

RAS 11780

# Official Transcript of Proceedings

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Pre-hearing Conference ITMO David Geisen

Docket Number: (not provided)

Location: (telephone conference)

Date: Thursday, June 8, 2006

DOCKETED  
USNRC

June 14, 2006 (2:56pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Work Order No.: NRC-1089

Pages 116-147

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC.  
Court Reporters and Transcribers  
1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 234-4433

TEMPLATE = SECY-032

SECY-02

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL  
PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE

\_\_\_\_\_ ]  
In the Matter of:        ||  
DAVID GEISEN                ||  
\_\_\_\_\_ ]

Rockville, Maryland

Thursday, June 8, 2006

The above-entitled conference was convened telephonically and in room T-3B45 of White Flint North, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MICHAEL C. FARRAR, ASLBP Administrative Judge

E. ROY HAWKENS, ASLBP Administrative Judge

NICHOLAS G. TRIKOUROS, ASLBP Administrative Judge

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

## 1 APPEARANCES:

2 On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission3 Staff:

4 SARA E. BROCK, ESQ.

5 MICHAEL E. SPENCER, ESQ.

6 of: Office of the General Counsel

7 Mail Stop - O-15 D21

8 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

9 Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

10  
11 On Behalf of David Geisen:

12 RICHARD A. HIBEY, ESQ.

13 of: Miller &amp; Chevalier

14 655 15th Street, N.W., Suite 900

15 Washington, D.C. 20005-5701

16  
17 ALSO PRESENT:

18 Jonathan Rund, ASLBP Staff

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(10:00 a.m.)

1  
2  
3 JUDGE FARRAR: We'll go on the record in  
4 a status teleconference, the Geisen matter. This is  
5 Mike Farrar. I'm the chairman of the licensing  
6 board. I have with me here at headquarters my  
7 colleagues, Roy Hawkens, Nick Trikouros, my fellow  
8 judges, and John Rund, our law clerk.

9 For Mr. Geisen, who do we have?

10 MR. HIBEY: Richard Hibey and Matt  
11 Reinhart.

12 JUDGE FARRAR: Thank you. And for the  
13 NRC staff?

14 MS. BROCK: Sarah Brock and Michael  
15 Spencer.

16 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Thank you. I  
17 know you're all busy so we won't take much of your  
18 time. We just want to try to keep the case moving,  
19 and see if there's anything we need to do to--Mr.  
20 Hibey, are you going to be filing an opposition with  
21 the Commission to the staff's motion for a stay?

22 MR. HIBEY: Yes, Your Honor.

23 JUDGE FARRAR: When will that be filed?

24 MR. HIBEY: I believe we have ten days,  
25 and I'm not sure how that's counted, but somebody

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 counted it for me, and I believe that comes out to  
2 somewhere around Monday. We are hoping to file on  
3 Friday, meaning tomorrow.

4 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Fine. All  
5 right. And for now, since there's no stay in  
6 existence, we plan to proceed as though there is no  
7 stay. Of course if the Commission determines that  
8 there should be a stay, we'll deal with that, if and  
9 when we get to it.

10 We noticed the document exchange, the  
11 other day, I guess that was earlier this week, on  
12 the 5th.

13 Mr. Hibey, you now have staff documents  
14 that they served on you, and sent us information  
15 about, and you also have from the U.S. Attorney  
16 inklings\* of the open file discovery. Could you  
17 elaborate on both of those a little, please.

18 MR. HIBEY: Yes, Your Honor. You should  
19 know that I am, and have been at my circuit's  
20 judicial conference, so I have not personally  
21 eyeballed what has been sent by the staff but I  
22 understand we received eight boxes of material. I  
23 cannot be more descriptive as to the contents.

24 With respect to the submissions of the  
25 Justice Department in the criminal case, we have

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 received--

2 JUDGE FARRAR: Mr. Hibey, are you still  
3 there?

4 MR. RUND: It sounds like we may have  
5 lost him.

6 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Then let's hold  
7 on.

8 [Pause]

9 JUDGE FARRAR: Mr. Reinhart, can you--

10 MR. REINHART: I could continue to  
11 elaborate--

12 JUDGE FARRAR: No, I meant, could you,  
13 in case he thinks he's--well, I assume he'll know  
14 soon enough that he's--

15 MR. REINHART: Yes. Unfortunately,  
16 we're not in the [audio beep] right now.

17 MR. HIBEY: I'm sorry. We got cut off.

18 JUDGE FARRAR: Right, Mr. Hibey, we lost  
19 you just as you were starting to say what the  
20 Department of Justice had done.

