

From: "PHILLIP BARR" <pharb2@msn.com>
To: "Timothy Johnson" <TCJ@nrc.gov>, "nmlady2000" <nmlady2000@hotmail.com>
Date: 06/08/2006 10:51:16 AM
Subject: Re: question to the nrc

" No additional sampling using, for example, PM10 monitors, was performed." This area has high winds/dust problems part of the year here. To ignore this or to exclude this from the Les EIS is to ignore the environmental conditions here. This makes the NRC EIS on Les flawed by the lack of this data.

The NRC is charged with nuclear safety to the public and to ignore the weather conditions here violates your mandate to the public for safety.

Phillip Barr
lea county

----- Original Message -----

From: Timothy Johnson<mailto:TCJ@nrc.gov>
To: pharb2@msn.com<mailto:pharb2@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 08, 2006 8:34 AM
Subject: Re: question to the nrc

This is in response to your email dated June 1, 2006. In your email you asked about environmental sample testing.

The evaluation of high winds discussed in Appendix E.2 of NUREG-1790, "Environmental Impact Stated for the Proposed National Enrichment Facility in Lea County, New Mexico," was based on available information as referenced in NUREG-1790. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff used the existing data and modeled additional cases as discussed in Appendix E.2. No additional sampling using, for example, PM10 monitors, was performed.

In its Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, described in Section 6.1.2 of Louisiana Energy Services' (LES') Environmental Report and in Section 9.3.24 of NUREG-1827, "Safety Evaluation Report for the National Enrichment Facility in Lea County, New Mexico," LES will be conducting pre-operational monitoring of background radiological conditions including determining background atmospheric radioactivity monitoring. This testing program is scheduled to begin two years prior to the commencement of enrichment operations. During operations LES will use continuous particulate air samplers and perform analyses on biweekly and quarterly frequencies.

>>> "PHILLIP BARR" <pharb2@msn.com<mailto:pharb2@msn.com>> 06/01/2006 11:25 AM >>>

The NRCs environmental assessment of the weather conditions here in Lea County (in regards to LES enrichment plant)called for the use of PM10 monitors. They run on 24 hour cycles and then a filter has to be changed.

How many days in each year and for how many years were these monitors used in the test period? What was the test period? How many monitors were used in Lea County during the test period and were they placed in Eunice, out in the country and in Hobbs?.

Phillip Barr
Lea County
New Mexico

CC: <allegation@nrc.gov>, "Melissa Kemp" <mkemp@citizen.org>, <tannis.fox@state.nm.us>, <bneary@sfnewmexican.com>

Mail Envelope Properties (4488395A.218 : 21 : 53784)

Subject: Re: question to the nrc
Creation Date 06/08/2006 10:50:44 AM
From: "PHILLIP BARR" <pharb2@msn.com>
Created By: pharb2@msn.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
 OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
 TCJ (Timothy Johnson)

nrc.gov
 TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
 ALLEGATION CC

sfnewmexican.com
 bneary CC

state.nm.us
 tannis.fox CC

citizen.org
 mkemp CC (Melissa Kemp)

hotmail.com
 nmlady2000 (nmlady2000)

Post Office

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
 TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
 nrc.gov
 sfnewmexican.com
 state.nm.us
 citizen.org
 hotmail.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2503	06/08/2006 10:50:44 AM
TEXT.htm	4082	
Mime.822	9752	

Options

Expiration Date: None

Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard