information. However, we will delay placing the draft SE in the public document room for a period of 10 working days from the date of this letter to provide you with the opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects. If you believe that any information in the enclosure is proprietary, please identify such information line-by-line and define the basis pursuant to the criteria of 10 CFR 2.390. After 10 working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional 10 working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the draft SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The NRC staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the NRC staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes. If you have any questions, please contact Michelle Honcharik at 301-415-1774.

Sincerely,
/RA by WReckley for/
Stacey L. Rosenberg, Chief
Special Projects Branch
Division of Policy and Rulemaking
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 712

Enclosure: Draft SE

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC PSPB Reading File RidsNrrDpr RidsNrrDprPspb
RidsNrrPMMHoncharik RidsNrrLADBaxley RidsOgcMailCenter RidsAcrcAcnwMailCenter
SWu

ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML061870056

*No major changes to SE input.

NRR-043

OFFICE	PSPB/PM	PSPB/LA	SNPB/BC*	PSPB/BC
NAME	MHoncharik	DBaxley	FAkstulewicz	SRosenberg
DATE	7/14/06	7/13/06	6/6/06	7/14/06

DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

TOPICAL REPORT NEDE-33214P

“DENSIFICATION TESTING”

GLOBAL NUCLEAR FUEL

PROJECT NO. 712

1 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
2

3 In letter dated October 3, 2005, Global Nuclear Fuel (GNF) submitted to the U. S. Nuclear
4 Regulatory Commission (NRC) Topical Report (TR) NEDE-33214P, "Densification Testing,"
5 (Reference 1) for review and approval. TR NEDE-33214P describes the intent to eliminate a
6 routine densification sampling method. The routine densification sampling method is described
7 in the NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.126, "An Acceptable Model and Related Statistical
8 Methods for the Analysis of Fuel Densification" (Reference 2). TR NEDE-33214P intends to
9 demonstrate that the elimination of the routine densification sampling method will not adversely
10 affect the in-reactor densification performance and the fuel pellets continue to meet licensing
11 requirements of RG 1.126.

12
13 Since the discovery of in-reactor densification of oxide nuclear fuel pellets, the impact of the
14 densification on safety has been analyzed routinely in fuel designs and fabrication. The safety
15 analyses of in-reactor densification include the effects on linear heat generation rate due to the
16 shortening fuel column, fuel stored energy due to the increasing fuel cladding gap, and
17 flattening of the cladding due to the formation of axial gaps along the fuel column. The
18 NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear
19 Power Plants," Section 4.2 "Fuel System Design," (Reference 3) states that if axial gaps in the
20 fuel column occur due to densification, the cladding has the potential of collapsing into a gap
21 and collapsed cladding is assumed to fail. This phenomenon is called creep collapse.
22

23 The in-reactor densification is a function of the temperature, irradiation history, porosity, and
24 material characteristics including initial density. The extent of the in-reactor densification is
25 found to be closely correlated to the out-of-reactor densification tests or thermal sintering tests.
26 A thermal sintering test subjects fuel pellets in a heated furnace to a constant elevated
27 temperature for an extended period of time to simulate the reactor environments. The
28 RG 1.126 requires that the thermal sintering tests, also called re-sintering tests, be performed
29 at 1700 EC for 24 hours to ensure a density change that bounds most in-reactor density
30 changes for a wide range of fuel types.
31

32 Consistent with the RG 1.126 requirements, GNF established a routine densification test to
33 systematically re-sinter a significant portion of production fuel pellets to obtain the densification
34 performance data. The GNF fuel density requirements for fuel designs and fabrication specify
35 the maximum densification allowed for an individual pellet. The GNF fuel density history
36 showed a trend of increasing fuel density and decreasing amount of densification.
37

1 2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

2
3 The fuel system consists of arrays of fuel rods including fuel pellets and tubular cladding,
4 spacer grids, end plates, and reactivity control rods. The objectives of the fuel system safety
5 review are to provide assurance that: (1) the fuel system is not damaged as a result of normal
6 operation and anticipated operational occurrences, (2) fuel system damage is never so severe
7 as to prevent control rod insertion when it is required, (3) the number of fuel rod failures is not
8 underestimated for postulated accidents, and (4) coolability is always maintained. The NRC
9 staff acceptance criteria are based on the criteria in Reference 3. These criteria include three
10 parts: (1) design bases that describe specified acceptable fuel design limits (SAFDLs) as
11 depicted in General Design Criterion 10 to Appendix A of Part 50 of Title 10 of the *Code of*
12 *Federal Regulations*, (2) design evaluation that demonstrates that the design bases are met,
13 and (3) testing, inspection, and surveillance plans that show that there are adequate monitoring
14 and surveillance of irradiated fuel. The design bases include: (1) fuel system damage, (2) fuel
15 rod failure, and (3) fuel coolability. Densification is identified as a failure mechanism that leads
16 to creep collapse of the cladding.