21 MR. HIBEY: Exactly. We have received  
22 from them several thousand pages of material and  
23 many dozens of CDs, CDs I guess is what they call  
24 them, of material, which we are processing as we  
25 speak and attempting to absorb that material as

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 well. We are advised that the discovery, the  
2 document, the paper discovery in the criminal case  
3 has been completed. We are not in a position to  
4 confirm that the discovery needs in that, in the  
5 criminal case, or discovery in the criminal case has  
6 been completed to our satisfaction.

7 I anticipate that this month we will be  
8 submitting a discovery letter to the Justice  
9 Department with very specific requests for material.

10 That's about as far as I could take it  
11 this morning.

12 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Let me,  
13 before I ask staff if they have anything they want  
14 to add, let me extend to the staff the board's  
15 appreciation that you did jump in and beat the 30-  
16 day deadline by a considerable amount. We  
17 appreciated that in terms of moving the case along,  
18 and the footnote in your papers to the Commission,  
19 which indicated that you would adhere to that,  
20 notwithstanding the filing of the paper with the  
21 Commission.

22 So thank you for keeping the case  
23 moving.

24 Ms. Brock, Mr. Spencer, is there  
25 anything you want to add on the status of discovery?

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. BROCK: Sure. I think we sent seven  
2 or eight boxes over. We still have not served our  
3 proprietary documents because we need a  
4 nondisclosure agreement by somebody who I can send  
5 them to.

6 JUDGE FARRAR: All right.

7 MS. BROCK: So that's still pending.  
8 And we also haven't received any documents from Mr.  
9 Geisen under 2704.

10 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. I think you  
11 served a list of the proprietary--

12 MS. BROCK: We did; yeah.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: But you're holding those  
14 until you get the statement.

15 MS. BROCK: Yes.

16 JUDGE FARRAR: Mr. Hibey, you said you'd  
17 been away.

18 MR. HIBEY: I am away.

19 JUDGE FARRAR: You are away. There  
20 won't be any problem getting that protective order  
21 signed and--

22 MR. HIBEY: Oh, no. No, Your Honor.

23 JUDGE FARRAR: Fine. And in terms of  
24 you making the mutual exchange, you'll be able to  
25 attend to that when you're back, or--

1 MR. HIBEY: Well, I think probably the  
2 safety thing for me to say at this point is that we  
3 will meet our obligations. I do not have direct, in  
4 front of me, to know precisely how that is spelled  
5 out, and I don't know exactly how--this is my  
6 ignorance but I'm professing to it right now.

7 That I don't know how rebuttal documents  
8 are handled. I want to be sure that I have the  
9 right not to turn over documents I intend to use in  
10 the cross-examination of witnesses.

11 If there are documents I'm going to use  
12 in a case in chief, I certainly would be prepared to  
13 turn those over as of when they are identified or as  
14 of when they are required to be identified.

15 MS. BROCK: Your Honor, may I speak to  
16 that?

17 JUDGE FARRAR: Yes.

18 MS. BROCK: I think I can bring some  
19 potential clarity. We've recently revised our Part  
20 Two rules and this is a little bit of an oddity, but  
21 as I think all the parties are aware, in general,  
22 our rules are written more contemplating licensing  
23 proceedings. And for a subpart D proceeding under--  
24 for a subpart D proceeding, NRC staff discloses its  
25 documents pursuant to 2.336, which requires us to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 disclose within 30 days of the order granting the  
2 hearing.

3 We went ahead with that disclosure.  
4 Another party requires, discloses pursuant to 2.704,  
5 are their initial disclosures, and that's supposed  
6 to come 45 days after the issuance of a prehearing  
7 conference order, following the initial prehearing  
8 conference. It's thinking in terms of after  
9 contentions are admitted.

10 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

11 MS. BROCK: And so we weren't sure of  
12 what, since we had initially asked for a stay, and  
13 the timing of the case was somewhat thrown off, we  
14 went ahead and when you asked us when we can serve,  
15 we just went ahead and served them--I mean, our  
16 documents are public anyway--and I'm fine with  
17 whatever, comfortable with how Mr. Geisen wants to  
18 serve the documents under 2704. We just would want,  
19 then, time before discovery starts, before  
20 interrogatories and the discovery starts, to review  
21 them.

