Mr. M. Nazar Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Indiana Michigan Power Company Nuclear Generation Group One Cook Place Bridgman, MI 49106

SUBJECT: UPCOMING D.C. COOK PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION

(PI&R) INSPECTION

Dear Mr. Nazar:

On July 31, 2006, the NRC will begin the biennial problem identification and resolution (PI&R) inspection at your D.C. Cook Nuclear Power Station. This inspection will be performed in accordance with the NRC baseline inspection procedure 71152.

Experience has shown that these inspections are extremely resource intensive both for the NRC inspectors and the utility staff. In order to minimize the impact that the inspection has on the site and to ensure a productive inspection, we have enclosed a request for documents needed for the inspection.

The documents requested are copies of condition reports and lists of information, necessary in order to ensure the inspection team is adequately prepared for the inspection. The documents should be ready for NRC review by July 1, 2006. Mr. Nirodh Shah, the lead inspector, will contact your staff to determine the best method of providing the information to the inspectors.

If there are any questions about the material requested, or the inspection in general, please call Nirodh Shah at 630-829-9821.

M. Nazar -2-

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS), accessible from the NRC Web site at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Christine Lipa, Chief Branch 4 Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 50-315; 50-316 License Nos. DPR-58; DPR-74

Enclosure: Request for Information for PI&R Inspection

cc w/encl: J. Jensen, Site Vice President

L. Weber, Plant Manager

G. White, Michigan Public Service Commission

L. Brandon, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality -

Waste and Hazardous Materials Division

Emergency Management Division MI Department of State Police

D. Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists

M. Nazar -2-

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS), accessible from the NRC Web site at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Sincerely,

/RA/

Christine Lipa, Chief Branch 4 Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos. 50-315; 50-316 License Nos. DPR-58; DPR-74

Enclosure: Request for Information for PI&R Inspection

cc w/encl: J. Jensen, Site Vice President

L. Weber, Plant Manager

G. White, Michigan Public Service Commission

L. Brandon, Michigan Department of Environmental Quality -

Waste and Hazardous Materials Division

Emergency Management Division MI Department of State Police

D. Lochbaum, Union of Concerned Scientists

DOCUMENT NAME:E:\Filenet\ML061570021.wpd

□ Publicly Available □ Non-Publicly Available □ Sensitive □ Non-Sensitive

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the concurrence box "C" = Copy without attach/encl "E" = Copy with attach/encl "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RIII	RIII	Ν	RIII	RIII
NAME	NShah:sls	CLipa			
DATE	06/05/2006	06/05/2006			

-3-M. Nazar

## ADAMS Distribution: JLD

PST

RidsNrrDirsIrib

GEG

KGO

BJK1

CAA1

DRPIII

DRSIII

PLB1

JRK1

## Requested Information to Support Problem Identification and Resolution (PI&R) Inspection

- 1. A copy of administrative procedure(s) for the corrective action process, trending program, quality assurance audit program, self-assessment program, corrective action effectiveness review program, and industry operating experience review program.
- 2. Scheduled date/time/location of all meetings associated with implementation of the corrective action program, such as Condition Report (CR) screening meetings, corrective action review board meetings, etc.
- 3. The total number of CRs generated annually and by department going back to June 2004.
- 4. A list of CRs generated as a result of identified trends (either by station or department) since June 2004. Please include a brief description of the trend, how identified (internal or external), when it was identified and whether the CR is open or closed.
- 5. A copy of nuclear quality assurance audits and self-assessments of the corrective action program completed since June 2004.
- 6. A chronological list of nuclear quality assurance audits and self-assessments completed for all departments since June 2004, including any performed to assess safety-culture.
- 7. A chronological list of operability determinations performed since June 2004.
- 8. A chronological list of all apparent and root cause evaluations performed since June 2004. Include a description, date initiated, status (i.e., open or closed) and whether there was an associated operability evaluation.
- 9. A chronological list of condition reports initiated since June 2004, sorted by significance level. Include a brief description and whether the CR is open or closed.
- 10. A copy of the latest corrective action program performance indicators.
- 11. Any performance indicators associated with backlog of corrective maintenance items.
- 12. A chronological list of operating experience documents received since June 2004 and any associated condition reports.
- 13. For the essential service water system, please provide a chronological list of the following items going back to June 2001:
  - condition reports (sorted by significance and by identifying group (i.e., licensee, NRC or WANO)) and work history documents;
  - in-service test or Technical Specification surveillance test failures;
  - operability determinations;
  - open or closed operator workarounds;

1 Enclosure

- system audits or system health reviews: and
- any applicable operating experience reviewed by the station.
- 14. A chronological list of condition reports associated with in-service test or Technical Specification surveillance test failures, and human performance errors since June 2004. Please provide a brief description, the status (whether open or closed) and for the test and surveillance failures, the system being tested.
- 15. A chronological list of condition reports issued since June 2004 where the NRC was the identifying organization. This list should include non-cited and minor violations, and findings, regardless of whether there was an associated violation. Please provide a brief description and whether the issue is open or closed.
- 16. A chronological list of all Licensee Event Reports issued since June 2004, with a brief description of the affected components or systems.
- 17. A list of current maintenance rule a(1) systems and a list of those systems that entered a(1) since June 2004, but which were returned to a(2) status.
- 18. A list of all 50.59 evaluations performed since June 2004.
- 19. Have available for review and use a copy of the Quality Assurance manual.
- 20. Have available for review and use a copy of the latest organization chart and phone listing.

2 Enclosure