



RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

2016 JUN -8 AM 11: 07

RECEIVED

4/23/06  
71 FR 9383  
15

SUNSI Review Complete FRIDS =  
ADH-03

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Call =

Template = ADH-013 Bophan (BMP)  
C. Guerrero (EX93)



# NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category  
3

Meeting Date: 04/05/2006

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to discuss Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Palisades Nuclear Plant

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

- NRC Web Page
- NRC Mailing List
- Newspaper
- Radio/TV
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

- |  | <u>Yes</u>                          | <u>No</u><br><small>(Please explain below)</small> | <u>Somewhat</u>                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                           | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?                                   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?             | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>                           | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                           | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                           | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| 7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting? | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>                | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

*3.) The NRC presented their fact findings and it seemed evident that the decision to renew the Palisades license has been approved, regardless of the concerns, facts and alternatives presented by individuals attending this meeting. I question the purpose of this meeting -? to support the problems with Palisades? and not offer the public any part in the decision making process.*

*(cont.) on back*

Continue Comments on the reverse. ↩

OPTIONAL

Name Connie and Jim M'Allister Organization Concern citizens

Telephone No. \_\_\_\_\_ E-Mail conjo mac @ yahoo.com  Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: 06/30/2004

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS: (Continued)

The NRC officials avoided detailed answers to questions regarding the safety of the aging reactor. When questioned about renewing the license for an additional 20 years beyond 2011, (Exceeding the original life span of Palisades of 40 years). The NRC officials once again avoided the issue of safety.

Given the poor track record of safety at Palisades along with present conditions of the reactor - How can we expect Palisades to be a source of safe and efficient power for the next 25 years?

It also concerned about the safe disposal of the spent fuel rods. Over the next 25 years, more rods will be used and where will they be stored? Is there a safe place to store this nuclear waste or will they be stock piled here along our precious resource Lake Michigan?

Seek alternative solutions for a  
Safe and Clean Future.

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001

KALAMAZOO MI 490  
16 MAY 2006 PM 2 L



**BUSINESS REPLY MAIL**  
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC  
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BO M. PHAM  
MAIL STOP 011F1  
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

Returned For Better Address