22 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Ms. Brock, if  
23 I remember correctly, and I don't have the other  
24 files in front of me, in the Miller and Moffitt  
25 proceedings, the issue in initial--I think we called

1 it the initial scheduling order, after you and Ms.  
2 Penny agreed on a discovery schedule--didn't we  
3 caption that initial scheduling order?

4 MS. BROCK: Right. We did.

5 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. But we have not  
6 done that in this proceeding.

7 MS. BROCK: Right. And Ms. Penny and I  
8 simply agreed to do our document disclosure on the  
9 same date.

10 JUDGE FARRAR: Right. So why don't we  
11 do--because of the, this case was complicated with  
12 the stay motion, why don't, when Mr. Hibey gets  
13 back--oh, I take it then you and Mr. Hibey have not  
14 started to talk about a discovery schedule?

15 MS. BROCK: No.

16 MR. HIBEY: That's correct.

17 MS. BROCK: I spoke with Mr. Wise a  
18 couple weeks ago and he was going to examine the  
19 obligations under 2704.

20 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. Why don't we do  
21 this, rather than us jump in, when Mr. Hibey gets  
22 back, Mr. Hibey, if you would be good enough to call  
23 the staff and see if, between the two of you, and  
24 come up with a plan that would, you know make sense  
25 in the circumstances for how you want to handle

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 this.

2 MR. HIBEY: We'll do it.

3 JUDGE FARRAR: Those who've practiced  
4 before me in the PFS case know that the boards  
5 generally prefer that the parties work this out, and  
6 I see, I think it was in the newspaper yesterday,  
7 some federal judge got so fed up with lawyers who  
8 couldn't agree on anything, that he directed them to  
9 play \*rock paper scissors on the courthouse steps,  
10 to decide where a deposition was going to be. So I  
11 guess--

12 MR. HIBEY: It won't come to that, Your  
13 Honor, believe me.

14 JUDGE FARRAR: I saw that story. But  
15 the Commission probably would not like it, if we had  
16 to enter an order like that against you.

17 MR. HIBEY: I'm confident we're going to  
18 be able to work these things out. In fact, now that  
19 Ms. Brock has reminded me, that Mr. Wise has already  
20 begun discussions with her, it's clear to me all  
21 this is going to be done in a very civilized  
22 fashion.

23 JUDGE FARRAR: Right, and, you know  
24 we've always been on the view here that you all can  
25 work out a better system than we can impose on you,

1 if we have to.

2 MR. HIBEY: Exactly; yeah. We agree.

3 JUDGE FARRAR: Good. Then we'll leave  
4 that to you all, and I guess at this point, even  
5 though we have not issued a document styled initial  
6 scheduling order, we would perhaps want to do that  
7 after you come up with a plan. So Mr. Hibey, even  
8 though your 45 days doesn't start to run until the  
9 initial scheduling order, if you all can work out a  
10 system, build that into an initial scheduling order,  
11 and go from there. So if we--

12 MR. HIBEY: Yes, Your Honor.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: --can compress all this,  
14 that would be more efficient and save some time down  
15 the road, and so let's--I noticed, Ms. Brock, you  
16 served some documents, are temporarily withholding  
17 the proprietary ones, and then you had a variety of  
18 privilege logs.

19 How do you all contemplate, within what  
20 timeframe, any challenges by Mr. Hibey where he'd  
21 say, all right, that's a qualified privilege but  
22 here's my reasons for needing a particular document?  
23 How do we set up a management plan to handle those?

24 Well, let me start, have you and Ms.  
25 Penny, Ms. Brock, done any of that in the other

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 cases?

2 MS. BROCK: We didn't get any challenges  
3 to our privilege. I think by our rules, the  
4 challenge to a privileged document is due within ten  
5 days of service of the list under F--more  
6 [unintell]\* according to regulations. But under--  
7 what did you say it was?--two point--two three two?

