RAS 11864

### Official Transcript of Proceedings

### **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

DOCKETED USNRC

June 23, 2006 (2:21pm)

Title:

**Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee** 

Pre-Hearing Conference

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

**Docket Number:** 

50-271-LR; ASLBP No. 06-849-03-LR

Location:

(telephone conference)

Date:

Monday, June 19, 2006

Work Order No.:

**NRC-1118** 

Pages 1-39

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	APPEA	ARANCES:
2		On Behalf of the Licensee:
3		MATIAS E. TRAVIESO-DIAZ, ESQ.
4		DAVID LEWIS, ESQ.
5	of:	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP
6		2300 N Street, NW
7		Washington, D.C. 20037
8		(202) 663-8142
9		
10		On Behalf of the State of Vermont, Department of
11	Publi	c Service:
12		SARAH HOFMANN, ESQ.
13		Counsel for the Department of Public Service
14		Director for Public Advocacy
15		WILLIAM SHERMAN
16		State Nuclear Engineer
17		112 State Street - Drawer 20
18		Montpelier, Vermont 05620-2601
19		(802) 828-3088
20		(802) 828-2342 Fax
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	•	

e. Borisminist of the control of the

7.73

1	On behalf of the State of Massachusetts:
2	DIANE CURRAN, ESQ.
3	Of: Harmon Curran Spielberg & Eisenberg, LLP
4	1726 M Street, N.W., Suite 600
5	Washington, D.C. 20036
6	(202) 328-3500
7	
8	On Behalf of the Intervener, New England
9	Coalition:
10	RAYMOND SHADIS, ESQ.
11	RONALD SHEMS, ESQ.
12	of: New England Coalition
13	P.O. Box 98
14	Edgecomb, Maine 04556
15	(202) 882-7801
16	
17	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
18	MITZI YOUNG, ESQ.
19	STEVEN HAMRICK, ESQ.
20	of: Office of the General Counsel
21	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
22	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
23	(301) 415-1533
24	
25	
- 1	

;

#### PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE KARLAN: This is a telephone		
conference in the matter of ENTERGY Nuclear		
Operations, Inc. ASLBP No. 04-832-02-OLA, the LR		
standing for license renewal as I understand it,		
Case No. 50-271-LR. And what I would like to do is		
first introduce the members of the board who are		
here in Rockville, Maryland, which is where I'm		
located, and Dr. Elleman will introduce himself, and		
then we'll go around and ask each of the parties to,		
for the record introduce themselves		

Here, in Rockville, I'm here with Dr.

Richard Wardwell, who is also a member of the board,

and Marsha Carpentier, one of the lawyers and law

folks who is working with this board on this matter.

Dr. Wardwell, do you want to say anything? Okay; very good. And Dr. Elleman is with us from North Carolina, somewhere in beautiful North Carolina?

JUDGE ELLEMAN: That's correct. This is Thomas Elleman. I'm calling in from Raleigh today.

JUDGE KARLIN: Okay; good. Why don't we go around the table, perhaps starting with [inaudible] and formally identify yourselves.

MR. LEWIS: This is David Lewis from

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

_	Filisbury winchiop shaw riceman representing
2	ENTERGY, and I have with me Matias Travieso-Diaz.
3	JUDGE KARLIN: All right. So ENTERGY.
4	Thank you, David Lewis. May we have the state of
5	Vermont, please.
6	MS. HOFMANN: Thank you very much, Your
7	Honor. This is Sarah Hofmann. I'm the director for
8	Public Advocacy for the state of Vermont, and with
9	me today is William Sherman, the state nuclear
10	engineer. Mr. Roisman is on vacation today. If he
11	gets my voice-mail, he'll join us, but I don't
12	believe thathe had called in once earlier today,
13	prior to this call being scheduled. So I have some
14	information on Mr. Roisman's schedule, if necessary.
15	JUDGE KARLIN: Thank you. Ms. Curran,
16	for the state of Massachusetts?
17	MS. CURRAN: Yes. Good afternoon. This
18	is Diane Curran for the Commonwealth of
19	Massachusetts. The commonwealth is also represented
20	by Matthew Brock, but he was out of the office
21	today, so I'll be representing the client.
22	JUDGE KARLIN: Thank you. The New
23	England Coalition, please.
24	MR. SHEMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
25	My name is Ron Shems. I'm here with Karen Tyler.

