

Facility: MILLSTONE POINT UNIT 2 Date of Examination: 3/21/06

Developed by: Written - Facility  NRC  // Operating - Facility  NRC  N/A

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	Haf
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	Haf
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	Haf
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	Haf
{-90}	{5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)}	Haf
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	Haf
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	Haf
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	Haf
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	Haf
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	Haf
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	Haf
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	Haf
-7	13. Written examinations and <del>operating tests</del> approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h) <u>N/A</u>	Haf
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204)	Haf
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	Haf
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) <u>WRITTEN ONLY</u>	N/A

\* Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.  
 [Applies only] [Does not apply] to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Facility: <u>Millstone Power Station Unit 2</u>		Date of Examination: <u>2/10/06</u>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	cf
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	RKL	MYL	RSJ
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	RKL	MYL	RSJ
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	RKL	MYL	RSJ
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	RKL	MYL	RSJ
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. W I T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	N/A	N/A	N/A
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	*RKL	*MYL	*RSJ
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	*RKL	*MYL	*RSJ
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	RKL	MYL	RSJ
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	N/A	N/A	N/A
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	*RKL	*MYL	*RSJ
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	RKL	MYL	RSJ
a. Author	<u>Robert L. Simmins, Jr. / Robert L. Simmins</u>	Printed Name/Signature		Date
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Michael J. Cote</u>			<u>1/4/06</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>GILBERT A. JOHNSON</u>			<u>1/19/06</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>R. J. Conner</u>			<u>1/9/06</u>

Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

\* To be reverified upon exam development.

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 02/06/06 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 3/21/06. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. Robert L. Cimmino, Jr.	Operator Instructor/Exam Developer	<i>Robert L. Cimmino, Jr.</i>	12/21/05	<i>Robert L. Cimmino, Jr.</i>	3/23/06	
2. Richard J. Asher	Operator Instructor/Exam Developer	<i>Richard J. Asher</i>	12/21/05	<i>Richard J. Asher</i>	3/23/06	
3. STEVEN R. MUESS	Operator Instructor/Exam Dev	<i>Steven R. Mueess</i>	12/21/05	<i>Steven R. Mueess</i>	3/23/06	
4. Jacqueline M. Meloy	Contractor / Update Procedure	<i>Jacqueline M. Meloy</i>	12/21/05	<i>Jacqueline M. Meloy</i>	3/23/06	
5. Stephen M. Dean	Operator Instructor/Exam Developer	<i>Stephen M. Dean</i>	1-4-06	<i>Stephen M. Dean</i>	3/23/06	
6. Michael J. COTE	SUPVISOR, NUCLEAR TRAINING	<i>Michael J. Cote</i>	1/4/06	<i>Michael J. Cote</i>	3/23/06	
7. Michael G. Manolakis	Site Services Coordinator/Facilities	<i>Michael G. Manolakis</i>	1/9/06	<i>Michael G. Manolakis</i>	3/23/06	
8. Ray Landry	Site Services	<i>Ray Landry</i>	1/19/06	<i>Ray Landry</i>	3/23/06	
9. Thomas Guthrie	Business Analyst	<i>Thomas Guthrie</i>	1/31/06	<i>Thomas Guthrie</i>	3/23/06	
10. William H. Jester	Operator	<i>William H. Jester</i>	2/23/06	<i>William H. Jester</i>	3/23/06	
11. STEVEN R. MUESS	OPS. INSTRUCTOR/VALIDATOR	<i>Steven R. Mueess</i>	2/27/06	<i>Steven R. Mueess</i>	3/23/06	
12. GEORGE E. GRIFFIN	RO/VALIDATOR	<i>George E. Griffin</i>	3/1/06	<i>George E. Griffin</i>	4/6/06	
13. John Kiley	Reviewer	<i>John Kiley</i>	3/2/06	<i>John Kiley</i>	3/23/06	
14. Walter L. Ort	RO/validator	<i>Walter L. Ort</i>	3/3/06	<i>Walter L. Ort</i>	3/23/06	
15. Timothy E. Gentry	Operator Inst/Exam Dev	<i>Timothy E. Gentry</i>	3/6/06	<i>Timothy E. Gentry</i>	3/23/06	
NOTES:						
Kevin P. Dingle	Senior Instructor Nuclear Ops VALIDATOR	<i>Kevin P. Dingle</i>	3/7/06	<i>Kevin P. Dingle</i>	3/23/06	
Scott W. Aument	Reactor operator/validator	<i>Scott W. Aument</i>	3/7/06	<i>Scott W. Aument</i>	3/23/06	

Facility: <i>Millstone Unit 2</i>		Date of Exam: <i>03/21/06</i>		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
2.	a.	NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.		<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
	b.	Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.		<i>NA</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>—</i>	
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401			<i>NA</i>	<i>NA</i>	<i>—</i>	
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or ___ the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>
		<i>28 / -</i>	<i>34.7 / -</i>	<i>37.3 / -</i>			
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		CIA	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>
		<i>45.3 / -</i>		<i>54.7 / -</i>			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.			<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>RA</i>	
				Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a.	Author		<i>Robert L. Cimmino, Jr.</i>		<i>3/17/06</i>		
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)		<i>Richard J. Ashley</i>		<i>3/17/06</i>		
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)		<i>GILBERT A. JOHNSON</i>		<i>3/18/06</i>		
d.	NRC Regional Supervisor		_____		_____		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility: Millstone Unit Two		Date of Exam: 03/21/06		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	RM	TH	BAJ		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	N/A	N/A	BAJ		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	RM	TA	BAJ		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	RM	TA	BAJ		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	N/A	N/A		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	RM	TA	BAJ		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/21/06			
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<i>[Signature]</i> Trad Horner	3/21/06			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<i>[Signature]</i> / GILBERT JOHNSON	3/23/06			
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<i>[Signature]</i> / <i>[Signature]</i>	3/20/06			
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

RECEIVED  
 REGION 1  
 2006 MAR 23 AM 9:16