8 JUDGE FARRAR: The usual business about  
9 a motion--

10 MS. BROCK: Right. The 2323, the  
11 motions must be made no later than ten days, and I  
12 think in other proceedings that's been applied to  
13 initial documents. All the hearings file in the  
14 2336\* disclosures are due within ten days, the  
15 service of the--

16 JUDGE FARRAR: Well, it's due within,  
17 the regulation which I don't have in front of me I  
18 think says within ten days of the prehearing--

19 MS. BROCK: Right. Ten days of the  
20 event that caused the--

21 JUDGE FARRAR: Right, and I think the  
22 Vermont Yankee board said the triggering event is,  
23 in fact, your service of the privilege log. I guess  
24 that gets into--I know there were some concern in  
25 that case, that when you get a privilege log that

1 contains only the information that they usually do  
2 and the ability to challenge those, difficult to  
3 challenge them right out of the box and particularly  
4 when we're dealing with thousands and thousands of  
5 documents. So maybe we need to talk about what, in  
6 the circumstances of this case, would be a  
7 reasonable time to file any privilege objections.

8 MR. REINHART: Your Honor, this is Mr.  
9 Reinhart. If I may speak on that issue. We  
10 received the privilege logs from staff on this  
11 Monday, the 5th. There's at least four different  
12 logs with over 42 pages of entries, combined with  
13 the ten boxes of materials we received. I think I  
14 would request that some sort of way to work within  
15 the rule, perhaps with our other scheduling with Ms.  
16 Brock, as to a schedule to find a mutually agreeable  
17 time to challenge these entries.

18 It's going to be tough work and tough  
19 justice to weigh through those within the next five  
20 days and file a motion.

21 JUDGE FARRAR: Ms. Brock, what's your  
22 thought on that?

23 MS. BROCK: I'm sorry. I'm slightly  
24 caught offguard. I guess that's fine. I guess our  
25 privilege logs probably are 42 pages, so compared to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealgross.com](http://www.nealgross.com)

1 the total number of documents, it's not that many  
2 pages. Well, to a certain extent, we followed the  
3 guidance in Vermont Yankee, so I think our privilege  
4 logs are a little bit better than the ones that have  
5 been found deficient there.

6 But I don't mind giving them a little  
7 bit more time than the ten days. That's fine.

8 JUDGE FARRAR: Then why don't we include  
9 that in your list of things to negotiate and I know,  
10 again in the Vermont Yankee case, there was a  
11 question of how do you know--in other words--

12 MR. HIBEY: That's right.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: I took a quick look at  
14 these logs, and now, granted, I'm not an expert in  
15 this kind of thing, but it looked to me like if I  
16 were opposing counsel, I'd look at that, I might  
17 say, hey, wait, that's something I need. If the  
18 description isn't clear enough, wouldn't the first  
19 thing be, Ms. Brock, pick up the phone and call you  
20 and say, wait, I need to know more information about  
21 that document to know whether I'm going to  
22 [inaudible]\*. Otherwise, we'd have a incalculable  
23 number of motions filed with us where the counsel  
24 seeking the documents doesn't really know what they  
25 are and our first order of business would be to tell

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 him to talk to you. How do we work around all that?

2 MS. BROCK: I think that makes a lot of  
3 sense. I guess what I would add--well, which might  
4 be beneficial too, reviewing them--the sense in  
5 which we followed the Vermont Yankee decision is one  
6 of the things the staff was criticized for in that  
7 case, was asserting a deliberative process privilege  
8 without having senior management review of it.

9 In this case, prior to adding any  
10 document to our deliberative process--we identified  
11 documents potential for deliberative process, and  
12 had them reviewed by either the director of the  
13 Office of Investigations or the director of the  
14 Office of Enforcement, and we actually ended up  
15 releasing most of them, and so on that particular  
16 privilege log, there's very few documents on it.

17 And I guess, actually, maybe this is the  
18 answer, when I'm thinking about some of Ms. Penny's  
19 document requests include things off of our  
20 privilege log, and that's also a perfectly  
21 acceptable way to handle it in my mind. It just was  
22 we were trying to be sensitive to the board's  
23 concerns from Vermont Yankee, that we had in that  
24 case invented the rule.

25 JUDGE FARRAR: I appreciate that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MS. BROCK: But if that's okay, I agree  
2 with you, that it's a better way to work it out and  
3 to discuss the documents prior to filing motions  
4 over it.