We're calling from Burlington, Vermont, and also on 1 2 the line is a representative from our client, Ray Shadis. 3 4 JUDGE KARLIN: Thank you, Mr. Shems. 5 Welcome. And the staff. Ms. Young. MS. YOUNG: Hello, Judge Karlin. 6 This is Mitzi Young, representing the NRC staff with the 7 Office of General Counsel in the NRC. Also with me 8 9 is Steven Hamrick, another attorney in our office. 10 JUDGE KARLIN: Thank you very much, and 11 thank you all for participating on this call in such short notice. Because some of the deadlines are 12 relatively imminent, I thought it would be useful to 13 14 have a quick call, hopefully quick, and review where 15 the bidding is, I guess, or where we are, and need 16 for any extensions. This is being transcribed of course and 17 the court reporter's transcription will be available 18 19 in five to ten days, something like that, but in the 20 meantime, could each of you, as you speak, try to identify yourself. It helps, so that the court 21 reporter can properly identify each of you in the 22 transcript. 23 The purpose of this call--now the 24 25 members of the board have convened and talked about **NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

. . .

this. The purpose of the call, the primary purpose is to review the State of Vermont's motion of June 16th, to do two things as I understand it. One is to extend the deadline for filing of certain answers and replies, and the second part of the motion is to change the date, the tentative date that we've set out in our order, oral argument from July 25th and 26th to August 3rd and 4th. We'd like to hear from you all about that and so that we can plan accordingly.

We might also note that we have received from Ms. Curran, of course, the information about San Luis Obispo Mothers For Peace versus NRC decision. So we're going to make a note on that, and third, we have a few minor housekeeping matters we would like to address at the outset.

We are not intending to rule on the notices or motions, depending on how you interpret it, for adoption, or of adoption, that has been filed by the State of Vermont and by NEC.

So we're not here to do that or hear argument about that today.

With that said, are there any other urgent suggestions of items that anyone really thinks need to be put on the agenda here?

# NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MR. : No, Judge Karlin.

JUDGE KARLIN: Okay; good; good. All right. Fine. Then we will proceed.

All right. We'll start with housekeeping, kind of do this in a little bit of reverse order. Housekeeping. There are lots of boards and there's various chairmen, and, you know, one thing I guess I just would want to say is that I think I would prefer us proceeding with relative formality in terms of filings and communications.

I personally am not interested in receiving e-mails unless some very urgent and substantive matter requires it. Our law clerk, Marsha Carpentier would be the primary law clerk on this matter, and if you have an e-mail communication about procedural or scheduling issues, you should please contact her with a cc to Jonathan Rund.

So in order to avoid any, you know, ex parte issues, or anything else, I think it's just better to proceed in that way.

Second, on the housekeeping, I have if each of you would file your notices of appearance,
2.314, required notice of appearance to be filed,
and that information is helpful. The staff has
already done so and I think if everyone else could

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	do that, that would be helpful for our contacting
2	people, because we did have some difficulties, and
3	particularly still have some difficulties with the
4	town of Marlboro. We've made diligent efforts,
5	calling everyone we can think of, to try to inform
6	them, and encourage them to participate on this
7	call. It's very short notice, and we were
8	apparently not successful.
9	MS. CURRAN: Judge Karlin?
10	JUDGE KARLIN: Yes.
11	MS. CURRAN: This is Diane Curran. I
12	just have a question about that. Matt Black and I
13	filed notices of appearance before the secretary
14	when we submitted the hearing request. Does that
15	suffice? Or would you also like one to be filed
16	with the board?
17	JUDGE KARLIN: No; that would suffice.
18	MS. CURRAN: Okay.
19	JUDGE KARLIN: That would suffice. I'm
20	sorry I didn't mention your notice.
21	MR. LEWIS: Judge Karlin, this is David
22	Lewis. I filed a notice of appearance as has Mr.
23	Travieso-Diaz. And those are with the board. So I
24	believe you should have those as well.
25	JUDGE KARLIN: Great; okay.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

(202) 234-4433

\*\*\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

... ....

1	MS. HOFMANN: And the State of Vermont
2	also filed when we filed our contentions. We filed
3	a notice of appearance for Tony Roisman and myself.
4	MR. SHEMS Well, gee, I guess I'll
5	withdraw my comments. The only party that needed to
6	hear it is not on the line.
7	MR. : Yes. They're not on the line
8	anyway, so
9	MR. SHEMS: This is Ron Shems. I don't
10	want to [inaudible] NEC also filed.
11	JUDGE KARLIN: Well, I apologize for not
12	being aware of that and we will delve into that and
13	make sure we've got all the information you filed.
14	So thank you for that.
15	MS. YOUNG: Judge Karlin, this is Mitzi
16	Young. The staff contacted the chair for town of
17	Marlboro, Kelly Newton.
18	JUDGE KARLIN: Yes.
19	MS. YOUNG: At her e-mail address. And
20	she also gave me a town clerk's number or an
21	administrative assistant's number too. So if you
22	need that information, I can share that with your
23	clerk.
24	JUDGE KARLIN: That would be great. I
25	believe Ms. Carpentier already has that.

