

**From:** Janet Burkhardt  
**To:** Camille Chaires  
**Date:** 6/6/2006 11:53:06 AM  
**Subject:** **NRC Response to GAO IPv6 Follow-up Question -**  
G20060455/OEDO-2006-0182; GAO Job Code 310492

To: Camille Chaires, IT Specialist  
U.S. Government Accountability Office

**Ref:** G20060455/OEDO-2006-0182/06-198 (CIO); GAO Job Code 310492

This message is in response to your follow-up question regarding the IPv6 Transition Guidance per your May 24, 2006 e-mail.

**Question 1:**

When we sent out our initial IPv6 information request at the end of January, several agencies identified the lack of guidance as a key challenge in transitioning to IPv6. Since then, the CIO Council's Committee on Architecture and Infrastructure (AIC) has issued a series of Frequently Asked Questions and a guide titled IPv6 Transition Guidance version 1.0, February 2006. We are trying to determine whether guidance for the IPv6 transition is still a challenge. Please comment on the timing and usefulness of the guidance issued by the AIC. Also indicate what other guidance you are using to support your IPv6 transition efforts. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

**Response:**

We have reviewed and used the guidance provided in the documents mentioned. We did find this documentation useful in that it was a substantial clarification of the initial OMB mandate. However, it would have been useful if this guidance had been provided earlier. If this work had been done in conjunction with drafting and issuing the initial OMB mandate, it would have helped to avoid a lot of the early concerns and ambiguities. In addition to this guidance we have found the Federal IPv6 Working Group and the core.gov site very useful. Also, since Deborah Pianko became the OMB lead on the IPv6 project, we have been able to get information and answers to questions much more readily.

Bill Szyperski, Branch Chief  
Development and Deployment Branch  
Infrastructure and Computer Operations Division  
Office of Information Services  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
301-415-5943  
[bjs@nrc.gov](mailto:bjs@nrc.gov)

**CC:** Bill Szyperski; Edward Baker; Gwen Hayden; Janet Burkhardt;  
OIS\_Ticket\_Maintenance; Thomas Rich

---

**Mail Envelope Properties** (4485A4E2.F8A : 10 : 11358)

**Subject:** **NRC Response to GAO IPv6 Follow-up Question -**  
G20060455/OEDO-2006-0182; GAO Job Code 310492  
**Creation Date** 6/6/2006 11:53:06 AM  
**From:** Janet Burkhardt

**Created By:** JCB2@nrc.gov

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
gao.gov ChairesC (Camille Chaires)	Transferred	6/6/2006 11:53:50 AM
nrc.gov OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01 ETB CC (Edward Baker)	Delivered	6/6/2006 11:53:07 AM
JCB2 CC (Janet Burkhardt)	Opened	6/6/2006 11:53:12 AM
nrc.gov OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 GBH CC (Gwen Hayden)	Delivered	6/6/2006 11:53:10 AM
OIS_Ticket_Maintenance CC (OIS_Ticket_Maintenance)		
nrc.gov TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01 TWR CC (Thomas Rich)	Delivered	6/6/2006 11:53:10 AM
nrc.gov TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 BJS CC (Bill Szyperski)	Delivered	6/6/2006 11:53:09 AM

<b>Post Office</b>	<b>Delivered</b>	<b>Route</b>
OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01	6/6/2006 11:53:07 AM	gao.gov
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01	6/6/2006 11:53:10 AM	nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01	6/6/2006 11:53:10 AM	nrc.gov
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01	6/6/2006 11:53:09 AM	nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	3025	6/6/2006 11:53:06 AM

<b>Options</b>	
<b>Auto Delete:</b>	No
<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None
<b>Notify Recipients:</b>	Yes
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard
<b>ReplyRequested:</b>	No
<b>Return Notification:</b>	None

<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No
<b>Security:</b>	Standard

<b>To Be Delivered:</b>	Immediate
<b>Status Tracking:</b>	Delivered & Opened