5 JUDGE FARRAR: For example, you had one  
6 log that was, had a lot of personnel files in it?

7 MS. BROCK: Yes.

8 JUDGE FARRAR: And I take it that that's  
9 a qualified, not an unqualified privilege?

10 MS. BROCK: The personal privacy ones?  
11 Yeah. Well, and, actually, on some of those we  
12 would probably be willing to release them under a  
13 protective order, if they want them, which I guess  
14 is why you're saying it's better for us to work it  
15 out. It's just that, in general, our documents,  
16 when we release them, are released to the public.

17 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

18 MS. BROCK: And so we started off by  
19 putting things like personal privacy files on the  
20 privilege log. I mean, in terms of specific ones,  
21 it would obviously be somewhat document by document,  
22 and I'd have to look at the Privacy Act to make sure  
23 we didn't run afoul of that in giving it out. But I  
24 think that that would fit in some of the uses of it.

25 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Then Mr.

1 Hibey and Mr. Reinhart, or if this all makes--do you  
2 want to address any of this?

3 MR. HIBEY: Well, I can only draw from  
4 my experience, Your Honor, which, unhappily, until  
5 now, has not included litigation with the staff.  
6 But in my world, there would be a need to confer,  
7 that would address specifically requests that we  
8 would make regarding assertedly privileged material,  
9 and an attempt would be made to come to some  
10 resolution about documents that are covered by those  
11 assertions.

12 I expect that that's what we would be  
13 doing here. I think apparently you've had an  
14 opportunity to look at the lists, and apparently  
15 also my colleague, Mr. Reinhart, has had an  
16 opportunity to look at them, and about the only  
17 thing that I am hearing that suggests a commonality  
18 of view is that they are lengthy, and that suggests,  
19 to me, that there'll be a considerable amount of  
20 time devoted to our looking at these lists and  
21 attempting to discern what we can from the  
22 descriptions contained therein.

23 To the extent the descriptions are  
24 precise, then our discussions with the staff about  
25 precisely described assertions of the privilege will

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 be that much more focused. Where the descriptions  
2 are wanting, then I suppose, if our needs go in that  
3 direction we'll want to know more. All of that  
4 would be the subject of a meet and confer, and what  
5 comes of that I suppose is anybody's guess on this  
6 call as to whether we will press for the production  
7 of material in certain categories or whether we will  
8 simply stand down and move on to something else.

9 All of this by way of saying that we're  
10 just going to have to block out a period of time  
11 within which to deal with the staff on these things,  
12 before we have to trouble the panel.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: Go ahead, Ms. Brock.

14 MS. BROCK: I agree with that, and it  
15 occurred to me as Mr. Hibey was speaking, that some  
16 of these things, since we prepared the documents for  
17 disclosure, primarily with Ms. Penny in mind, and  
18 then essentially duplicated them here, there's two  
19 things that I should probably mention that might not  
20 be obvious, which is one, part of the reason why  
21 our--and I think this will be beneficial to  
22 everybody in looking at them--part of the reason  
23 that the personal privacy privilege log looks so  
24 long, is that any document that has an A after the  
25 Bate number, that reflects that there's a redacted

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 version, and so you received a redacted copy of that  
2 document and we're essentially putting the  
3 redactions on the personal privacy privilege log.  
4 And, in general, our redactions were somewhat, were  
5 not that significant, and we did not put on the  
6 logs, though, any time we redacted somebody's Social  
7 Security number or address out of a transcript, we  
8 didn't actually put that on the log. We only put on  
9 redactions that you might--it wasn't obvious, what  
10 it was.

11 So I just offer that as clarity in terms  
12 of what you're looking at, and if you have other  
13 questions in going through that about kind of the  
14 way it looks, any of the three of us would be happy  
15 to explain further.

16 MR. HIBEY: I'm sure that's what it'll  
17 come to, you know a discussion, and then for us to  
18 assess whether the explanations, in their final  
19 articulation, satisfy the situation. We're  
20 obviously not going to press--the only words I can  
21 think of right now are "for the hell of it." I  
22 mean, we're going to look at everything, and if we  
23 need something that we think is currently being  
24 withheld, we'll ask for it.

