RAS 11842

June 15, 2006

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

)

)

June 15, 2006 (3:38pm) OFFICE OF SECRETARY

DOCKETED USNRC

RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

SECY-02

In the Matter of
Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC
and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

Docket No. 50-271-LR ASLBP No. 06-849-03-LR

(Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station)

ENTERGY'S ANSWER TO VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE NOTICE AND MOTION TO ADOPT CONTENTIONS

Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Entergy") hereby answer the "Notice of Intent to Adopt Contentions and Motion for Leave to be Allowed to Do So," dated June 5, 2006 (the "Motion"). DPS' Motion to adopt the contentions of the New England Coalition ("NEC") should be denied as unsupported by any showing of compliance with the latefiling criteria in 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.309(c)(1)(i)-(viii) and (f)(2)(i)-(iii).

10 C.F.R. § 2.309(b) and the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing (71 Fed. Reg. 15,220 (March 27, 2006)) in this proceeding require that a petitioner file its list of contentions within 60 days of the Notice (i.e., by May 26, 2006). 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(c) provides that any non-timely contentions will not be entertained absent a determination by the presiding officer that the request should be admitted based on a balancing of eight factors. In addition, 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f)(2) provides that new contentions may only be added after the initial filing based on a showing that:

400410321v1

Template=SECY-041

(i) The information upon which the amended or new contention is based was not previously available;

(ii) The information upon which the amended or new contention is based is materially different than information previously available; and

(iii) The amended or new contention has been submitted in a timely fashion based on the availability of subsequent information.

NRC case law establishes that these factors apply in cases where one intervenor seeks to adopt the contentions of another after the initial filing date. <u>Houston Lighting & Power Co.</u> (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-779, 21 N.R.C. 360, 381-82 (1985). DPS states that 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f)(3) authorizes one party to adopt the contentions of other parties. Motion at 1 n.1. However, that section merely requires designation of a lead representative when a party seeks to adopt another's contention. It does not "authorize" such adoption and does not waive compliance with the late-filing requirements.

DPS has not addressed the late-filing criteria. Longstanding NRC precedent obliges a petitioner to show that untimely contentions satisfy the late filing requirements, and where a petitioner fails to do so, the Board may properly dismiss the late request without further consideration. <u>Baltimore Gas & Electric Co.</u> (Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-98-25, 48 N.R.C. 325, 347 (1998) ("[T]he Commission itself has summarily dismissed petitioners who failed to address the five factors for a latefiled petition.")(footnote omitted); <u>Boston Edison Co.</u> (Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station), ALAB-816, 22 N.R.C. 461, 465-66 (1985) ("[G]iven its failure to even address the ... lateness factors, [a late] intervention petition [is] correctly denied because it [is] untimely." DPS' failure to do so is grounds by itself to deny the Motion. Because DPS has not discharged its burden of justifying its late filing, its Motion must be denied.

2

Entergy does not object to DPS participating as an interested State as authorized by 10 C.F.R. § 2.315(c) with respect to any admitted contentions.¹

Respectfully Submitted,

David R. Lewis Matias F. Travieso-Diaz PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-1128 Tel. (202) 663-8474 David.Lewis@Pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Entergy

Dated: June 15, 2006

¹ Entergy will address the admissibility of NEC's contentions when it files its answer to NEC's hearing request.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

))

)

)

In the Matter of

Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.

Docket No. 50-271-LR ASLBP No. 06-849-03-LR

(Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "Entergy's Answer to Vermont Department of

Public Service Notice and Motion to Adopt Contentions," dated June 15, 2006, were

served on the persons listed below by deposit in the U.S. Mail, first class, postage

prepaid, or with respect to Judge Elleman by overnight mail, and where indicated by an

asterisk by electronic mail, this 15th day of June, 2006.

*Administrative Judge Alex S. Karlin, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Mail Stop T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 ask2@nrc.gov

*Administrative Judge Dr. Thomas S. Elleman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board 5207 Creedmoor Road, #101, Raleigh, NC 27612. tse@nrc.gov; elleman@eos.ncsu.edu;

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication Mail Stop O-16 C1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 *Administrative Judge Dr. Richard E. Wardwell Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Mail Stop T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 rew@nrc.gov

*Secretary Att'n: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff Mail Stop O-16 C1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 secy@nrc.gov, <u>hearingdocket@nrc.gov</u>

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Mail Stop T-3 F23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

400410321v1

4

*Sarah Hofmann, Esq. Director of Public Advocacy Department of Public Service 112 State Street – Drawer 20 Montpelier, VT 05620-2601 Sarah.hofmann@state.vt.us

*Mitzi A. Young, Esq. *Steven C. Hamrick, Esq. Office of the General Counsel Mail Stop O-15 D21 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 may@nrc.gov; sch1@nrc.gov

*Diane Curran, Esq. Harmon, Curran, Spielberg &Eisenberg, LLP 1726 M Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20036 dcurran@harmoncurran.com

Mr. Dan McArthur Director, Emergency Management P.O. Box 30 Marlboro, VY 50344 *Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. National Legal Scholars Law Firm 84 East Thetford Road Lyme, NH 03768 aroisman@nationallegalscholars.com

*Ronald A. Shems, Esq *Kareb Tyler, Esq. Shems, Dunkiel, Kassel & Saunders, PLLC 9 College Street Burlington, VT 05401 <u>rshems@sdkslaw.com</u> ktyler@sdkslaw.com

*Matthew Brock, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division Office of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02108 Matthew.brock@ago.state.ma.us

Callie B. Newton, Chair Gail MacArthur Lucy Gratwick Town of Marlboro Selectboard P.O. Box 518 Marlboro, VT 05344

David R. Lewis