

Ref to CEDD

From: Anne Boland *23*  
To: Richard Skokowski; Steven Orth; Steven West  
Date: 12/28/05 12:27PM  
Subject: FOLLOW-UP and INFO NEEDED Re: Braidwood Tritium

Steve's out...I've been communicating with Pete on this yesturday and today. I indicated to Pete that I believed that Jim and Bill had discussed the answers to the two questions. But, that we would update the document Pete provided to us and send it back to him. Wayne/John are doing that - it needed some Dresden info from Wayne (who was out yesturday).

Anne T. Boland, Deputy Director  
Division of Reactor Safety  
NRC Region III  
630-829-9701

>>> Steven West 12/28/2005 11:58:17 AM >>>

Having been away for awhile, I may just be out of the loop, but I don't know if anyone is still working this. Peter's still waiting for more info from us. Could one of you please bring me up to speed? Thanks. Steve

>>> Peter Habighorst 12/28/2005 11:36:35 AM >>>

Steve,  
I'm still looking to find out our lessons learned (what we did or didn't do in 1998 and 2000) and what analysis and corrective actions planned by licensee....thanks

>>> Steven West 12/28/05 11:24 AM >>>

Peter,

After being away from the office for 10 days, I'm sorting through old e-mails trying to verifying that we've answered the mail. Did you get everything you needed from RIII to answer Bill's questions questions? If not, what do you need?

Steve

>>> Peter Habighorst 12/27/2005 12:09:33 PM >>>

See attached

I came up with a few items for the second question from Bill Kane...though it does not address directly it does speak to the ROP history of 71122.03, expectations in our baseline procedures, and types of examples of violations or actions, and SDP recognition....

Please let me know of any other thoughts for second question and any insights from the historical review at Braidwood specific...thanks

>>> Mark Satorius 12/19/05 8:57 AM >>>

pete - i've been on travel last week. did you get answers to your questions? (rick and anne are out today)

>>> Peter Habighorst 12/15/05 6:18 AM >>>

Rick and Anne,

**Bill Kane was interested in the NRC's posture or actions in 1998 and 2000 as a result of the vacuum breaker leaks and spills?** I mentioned that I did not believe there were any 50.72's or violations, but could you let me know??

The second question Bill asked, **what would be the normal NRC expectations with a spill of this magnitude as in 1998/2000**...I think it would be good to compare what our actions were to recent issues such as [REDACTED].....

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions outside scope  
11A- 2006-115

out of scope

12-30