25 MS. BROCK: Yes; that's fine.

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 JUDGE FARRAR: Let me then summarize  
2 where I think we are. It sounds like, given what  
3 both Ms. Brock and Mr. Hibey, Mr. Reinhart have  
4 said, the "meet and confer" seems to be the next  
5 order of business--

6 MR. HIBEY: Yes.

7 JUDGE FARRAR: --and that would be  
8 working as part of this larger discussion that we  
9 mentioned earlier, and given that that's going to  
10 happen, then at least informally, it would say that  
11 the events that would trigger the ten day period for  
12 filing a motion have not yet happened. We don't  
13 want to get into the Vermont Yankee situation, have  
14 to make a formal ruling, one way or another, but if  
15 the parties are in agreement, just through this  
16 discussion today in the transcript, and then we  
17 memorialize it, order later that the--not that  
18 that's the way the regulation would be interpreted  
19 for all time, but that the parties have in fact  
20 agreed, that for purposes of the circumstances of  
21 this case, the events have not yet happened that  
22 would lead to the filing of a motion.

23 Is that acceptable to you, Ms. Brock?

24 MS. BROCK: Yes.

25 JUDGE FARRAR: And Mr. Hibey?

1 MR. HIBEY: It is, indeed. May I make a  
2 comment, Your Honor. Perhaps something I should  
3 have mentioned to you when you first asked me what  
4 the state of discovery was. I'd like to expand my  
5 response to give you a sense of what's happening in  
6 the criminal case--

7 JUDGE FARRAR: All right.

8 MR. HIBEY: --because I think it has  
9 some bearing on the issue we're talking about here.  
10 We had a discovery cut-off date--strike that--a  
11 motions, pretrial motion cut-off date, I believe  
12 roughly the 24th of May.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

14 MR. HIBEY: The government, meaning the  
15 prosecutors, and we, submitted a request, granted by  
16 the magistrate judge, to convert that date into a  
17 status conference call.

18 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

19 MR. HIBEY: And as a result of that,  
20 another status call has been scheduled for a date in  
21 July. The precise date escapes me. In the interim,  
22 the parties have also agreed with the court's  
23 acquiescence to classify the case under the speedy  
24 trial act, as complex and protracted.

25 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 MR. HIBEY: Thereby relieving the  
2 parties from the rigor of imposed deadlines, that  
3 the rules of procedure provide for. I think the  
4 key, for purposes of this discussion, is the  
5 recognition in the order, that the court either has  
6 signed or will be signing shortly, that this is a  
7 complex and protracted litigation.

8 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

9 MR. HIBEY: That I thought that I would  
10 share that information with you in terms of the  
11 judicial recognition that that's the kind of case  
12 we're dealing with.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

14 MR. HIBEY: So I appreciate the  
15 indulgence that I feel the board is giving us in  
16 terms of allowing us to work out some of the  
17 important details of discovery that attend this  
18 case.

19 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. We appreciate  
20 that. In that vein, expeditious consideration that  
21 the case has to get is, I think we said mostly, you  
22 know, a benefit to the person who's subject.  
23 Second, thank you all for working it out. It'll end  
24 up going much faster than us requiring you file  
25 motions kind of in the dark, and us ruling on them

1 in the dark. While it appears that we're giving you  
2 extra time, I think, in the long run, we're really  
3 shortening the time that--

4 MR. HIBEY: I believe we are; yes.

5 JUDGE FARRAR: --the case would take.  
6 Along those lines, is it too soon for us to say, all  
7 right, let's meet and confer--and, you know, I know  
8 you have to review the documents first, Mr. Hibey,  
9 in the "meet and confer." Do you want to put an  
10 ending date on which you would file something that  
11 kind a summarizes your discussion and puts forward a  
12 plan, both for how you're going to resolve all these  
13 preliminary matters, and what your discovery  
14 schedule would be?

15 MR. HIBEY: Well, I think it would be  
16 premature, but I'll tell you what I'd like to do,  
17 and you tell me whether you want to reduce that to  
18 an order. I mean, we're here to abide by whatever  
19 the board says. When I get back into town and I'm  
20 at my desk, which is on Monday, I would like very  
21 much the opportunity to pull my team together,  
22 because as we speak, the only one in the office is  
23 Mr. Reinhart.

24 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

25 MR. HIBEY: And have us assess what we

1 want to do with as much specificity as possible.  
2 Then I'll pick up the phone and call Ms. Brock, see  
3 if we can't get together and deal with this thing.  
4 I would say that when we lock in that date for a  
5 meeting, you should know that.