17
18 3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

19
20 3.1 Current Approach in Fuel Production

21
22 During fuel manufacture, there is a process called sintering that subjects all production fuel
23 pellets to a heated furnace for certain period of time. Although the sintering temperature is
24 close to re-sintering tests, the time involved in the sintering process usually is shorter than the
25 re-sintering tests. The sintering process results in stable and consistent microstructure pellets,
26 which result in less in-reactor densification. Thus, the sintering process is a very important
27 stage during fuel fabrication. The density sampling of the sintered pellets during fuel fabrication
28 is performed to assure that the products meet the density requirements.

29
30 In the past, GNF used several processes to produce UO_2 powder including the ammonium
31 diuranate (ADU) and wet chemical recovery processes. These processes tended to have
32 uneven powder particles that resulted in various and large densification. Recently, GNF made
33 several fundamental changes to improve UO_2 powder and pellet manufacture. GNF
34 established a single UO_2 powder production process, the dry conversion process (DCP), which
35 produced even and consistent powder particles. The DCP resulted in stable fuel pellets with
36 highly uniform microstructure and densification resistance, i.e., very limited densification.

37
38 Following the discovery of in-reactor densification and implementation of routine out-of-reactor
39 densification (or re-sintering) testing, GNF found that it was necessary to increase the sintering
40 temperature and time to adequately assure the pellet dimensional stability. In addition, GNF
41 added a volatile pore former during the fuel fabrication. The pore former is an organic material
42 which is added to UO_2 powder at the blending stage for fuel density control. During the
43 sintering process, the pore former will escape as a gas and create large stable pores in pellets
44 to reach the desired final density. The results show that the pore former improved the pellet
45 consistency and reduced fuel density uncertainties.

46
47 GNF has established quality control procedures to assure that the density of all pellets is within
48 the specification requirements. Various documents control the density of natural UO_2 , UO_2 , and

1 Gd₂O₃-UO₂ fuel pellets. Out-of-specification pellets will prompt corrective actions. Figure 1 in
2 TR NEDE-33214P illustrates this process. Thus, the frequent tests and multiple cross checking
3 provide a high level of confidence that out-of-specification pellets will be excluded in the early
4 stages.

5
6 Based on the current approach and improved procedures, the NRC staff concludes that GNF
7 has adequately demonstrated that the fuel fabrication has produced consistently stable pellets
8 with low densification and meets all the density requirements.
9

10 3.2 Elimination of Routine Densification Test

11
12 The current GNF fuel fabrication showed a strong correlation between sintered pellets and
13 in-reactor densification performance, i.e., sintered and stable fuel pellets had less densification
14 in reactors. GNF will continue the current density sampling of the sintered pellets during fuel
15 fabrication to assure that the products meet the density requirements. Furthermore, GNF will
16 implement additional qualification processes for any change in materials or processes that
17 could have the potential to impact the densification performance. The additional qualification
18 processes will verify the changes and will not result in altering the densification performance
19 and, thus, meet the RG 1.126 requirements.
20

21 Since the current approach in the fuel fabrication produces stable and almost no
22 out-of-specification pellets, and the continued quality control checks the production pellet
23 density, GNF contended that the routine densification test was redundant and was no longer
24 needed to assure acceptable in-reactor densification performance. Thus, GNF proposed to
25 eliminate the routine densification test from the fuel fabrication process.
26

27 The NRC staff reviewed the GNF proposed approach. Based on the fuel fabrication history and
28 satisfactory in-reactor densification performance, the NRC staff concludes that the routine
29 densification test can be removed from the fuel fabrication process and may be supplemented
30 with additional qualification processes for meeting the RG 1.126 requirements provided that
31 GNF continues the established monitoring program to assure that the pellet density
32 requirements are met using a qualified measurement technique on 100 percent of pellet lots.
33

34 4.0 CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS

35
36 Based on the review, the NRC staff requires that GNF continue the established monitoring
37 program to assure that the pellet density requirements are met using a qualified measurement
38 technique on 100 percent of pellet lots. Figure 1 in TR NEDE-33214P depicts the fuel density
39 requirements that will prompt corrective actions for out-of-specification pellets. Any changes in
40 the limits of Figure 1 in TR NEDE-33214P will require a prior approval by the NRC staff.
41

42 5.0 CONCLUSION

43
44 The NRC staff has reviewed the GNF submittal of the proposed elimination of routine
45 densification test. Based on the evaluation, the NRC staff approves the proposed elimination of
46 routine densification test in TR NEDE-33214P with the conditions and limits as described in
47 Section 4.0 of this SE.
48

1 6.0 REFERENCES

- 2
- 3 1. NEDE-33214P, "Densification Testing," September 2005 (ADAMS Package Accession
- 4 No. ML052850035).
- 5
- 6 2. Regulatory Guide 1.126, Revision 1, "An Acceptable Model and Related Statistical
- 7 Methods for the Analysis of Fuel Densification," March 1978 (ADAMS Accession
- 8 No. ML003739385).
- 9
- 10 3. NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for
- 11 Nuclear Power Plants," Section 4.2 "Fuel System Design."
- 12

13 Principle Contributor: S. Wu

14

15 Date: July 14, 2006