

From: Peter Habighorst
To: Mark Satorius; Richard Skokowski
Date: Tue, Dec 27, 2005 12:09 PM
Subject: Re: Braidwood Tritium

OK

See attached

I came up with a few items for the second question from Bill Kane...though it does not address directly it does speak to the ROP history of 71122.03, expectations in our baseline procedures, and types of examples of violations or actions, and SDP recognition....

Please let me know of any other thoughts for second question and any insights from the historical review at Braidwood specific...thanks

>>> Mark Satorius 12/19/05 8:57 AM >>>

pete - i've been on travel last week. did you get answers to your questions? (rick and anne are out today)

>>> Peter Habighorst 12/15/05 6:18 AM >>>

Rick and Anne,
Bill Kane was interested in the NRC's posture or actions in 1998 and 2000 as a result of the vacuum breaker leaks and spills? I mentioned that I did not believe there were any 50.72's or violations, but could you let me know??

The second question Bill asked, what would be the normal NRC expectations with a spill of this magnitude as in 1998/2000....I think it would be good to compare what our actions were to recent issues such as Dresden.....

CC: Anne Boland; Steven West

information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions outside scope
FOIA- 2006-115

M-28