

**From:** <Tony\_Banks@Dom.com>  
**To:** "Nitin Patel" <NXP1@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 6/8/2006 3:14:12 PM  
**Subject:** Re: References--RAIs 4 AND 6 of RAI Letter dated 5/10/2006

Nitin - attached are the relevant pages from GE correspondence referenced in Dominion's May 24, 2006 responses to NRC's May 10, 2006 RAIs 4 and 6. Content from GEDO letters -0014 and -0026 provide information for RAI 4. Content from GEDO letter -0020 provides information for RAI 6. (This is the same information that was provided in the 5/24/06 response.)

Joe and I will follow up this transmittal with a letter.

Please let me know if you have any questions - thank you.

Tony Banks, MPH, CHMM  
Dominion  
ESP/COL Project  
Project Lead - Environmental  
804/273-2170

(See attached file: 060806 GEDO-SR5-2006-0026-ESBWR\_EAB Dose\_tb.pdf)(See attached file: 060806 GEDO-SR5-2006-0014-ESBWR\_LOCA\_Values\_Report\_tb.pdf)  
(See attached file: 060806 GEDO-SR5-2006-0020-ESBWR Source Term based on MAAP runs\_tb.pdf)

-----  
**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and/or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.

**CC:** "Jack Cushing" <JXC9@nrc.gov>, <Joseph\_Hegner@Dom.com>, <rlbaker@bechtel.com>, "Kingston, Rick E. (GE Infra, Energy)" <Rick.Kingston@ge.com>, <Tony\_Banks@Dom.com>

**Mail Envelope Properties** (448876F5.0F5 : 22 : 61685)

**Subject:** Re: References--RAIs 4 AND 6 of RAI Letter dated 5/10/2006  
**Creation Date** 6/8/2006 3:13:06 PM  
**From:** <Tony\_Banks@Dom.com>

**Created By:** Tony\_Banks@Dom.com

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
 TWGWPO03.HQGWDO01  
 NXP1 (Nitin Patel)

nrc.gov  
 OWGWPO03.HQGWDO01  
 JXC9 CC (Jack Cushing)

ge.com  
 Rick.Kingston CC (Rick E. (GE Infra Energy) Kingston)

bechtel.com  
 rlbaker CC

Dom.com  
 Joseph\_Hegner CC

**Post Office**

TWGWPO03.HQGWDO01  
 OWGWPO03.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov  
 nrc.gov  
 ge.com  
 bechtel.com  
 Dom.com

Files	Size	Date & Time	
MESSAGE	1601	6/8/2006 3:13:06 PM	
060806 GEDO-SR5-2006-0026-ESBWR_EAB Dose_tb.pdf			93518
060806 GEDO-SR5-2006-0014-ESBWR_LOCA_Values_Report_tb.pdf			110488
060806 GEDO-SR5-2006-0020-ESBWR Source Term based on MAAP runs_tb.pdf			105872
Mime.822	428118		

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No

**Return Notification:**           None

**Concealed Subject:**           No  
**Security:**                     Standard

<b>GE Nuclear Energy</b>		<b>GE-NE-0000-0054-0400</b>					
Title: Dominion Requested Information			Originator: E. Kirstein		NACoE		
			DRF Number: 0000-0053-9734				
			DRF Section #: 0000-0054-0400				
Verified	Final	GE/GNF External	Date: 5/10/06	Sheet 1 of 2			

Dominion requested item #1:

For LOCA, we need the 2-hour window that results in the highest EAB dose [example 1 to 3 hours] along with the releases that would occur during this 2-hour window.

GE Response:

Although the maximum LOCA EAB dose occurs from 2.6 to 4.6 hours, it has been determined that the EAB dose from 2.0 to 4.0 hours is within 1% of the maximum EAB dose. Please use the 2- and 4-hour values from Table 1 of the attachment (file *ESBWR\_LOCA\_Values\_Report* in the ProjectNet *Dominion - Common* folder) to letter GEDO-SR5-2006-0014.

Dominion requested item #2:

For Failure of Small Lines Containing Primary Coolant Outside Containment, we need to understand how GE computed the EAB dose. In the DCD for ESBWR was this dose computed for 8 hours as suggested by Bechtel in their e-mail below? If that is the case, does GE plan to modify the DCD to provide a 2-hour EAB dose for this accident? We would then need the revised dose and associated releases for the worst 2-hour window for this accident.

GE Response:

The analysis for this accident assumes a single atmospheric dispersion factor (X/Q) for both the EAB and LPZ doses. The dose for the duration of the event was determined to be 0.7 rem TEDE in Table 15.4-19 of the DCD. Since the EAB dose is calculated for the worst 2-hour window, the EAB would be less than 0.7 rem TEDE. Stating an EAB dose of 0.7 rem TEDE is conservative. GE does not intend to modify the DCD to provide a 2-hour EAB dose for this accident.

<b>GE Nuclear Energy</b>		<b>GE-NE-0000-0054-0400</b>					
Title: Dominion Requested Information			Originator: E. Kirstein		NACoE		
			DRF Number: 0000-0053-9734				
			DRF Section #: 0000-0054-0400				
Verified	Final	GE/GNF External	Date: 5/10/06	Sheet 2 of 2			

Dominion requested item #3:

For Fuel Handling Accident, we need to understand why the releases do not seem compatible with the calculated doses and we will need revised values to provide to NRC.

GE Response:

The values in DCD Table 15.4-3 need to be adjusted by applying the gap release fraction. The correct environmental release values for the FHA are provided in the table below:

Isotope	Activity (MBq)
Kr-85	3.0E+07
Kr-85m	8.2E+06
Kr-87	1.3E+03
Kr-88	2.6E+06
I-131	8.9E+06
I-132	7.2E+06
I-133	5.7E+06
I-134	3.1E-01
I-135	9.4E+05
Xe-133	2.4E+09
Xe-135	6.3E+08

DCD Table 15.4-3 will be revised to reflect these values in the next revision of the DCD.