MS. : I contacted the town clerk but 1 if you have contact information for people at the 2 3 select board, I would really appreciate that. MS. YOUNG: I do. 4 JUDGE KARLIN: All right. 5 6 MS. YOUNG: I'll get that to you 7 afterwards. JUDGE KARLIN: Yes. If you could handle 8 that off-line. Thank you, Ms. Young. That's 9 10 helpful. Okay. That said, moving from--we're really not 11 going to do a whole lot of housekeeping at this 12 13 point because we haven't decided on whether any 14 contentions are going to be admitted at all, and of course if and when they are, then we would have a, 15 you know, bring in a conference call and we'd issue 16 17 initial scheduling order, and there'd be more 18 forthcoming, now, information on that. 19 Turning to the next, in reverse order 20 item, the San Luis Obispo Mothers For Peace versus NRC, we note, appreciate the note from Ms. Curran 21 22 regarding that decision that she was involved in as 23 I understand it, and we're not here to argue it or hear argument on it, but I think one point we would 24 25 make is that if any participant on this call--we

can't speak for anyone else--believes that that 1 decision constitutes a circumstance or basis for 2 filing something in this case, you know, or 3 4 mandates, or whatever, we would urge them to do so 5 promptly and without judging or prejudging any issues regarding timeliness. We would see any such 6 7 pleading or any such filing by July 14th, well before any oral argument, recognizing of course that 8 9 probably would not be briefed and ready if there was 10 going to be oral argument anyway, if it was filed in that timeframe. 11 And we might also, I might just mention 12 that the parties on this call might want to 13 14 reference the ruling in Vermont Yankee uprate of May 15 25th of 06, which talks in some regard about new and amended contentions, and what that board thought in 16 17 terms of what was required under those rules. 18 So I'd comment that to your 19 consideration, although it doesn't bind this board 20 in any way, shape or form. : Your Honor, would that also be 21 due July 14th or would you want that sooner? 22 23 JUDGE KARLIN: What be due? 24 : If you want us to comment on 25 the May 25th order in the Vermont Yankee uprate

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

proceedings. 1 2 JUDGE KARLIN: No; no. I don't want you to comment on it. I just might commend you to take 3 note of it, if and when you decide to file anything. 4 5 MS. Oh. Thank you very much. JUDGE KARLIN: That's all. 6 Okay. With that, we turn to Vermont's 7 8 motion of 16th of June, as I understand it two things--extend the deadlines for filing certain 9 answers and to adjust the tentative date for oral 10 11 argument. What I think we'd like to do is ask the 12 parties, particularly the state, since you filed the 13 14 motion, to talk about the first issue, extension of deadline for filing certain answers. 15 So Ms. Hofmann, I guess the floor is 16 17 yours on that. Thank you very much, Your 18 MS. HOFMANN: 19 Honor. Because the contentions are filed on May 20 26th, that means the answers would be due on June 21 21st, 2006, and Vermont's reply would be due on June 28th, 2006. 22 That left us in a rather uncomfortable 23 position of having Mr. Roisman out of the office 24 25 until the 26th of June on a long-planned vacation.

So we talked to the parties and asked if we could extend by two days and basically then split the time between each of us, giving the ENTERGY and NRC staff one extra day, and our reply wouldn't be due until June 30th.

But basically, we're only pushing out
the schedule by two days. I was able to talk to
everyone, all the parties, no one objected to this.
I didn't get Mr. MacArthur from the town of
Marlboro. I later was able to contact him about
oral argument but wasn't able to really--he didn't
really understand what this meant, so I didn't make
him comment on it at all.

But we would be asking the board to extend this schedule by the two days.

JUDGE KARLIN: Okay, and the extension of schedule would operate in favor of the State of Vermont and...?

MS. HOFMANN: Basically it was two days and we gave one day to ENTERGY and the NRC staff, so they added one day to their timeframe, and then we added one day to our timeframe.

JUDGE KARLIN: So the dates would be the 22nd and the 30th?

MS. HOFMANN: That's correct.

#### **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

JUDGE KARLIN: For both NEC and--I'm 1 2 sorry--for ENTERGY and the staff to file their answers, and then, respectively, for NEC and State 3 of Vermont to file their replies? 4 MS. HOFMANN: I believe that is correct. 5 6 JUDGE KARLIN: The State of 7 Massachusetts, are they affected by any of this? 8 MS. HOFMANN: I don't believe they're affected by any of this but I believe they filed 9 10 their own motion in terms of timeframe. MS. CURRAN: That's right, Judge Karlin. 11 This is Diane Curran. 12 JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. What did you file, 13 14 Ms. Curran? 15 MS. CURRAN: We filed a motion to 16 extend, to basically shift the time for responding 17 and replying by two days for the NRC staff, just to put it in line with the schedule for ENTERGY's 18 response in our reply. So right now, the staff's 19 20 response is due on the 20th and our rely would be due on the 27th. Right now, ENTERGY's response is 21 due on the 22nd, and our reply's due on the 29th, 22 23 and we think it'd be most efficient for us if we could handle both those replies, responses together. 24 25 So we ask for an extension that would