6 JUDGE FARRAR: Right. So why don't you  
7 tell us--yeah--when you and Ms. Brock have settled  
8 on a date to try to resolve all this, let us know  
9 about that.

10 MR. HIBEY: Right.

11 JUDGE FARRAR: And then after that  
12 meeting, after you all have met, why don't you pick  
13 a date and say, okay, we've got a lotta things that  
14 we've agreed to work on, some are resolved, some are  
15 not. We will report to the board with a scheduling  
16 order or management plan by date X, you know, so you  
17 all agree on a date--

18 MR. HIBEY: Yes, Your Honor.

19 JUDGE FARRAR: --and tell us, okay, you  
20 don't have everything done, it's going to take a  
21 week, six weeks to do that, but you will be sending  
22 us a joint report on date X--

23 MR. HIBEY: Yes.

24 JUDGE FARRAR: --some time in the  
25 future.

1 MR. HIBEY: Exactly.

2 JUDGE FARRAR: Ms. Brock, is that all  
3 right?

4 MS. BROCK: Sure. That's fine. I mean,  
5 we're happy, basically, to go along with any  
6 reasonable schedule. We, as you all know, wanted to  
7 stay the proceedings, so we're not pushing it to  
8 move quickly.

9 JUDGE FARRAR: Right, and the reason I  
10 pick a date is not that, there's anything magic  
11 about that date, but we all sometimes work better  
12 with some deadline looming ahead of us. So we  
13 wouldn't, you know second-guess that date so much  
14 as, you know that's something where we know the case  
15 is on track.

16 MR. HIBEY: Yes.

17 JUDGE FARRAR: We won't get this  
18 transcript right away, and we have some travel next  
19 week, so we will not get out an immediate order  
20 summarizing all this, but you all know what we've  
21 agreed on.

22 MR. HIBEY: Yes.

23 JUDGE FARRAR: And I like your idea, Mr.  
24 Hibey, notify us when you have a date for your "meet  
25 and confer," and then, when that's done, that's the

1 date that you [inaudible]\* with us.

2 Let me ask more questions. Last Friday,  
3 the 2nd, the Commission handed down another  
4 Siemazsko decision in the matter of the  
5 discretionary intervention that had been given to  
6 the Union of Concerned Scientists, and, in essence,  
7 said the board had not been specific enough  
8 [inaudible]\* and it remanded for that lack of  
9 specificity, told the board to go ahead and  
10 reconsider that, notwithstanding today.

11 I notice in that decision that the Union  
12 of Concerned Scientists didn't defend its own  
13 participation. Mr. Siemazsko defended their  
14 participation.

15 Are they lurking around this case? Is  
16 this something that we were going to be called upon  
17 to deal with? Or Mr. Hibey, your firm is in this by  
18 yourself, or what's--I just don't want us to be  
19 surprised, somewhere down the road, with some kind  
20 of--

21 MR. HIBEY: Well, if you're going to be  
22 surprised, so am I. No, I haven't seen--they have  
23 not surfaced with me.

24 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay.

25 MR. HIBEY: I have no "handle" on that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 I don't anticipate their participation in this case.

2 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Ms. Brock,  
3 you wouldn't have any other information than that, I  
4 assume?

5 MS. BROCK: No. The Union of Concerned  
6 Scientists has long been interested in all matters  
7 related to Davis-Besse, but if they filed an  
8 intervention petition at this point they would be,  
9 in this proceeding, they'd be well out of time and  
10 need to meet the standards for a late-filed  
11 petition.

12 JUDGE FARRAR: But you don't have any  
13 factual information about any intentions they have,  
14 or anything?

15 MS. BROCK: No.

16 JUDGE FARRAR: You know, I didn't want  
17 to have us be surprised, later on, by something that  
18 we might have been advised about now, and obviously  
19 we have no--I'll put that on our list of things not  
20 to worry about.

21 MR. HIBEY: Right.

22 JUDGE FARRAR: All right. Anything  
23 else? Well, let me ask my colleagues if they have  
24 anything they want to add. Anything additional you  
25 want to bring to our discussion?

1 MR. HIBEY: No. Any questions I have on  
2 my mind at this time, I think are best directed to  
3 Ms. Brock, rather than to be raised with the court.

