

**From:** "Seeman, Glen A." <gaseema@nppd.com>  
**To:** "David Loveless" <DPL@nrc.gov> — *RTV*  
**Date:** 3/3/04 4:31PM  
**Subject:** RE: Service Water Modeling

Dave,

It sounds like the simplified drawing is from the IPE. There has been a modification to the SW System and isolation is by 36 and 37 as stated. I will get this information to you as soon as I can.

Glen

-----Original Message-----

**From:** David Loveless [mailto:DPL@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 03, 2004 2:21 PM  
**To:** Seeman, Glen A.  
**Cc:** Sutton, Kent E.  
**Subject:** Service Water Modeling

Glen,

It is my understanding that should normal service water need to be isolated from the Class portion of the Divisions, it is done by closing MOVs 36 and 37, thus separating the Divisions also. For some reason, the simplified drawing that was provided to INEEL showed the isolation by an MOV-117 on the nonclass portion of the piping. Could you verify which is correct?

Additionally, I need to know the nonclass isolation signals and what the system success criteria would be if the nonclass piping did not isolate as designed.

Thanks for your assistance and patience as we update our model. I believe that it will be in all our best interests to have a valid SPAR.

Thanks,

David