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	move the staff's response date from the 20th to the
2	22nd and move our reply date from the 27th to the
3	29th. And we contacted counsel for ENTERGY and the
4	staff, and there was no objection from them.
5	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay, and did anyone else
6	have any objection, or does anyone else have any
7	objection to that on behalf of the State of
8	Massachusetts?
9	MS. HOFMANN: The State of Vermont has
10	no objections.
11	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. Now if anyone else
12	wants to comment on these extensions.
13	MR. SHEMS: This is Ron Shems from the
14	New England Coalition. We jointly filed a motion
15	with the State of Vermont and we'd be on the same
16	timeframe, and have no objection to any of the
17	motions [inaudible].
18	JUDGE KARLIN: Right. Okay. Any
19	questions from the board members?
20	JUDGE WARDWELL: I have none.
21	JUDGE KARLIN: Dr. Elleman.
22	JUDGE ELLEMAN: Just a point of
23	clarification. I thought I heard Ms. Curran say she
24	would be filing on the 29th, and did I hear the
25	others say they're filing on the 30th?
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

a man and the same of the same

JUDGE KARLIN: I believe that's what Ms. 1 Curran said. 2 MS. CURRAN: That's right. There's 3 different dates. 4 JUDGE ELLEMAN: Okay. 5 MS. CURRAN: We didn't have anybody on 6 7 vacation, so--JUDGE ELLEMAN: Okay. I just wanted to 8 9 make sure I noted it properly. JUDGE KARLIN: Well, okay. We've talked 10 about this ahead of time. I appreciate, and it was 11 12 valuable to listen to each of you. Quite frankly we're not particularly enthused about granting 13 14 extensions because one counsel's on vacation, and 15 the other one is excellent and can handle the matter. But in light of the early situation here, 16 we're amenable and we'll grant the motions. 17 In fact, I think, if I understand it 18 19 correctly, what we'll just do is say the answers 20 will be due from all parties on the 22nd and the replies will be due on the -- the only exception to 21 that will be the town of Marlboro, which will 22 operate on its own [inaudible]. I think they may 23 have more time than that. I'm not sure of what 24 25 their schedule is. So they're not here, I don't

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

٠.;

1	want to [inaudible] whatever, a lie.
2	MS. CURRAN: Judge Karlin, this is Diane
3	Curran. I just want to clarify. Are you saying that
4	all of the replies, including Massachusetts, are now
5	due on the 30th?
6	JUDGE KARLIN: Yes. Yes, we are.
7	MS. CURRAN: Okay; thank you.
8	MR. LEWIS: Judge Karlin, just a
9	clarification. There is one answer that none of
10	these motions address.
11	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay.
12	MR. LEWIS: ENTERGY's answer to the New
13	England Coalition's petition is due June 26th. We
L4	were served on it by mail and therefore has a
15	different timing, and there's no proposal for their
16	changing that date for the answer or for any
.7	replies.
.8	JUDGE KARLIN: And is this Mr. Lewis
.9	who's
20	MR. LEWIS: This is Mr. Lewis; excuse
1	me.
2	JUDGE KARLIN: Let's see if we could
3	could you repeat that. If I understand what you're
4	saying, ENTERGY's answer, due to a glitch in the
5	delivery of service, that is, you did not get

MR. LEWIS: It wasn't a glitch. I mean, 1 they didn't have to serve us electronically. They 2 served us by mail, which adds five days to our 3 response time, and so we've been working to a June 4 26 filing date, which is the 25 days plus five days 5 to account for the service. 6 JUDGE KARLIN: Right. Okay. 7 unchanged. 8 9 MR. LEWIS: Unchanged. 10 JUDGE KARLIN: Your timeframe for, you 11 know, answering, and the timeframe for replying will be unchanged. 12 : And just to make sure 13 14 everyone's on the same page, NEC's reply would be 15 due on July 3rd? 16 JUDGE KARLIN: Seven days on--yeah. I think that's right. And obviously we would 17 encourage everyone to file electronically, and 18 19 hopefully now you all will get the e-mail addresses sorted out. You know, that shouldn't be a problem. 20 Okay. 21 So the general rule is answers and 22 replies on the 22nd. I'm sorry. Answers on the 23 22nd, replies on the 30th. There are two exceptions 24 25 to that rule. The standard one is the NEC and