4 JUDGE FARRAR: Right. We appreciate  
5 that. Ms. Brock, anything you all want to bring to  
6 our attention?

7 MS. BROCK: The only thing I'd add, Your  
8 Honor, is similar along your notes, of whether UCS  
9 is lurking outside, is I think all the parties know  
10 we issued five orders to individuals involved in  
11 Davis-Besse, on January, was it 3rd or 4th? January  
12 3rd or 4th.

13 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

14 MS. BROCK: We granted every individual  
15 involved in that an extension of time to respond to  
16 the order.

17 JUDGE FARRAR: Right.

18 MS. BROCK: For four individuals, it was  
19 30 days. For the fifth individual, it was more like  
20 six months. And so that deadline's coming up. I  
21 have no idea whether or not there'll be a hearing  
22 request on that.

23 JUDGE FARRAR: Who was that?

24 MS. BROCK: Mr. Boyle.

25 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay.

1 MS. BROCK: And they didn't actually  
2 bring the date in front of me. I think it's  
3 something like July 5th.

4 JUDGE FARRAR: Okay. So was that an  
5 immediately effective order?

6 MS. BROCK: Yes, it was.

7 JUDGE FARRAR: And that's still lurking  
8 out there. But under our system, that could be  
9 assigned to a brand new order or--

10 MS. BROCK: That's right; that's right.  
11 It's not inextricably--

12 JUDGE FARRAR: No, I appreciate you  
13 mentioning that that's out there. We don't see any  
14 of the papers until, you know there's a request for  
15 hearing that's, you know sent over to us. So we  
16 were not aware of that. I appreciate you bringing  
17 it to our attention.

18 So I think the board's point of view,  
19 this is a good outcome to this call, and a good way  
20 to proceed, to move as quickly as possible on your  
21 own, solving as many things as you can before you  
22 have to bring matters to our attention. I again  
23 appreciate the cooperative attitude for bringing  
24 this ahead as quickly as you all are able, on the  
25 assumption--well, the fact that there is no stay in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 existence now and that'll for the Commission to  
2 determine when we get Mr. Hibey's response.

3 But we will just continue to go ahead.  
4 We appreciate the cooperative attitude.

5 MR. HIBEY: I have one last question.

6 JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, sir?

7 MR. HIBEY: Your Honor, if you don't  
8 mind, in the ordinary course, do I understand that  
9 anything I file with the Commission the board gets,  
10 or should I be directing something to you?

11 JUDGE FARRAR: I think as a courtesy,  
12 most parties direct it to us. There may be a system  
13 that gets it to us but I don't know how reliable  
14 that is.

15 MR. HIBEY: All right. Well, then  
16 you'll get courtesy copies from us.

17 JUDGE FARRAR: Yes, if you don't mind.

18 MR. HIBEY: Not at all.

19 JUDGE FARRAR: I think Ms. Brock, you  
20 sent us a courtesy copy.

21 MS. BROCK: Yes, we always do. We don't  
22 use the same certificate of service because you  
23 serve the Commission through serving SECI\* who you  
24 send all filings to anyway.

25 JUDGE FARRAR: Yes. We appreciate that

**NEAL R. GROSS**  
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cause it keeps us informed of what's going on. So  
2 if you would do that, Mr. Hibey, just--

3 MR. HIBEY: You'll have it. You'll have  
4 it, Your Honor.

5 JUDGE FARRAR: --to us also.

6 MR. HIBEY: Yes.

7 JUDGE FARRAR: So thank you all and we  
8 look forward to moving forward with you in this same  
9 spirit.

10 MR. HIBEY: Thank you.

11 MS. BROCK: Thank you.

12 JUDGE FARRAR: Thank you very much. At  
13 this point, then, we will terminate the conference.  
14 Thank you, Mr. Reporter. Ms. Brock.

15 MR. HIBEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 Goodbye.

17 (Off the record.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings  
before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: Pre-Hearing Conference

In the Matter of

David Geisen

Docket Number: IA-05-052

Location: via teleconference

were held as herein appears, and that this is the  
original transcript thereof for the file of the United  
States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and,  
thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the  
direction of the court reporting company, and that the  
transcript is a true and accurate record of the  
foregoing proceedings.



Alex Patton  
Official Reporter  
Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)