1	ENTERGY exchange, the 26th, and it appears to be
2	July 3rd, and the other exception is the town of
3	Marlboro. So that part of the motion is essentially
4	granted.
5	MS. YOUNG: Judge Karlin, this is Mitzi
6	Young for the staff.
7	JUDGE KARLIN: Yes?
8	MS. YOUNG: In your June 13th order, you
9	directed the parties to send overnight express mail
10	to Judge Elleman.
11	JUDGE KARLIN: Yes.
12	MS. YOUNG: Did you really want us to do
13	that for even things that are short in nature, you
14	don't really need a hard copy to follow?
15	JUDGE KARLIN: Dr. Elleman, what do you
16	think?
17	JUDGE ELLEMAN: I appear to be receiving
18	e-mail transmissions on a schedule and I can always
19	print out the attachments from those. So if there's
20	something urgent, that is the way I can look at it.
21	Otherwise, the normal mail, I think is a
22	satisfactory approach.
23	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. Let's do it that
24	way, until further notice.
25	MS. YOUNG: Thank you, Judge Karlin.

JUDGE KARLIN: Thank you. That's 1 2 helpful. Turning to the oral argument, Okay. 3 bring in the oral argument. A couple things we 4 5 wanted to talk about and then I would like to go around the table and ask you all about the schedule 6 7 and your issues or difficulties with the dates we've 8 proposed. Obviously this is a tentative date for oral argument. The board hasn't decided whether we 10 need one or not, but given the timeframe, we like to try to get some time available, and this one seemed to work well for us, July 25th and 26th. Another point for all of you to take note of is it's not an "all or nothing" matter. only purpose of the oral argument is for the board to get information and answers to questions we may have in order to rule on the admissibility and standing of the parties. We don't have any questions about particular contentions or [inaudible]. We will not hear oral argument on that contention or from that party. So we may not have all of you present, or at least all of you may not need to do any work. We also take seriously the fact that

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

under 2.309(i), the board will attempt to issue a 1 2 ruling within five days of the final, the last 3 reply. I'm sorry, Judge Karlin. 4 MS. CURRAN: It's strange. Every once in a while, I miss a 5 6 syllable of what you're saying. How many days did 7 you say? 8 JUDGE KARLIN: Is that Ms. Curran? 9 MS. CURRAN: Yes. I'm sorry. Yes; it 10 is. JUDGE KARLIN: Okay, Ms. Curran, under 11 10 CFR 2.309(i), the board has some obligation to 12 try to issue a ruling on the admissibility of 13 14 contentions and the standing of the parties within 15 45 days of the final pleadings, that being the 16 replies, generally, and so we try to take that 17 seriously, and that constrains us because August 3rd 18 and 4th pushes pretty hard up against that 45 day timeframe. 19 20 We would note that there is a parallel 21 proceeding in Vermont Yankee uprate, in which an evidentiary hearing is scheduled for the week of 9-22 11, and that board, and I'm on it, wanted to have a 23 goodly amount of time before the 9-11 to prepare 24 25 itself for what we think is probably the first

subpart L proceeding under the new subpart L
requirements. All that said, we set the 25th and
26th of July. We also have [inaudible] issues to
consider such as the availability ofwe have
preliminarily [inaudible] several options.
Therefore, we would now like to hear from each of
you as to why you are not [inaudible] on July 25th
and 26th, and what the problems are, and let's see
if we can work it out.
Vermont. Please, would you address
that.
MS. HOFMANN: Thank you very much, Your
Honor. I actually will be on vacation in another
state during that time period and it turns out Mr.
Roisman will also be gone during that period. I
believe he's in either California or Oregon, I
forget, but he's on vacation. Both of us had
planned these vacations.
So I'm very sorry but we could not do
the dates provided.
JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. And that's you're
out for both dates?
MS. HOFMANN: For both dates, and I did
get those dates from Mr. Roisman beforehand, and
that's also how we came upon the August 3rd and 4th,

1	that was good for everyone.
2	JUDGE KARLIN: Are you both out all of
3	that week?
4	MS. HOFMANN: Yes, both of us are out
5	all of the 24th through the 28th, and then at the
6	beginning ofon the 1st I'm actually at a
7	conference at NRC, it's a state liaison officers
8	conference that the NRC puts on, and I'll be
9	[inaudible] point in time.
10	JUDGE KARLIN: I'm sorry. The last was
11	cut off.
12	MS. HOFMANN: Okay. I'm sorry. The NRC
13	Conference for State Liaison Officers is August 1st
14	and August 2nd.
15	JUDGE KARLIN: [inaudible] constrained
16	by that?
17	MS. HOFMANN: And I am constrained by
18	that as is the state nuclear engineer.
19	JUDGE KARLIN: How about the week of
20	August 17th? July 17th?
21	MS. HOFMANN: July 17th? I have Mr.
22	Roisman gone. I'm here the first three days of that
23	week. I'm here the 17th, 18th and 19th. But Mr.
24	Roisman is gone that entire week as well.
25	JUDGE KARLIN: And what is his problem?
	NEAL R. GROSS
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

. . . .

1.5

1	MS. HOFMANN: That's the vacation, where
2	I can't remember if it's California or Oregon, but
3	he's on the West Coast.
4	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. So you're
5	available the 17th, 18th and 19th?
6	MS. HOFMANN: Yes. And I also wanted to
7	say, this is [inaudible] I was able to communicate
8	with Mr. MacArthur, Dan MacArthur from the town of
9	Marlboro.
10	JUDGE KARLIN: Yes.
11	MS. HOFMANN: And he was available the
12	3rd and 4th of August and I sent an e-mail today,
13	Sorry, I was only responding to the board's order of
14	June 13th, where it did say we could e-mail the
15	proposed alternative dates to you.
16	JUDGE KARLIN: I thought that said to
17	the law clerk; but that's fine.
18	MS. HOFMANN: Sorry.
19	JUDGE KARLIN: Now Mr. MacArthur said
20	he's available on the 3rd and 4th. Is he not
21	available any other day?
22	MS. HOFMANN: Mr. MacArthur is a
23	carpenter, so it's hard to get him during the day.
24	But I believe there were other dates he was
25	available as well. In fact, I think he was
İ	NEAL D. ODOGG

and the second of the second o

1	available August 8th and 9th as well.
2	JUDGE KARLIN: That's not much help.
3	Okay. Well I guess his level of interest iswell,
4	I won't say anything more.
5	Massachusetts. Mr. Curran, can you
6	you're available on these dates?
7	MS. CURRAN: We're available the week of
8	July the 24th, and we're available the 18th through
9	the 21st of July, although it will be very difficult
10	for me to get there on the 18th. It'd be better to
11	do it towards the middle or the end of the week.
12	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. So the week of the
13	17th, 18th, 19th; but the 18th is bad for you?
14	MS. CURRAN: 17th and 18th are bad. The
15	rest of the week is fine. And we also are available
16	on the 3rd and the 4th of August.
17	JUDGE KARLIN: How about Misteryour
18	associate lawyer?
19	MS. CURRAN: Yes. He's available.
20	JUDGE KARLIN: He's available all these
21	days?
22	MS. CURRAN: The same dates I mentioned;
23	yes.
24	JUDGE KARLIN: Well, what about the
25	other dates? Is he available the 17th and 18th?
	NEAL R. GROSS

 $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{A}},\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{A$ 

1	MS. CURRAN: Yes.
2	JUDGE KARLIN: Even though you're not?
3	MS. CURRAN: Right.
4	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay.
5	. MS. CURRAN: But I am the person who's
6	responsible for the contention and I think it would
7	be difficult for him to carry it without me.
8	JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. I guess we would
9	turn to New England Coalition. Mr. Shems, what's
10	your situation, please?
11	MR. SHEMS: New England Coalition is
12	available on the 25th and 26th. We're also
13	available the week of the 17th and we're also
14	available August 3rd and 4th. We are not available
15	August 9th and 10th.
16	JUDGE KARLIN: And when you say "we,"
17	you mean you?
18	MR. SHEMS: Myself, and after the 10th
19	also, Karen Tyler.
20	JUDGE KARLIN: After August 10th? I'm
21	sorry, Mr. Shems. You said after the 10th?
22	MR. SHEMS: Yes.
23	JUDGE KARLIN: The 10th of?
24	MR. SHEMS: The 10th of August and
25	after, it's not good for either Karen Tyler or
	NEAL R. GROSS  COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

...

myself.

JUDGE KARLIN: All right. I understand you're saying the 25th and 26th is okay. The week of the 17th if okay and the 3rd and 4th of August is okay.

MR. SHEMS: That's correct.

JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. Great.

Let's see. ENTERGY. I guess we'll turn to Mr. Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: Yes, Judge Karlin. The week of July 17th is okay. The week of July 24th if okay, and the week of--what is the August week? August. That first week in August is okay. The week of July 10th, currently the Pilgrim licensing board has scheduled, tentatively, their prehearing conference for July 11th and 12th but I think that will change. The only day that week that I couldn't do it is July 14th.

about July. We're talking I think August. Somehow we ended up talking about August. We're not contemplating anything as early as July 11th or 12th. So we have some difficulty, overseas travel that won't be completed at that point.

So your report, Mr. Lewis, is the week

## NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	of the 17th is okay, the week of the 24th is okay,
2	the week of August 1st is okay?
3	MR. LEWIS: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE KARLIN: That's what we like to
5	hear. A nice clear schedule. That's good.
6	And I guess Ms. Young, Mr. Hamrick,
7	staff?
8	MS. YOUNG: The week of the 17th and the
9	beginning of the week of the 24th, the environmental
10	project manager is not available to attend the
11	conference and the staff would need his support if
12	you have questions in that area. He returns from
13	overseas travel and will be in the office on the
14	26th. So the balance of the week of the 24th, 26th
15	through the 28th, the staff will participate.
16	JUDGE KARLIN: Well, okay. The
17	environmental project manager, is that what you're
18	saying?
19	MS. YOUNG: Yes.
20	JUDGE KARLIN: And who is that?
21	MS. YOUNG: Richard Emch.
22	JUDGE KARLIN: Oh, Mr. Emch? Okay.
23	Well, setting him aside, what is your availability
24	the week of the 17th?
25	MS. YOUNG: Available both dates.
]	NEAL R. GROSS

the state of the control of the cont

1	JUDGE KARLIN: The week of the 17th, the
2	week of the 24th, the week of August 1st. Those are
3	all available?
4	MS. YOUNG: Correct. As long as we're
5	not talking [inaudible] contentions.
6	JUDGE KARLIN: Well, I don't know what
7	contentions we're talking but we're going to be
8	hearing from lawyers, not from technical people, so
9	I think that would be probably unnecessary, to have
10	him there.
11	MS. YOUNG: Well, the other parties are
12	trying to arrange dates where they have their
13	technical support with them, too, Judge Karlin. So
14	just understand the concern.
15	JUDGE KARLIN: Right; okay. I
16	understand. Okay.
17	MS. YOUNG: Do I owe you any other
18	dates? I'm sorry.
19	JUDGE KARLIN: No. I think that was
20	helpful. Let me then understand. The difficulty
21	with the 25th and 26th comes solely from the State
22	of Vermont, setting aside the town. Is that right?
23	Everybody else is available that date?
24	MS. YOUNG: Except the staff and
25	environmental project manager who doesn't return

till the 26th. 1 JUDGE KARLIN: Yes. Counsel. 2 Ms. Hofmann, is there no way that a lawyer from your 3 organization, you know, the State of Vermont is a 4 5 big organization, you've got Mr. Roisman and yourself, appearance in this. Is there no way that 6 7 either one of you can be available? Because the 8 only other alternative is to go to the week of the 9 17th, 18th and--the July 17, 18, 19. MS. HOFMANN: Your Honor, I'm very sorry 10 but both Mr. Roisman and I are gone. In terms of 11 Vermont being a big organization, I'm the director 12 for public advocacy, which means I have a staff of 13 six lawyers, and right now none of them are "up to 14 15 speed" on this case and actually have their own caseloads, where they're working on in-state 16 17 matters. So I don't believe I could get one of them to do it. 18 Both Mr. Roisman and I will be in 19 20 different states. Obviously if you set the date, one of us will fly back for this. 21 JUDGE KARLIN: Well, you are in town and 22 available on the 17th, 18th, and 19th? 23 MS. HOFMANN: I am available. 24

Roisman is not. But yes, I am available.

25

It would

be definitely too bad for the board not to hear Mr. Roisman's deep experience. JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. I think what we--our contemplation is we've talked about two days. It may not take two days. As we said, we may not need to hear from everyone on every contention, and, in any event, it's very unlikely that any one party would need to, or any one intervenor would need to be there more than one day. Certainly you'd probably want to listen in and have someone attending and taking notes and be on the record, but argument would probably not be required, any one more than one's day appearance. With that said, I'd like to take a brief recess here, so that I can confer with my colleagues and then we could get back to you. I think I'm tending to go to the 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, that timeframe. JUDGE ELLEMAN: Judge Karlin, this is Judge Elleman. Could I ask a question first? think I'm clear, in listening to the discussion on the status of the 17th, the 24th and August 7th. I

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

heard some comments related to the early August

date, like on August 1st or 2nd.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Are those available possibilities or are
they not available?
MS. HOFMANN: This is Sarah Hofmann for
the State of Vermont. After we did a lot of
communications between all of the parties, the date
that everyone was available was August 3rd and 4th.
I believe there were some conflicts on
August 1st and 2nd, but let me haveoh, I was going
to be at the NRC conference in D.C. for state
liaison officers, and if I have to, I'll skip that.
JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. Dr. Elleman,
unless anyone has any other questions, or any of the
board members have other questions, Dr. Wardwell,
perhaps we could take a break and we could call you.
JUDGE ELLEMAN: Okay.
MS. CURRAN: Judge Karlin, I just want
to make sure you understand. There's no way I could
do a conference on the 17th. I could hopefully get
there by the 18th, but I'm coming back from a trip
out of the country and I won't get home till late
that afternoon.
JUDGE KARLIN: Right. Okay. I
appreciate that.
appreciate that.  MS. YOUNG: Judge Karlin, this is Mitzi

the week of July 31st. 1 2 JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. MS. HOFMANN: And Your Honor, this is 3 4 Sarah Hofmann again. I would be happy to skip the state liaison officer meeting in Rockland, if you 5 6 decide to go with the first and second, and Mr. 7 Roisman is available those days. JUDGE KARLIN: We're looking to move it 8 9 up now significantly, or keep it up, rather than 10 into August, for reasons I--now we'll just have to see. All right. I appreciate you all thinking 11 12 through the schedule. Nothing can be perfect for 13 any of us, and I think one of the things that perhaps to be aware of is that having it later 14 15 rather than earlier may tend to force the board not 16 to be able to meet the 45 requirement of the regs, 17 or tentative requirement. I'm hearing that you all are okay with 18 19 that. But okay, we're going to take a 10 minute 20 break. Please stay on the line. Meanwhile we will speak on the line with Judge Elleman and Mr. Court 21 Reporter, we will now go off the record. 22 [Off the record] 23 JUDGE KARLIN: On the record. This is 24 25 Alex Karlin taking us back on the record of the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

prehearing conference call in Vermont Yankee's renewal.

Judge Elleman, are you on the line?

JUDGE ELLEMAN: I'm on the line, sir.

JUDGE KARLIN: Very good.

Thank you all for explaining it to us, and we know this is a difficult time with summer vacations and that sort of thing, and we don't really want to disrupt people's plans. We have plans of our own and we want to turn to this problem. The pleadings essentially be complete on June 30th and we feel somewhat constrained to have oral argument, if we're going to have it at all, as promptly as we can.

All that said, we're going to say that, move the date to August 1st and 2nd. We recognize that's not very much but perhaps it's a few days and we can at least keep this thing moving promptly.

I understand Ms. Hofmann may have to change her schedule, but otherwise, everyone seems to be available. So those will be the new tentative, again tentative dates, August 1st and 2nd, Tuesday and Wednesday I guess of that week.

I appreciate everyone going through this with us. So we will try to inform, obviously,

#### NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	everyone, about this issue, this effect, but that's
2	all we needed to cover on that. Appreciate your
3	help on this.
4	We had no other issues on the agenda but
5	we just wanted to check with the parties if any
6	other items need to be covered at this moment.
7	MS. YOUNG: Judge Karlin, will you be
8	memorializing this ruling in an order or is the
9	transcript going to suffice?
10	JUDGE KARLIN: Who is that, please.
11	MS. YOUNG: Mitzi Young for the staff.
12	JUDGE KARLIN: Oh, Ms. Young. Okay. I
13	think we may memorialize the tentative dates for the
14	oral argument in an order but I don't expect a full
15	order laying out everything we just said here.
16	MS. YOUNG: Okay. Well, also the
17	guidance on how to serve Judge Elleman.
18	JUDGE KARLIN: Oh. We probably wouldn't
19	put that into an order.
20	MS. YOUNG: Well, right now, you have an
21	order that says we have to do something different.
22	JUDGE KARLIN: Well, I think we will
23	recognize what we've said here, you know, verbally,
24	and that will suffice at the moment.
25	MS. YOUNG: Thank you.
1	I

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

. ..

JUDGE KARLIN: We won't hold it against 1 2 you if you comply with what we discussed here. But that's a good point to raise. I think we will issue 3 a short order reflecting the adjusted dates. 4 5 Okay. With that --6 MR. SHEMS: Excuse me. This is Ron 7 Just to be clear, how are we supposed to Shems. 8 serve Judge Elleman? Is it by FedEx or by the e-9 mail that he can print out? 10 JUDGE KARLIN: If you've got anything with a significant number of attachments or more 11 12 than, say, ten pages, I would suggest you send him 13 Federal Express. If it's relatively short, that he 14 can print out in his home computer, I think I heard 15 him say e-mail suffices 16 MR. SHEMS: Okay. Thank you very much. 17 JUDGE KARLIN: Now the problem is large 18 materials which, you know, overwhelm a private 19 person's e-mail system and printer, and that sort of 20 thing. JUDGE ELLEMAN: Judge Karlin, I would 21 22 add to that, if you're sending only by e-mail, I 23 would appreciate an ordinary mail backup just to confirm that I'm getting it. 24 25 JUDGE KARLIN: Okay. And w e will

incorporate that ultimately in an order, if and when, you know, we have contentions submitted. All right. Thank you very much for participating in this call. I think we got it done in less than an hour, so that's helpful, and again for participating on such short notice. Thank you, and we will look forward to following this case, and oral argument, perhaps in August. We'll close the record now. [Whereupon, at 2:57 p.m., the pre-hearing conference call was concluded] 

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

#### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: Entergy Nuclear Vermont

Yankee, LLC and Entergy

Nuclear Operations, Inc.

Pre-hearing Conference

Docket Number:

50-271-LR and

ASLBP No.06-849-03-LR

Location:

via teleconference

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Mong Turner Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

OURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBEI 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com