

May 17, 2005

Note to: Tom Stetka, Chief Examiner  
Operations Branch

From: Anthony Gody, Chief  
Operations Branch  
Division of Reactor Safety

*AT Gody*

SUBJECT: EXAMINATION ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned as Chief of the San Onofre examination. The operating test has been scheduled to be completed by October 21, 2005. Thank you for contacting the San Onofre facility to finalize the details of the examination. You are reminded that the RPS/IP system must be maintained to ensure that the examiners and numbers of candidates are accurate.

Assignment Tickler: Tom Stetka, SO IN EX




Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

Due Date	Chief	Facility/ Task	Description	Date Complete	Initial	Notes
6/1/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement (C.1.a;C.2.a&b)	5/31/05	VFB	
6/1/05	TFS	SO IN EX	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1.c;C.2.e)	6/1/05	VFB	
6/1/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues (C.2.c)	6/1/05	VFB	
6/1/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Corp. Notification Letter Sent (C.2.d) (Exams only)	6/1/05	VFB	
9/13/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	N/A	-	
7/30/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	6/23/05	VFB	
6/30/05	TFS	SO IN EX	[Reference Material Due (C.1.d;C.3.c)	6/25/05	VFB	
6/30/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d&e;C.3.d)	6/30/05	VFB	
7/14/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent (c.2.h;C.3.e)	7/20/05	VFB	
9/28/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Preliminary Applications Due (C.1.j;C.2.g;ES202)	9/21/05	VFB	
8/29/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/ef;C.3.d)	8/29/05	VFB	
9/8/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Peer Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts*	9/20/05	SMG	
9/8/05	TFS	SO IN EX	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev. (C.2.h;C.3.f)*	9/27/05	R	
9/8/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h;C.3.g)	9/28/05	VFB	
10/14/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared (C.1.j;C.2.h;ES202)	10/13/05	VFB	
10/21/05	TFS	SO IN EX	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams (C.2.i;C.3.h)*	10/20/05	AR	
10/7/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Director debrief (PIR Only)	N/A	-	
10/21/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent (C.2.g)	10/19/05	VFB	
10/21/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized (C.3.k)	10/20/05	VFB	

Assignment Tickler: Tom Stetka, SO IN EX

Replaces NUREG-1021, Revision 9, ES Forms 201-1 and 501-1

10/21/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Exam/Insp Material to Team (C.3.i)		10/17/05	TFS	
11/9/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd		11/7/05	TFS	
10/28/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Administer Exam/Conduct Inspection		10/24/05	TFS	
11/12/05	TFS	SO IN EX	NRC Written Grading Completed		11/09/05	TFS	
11/12/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests		11/10/05	TFS	
11/22/05	TFS	SO IN EX	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed		11/30/05	TFS	
11/23/05	TFS	SO IN EX	NRC BC Review Completed*		11/17/05	AT	
11/28/05	TFS	SO IN EX	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before Report Issued			TFS	
11/28/05	TFS	SO IN EX	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued		01/25/06	TFS	
12/19/05	TFS	SO IN EX	Package Closed Out		03/27/06	TFS	
1/26/06	TFS	SO IN EX	Chief QA of ADAMS				

Facility:		SONGS 2 and 3		Date of Examination:	10/24/2005		
Item	Task Description	Initials			a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
3. W / T	a. Verify that systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form						
	(2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form,						
	(3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)						
	(4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimum number on the form.						
	(5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.						
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:	JAS	JAS	JAS			
(1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form							
(2) at least one task is new or significantly modified							
(3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations							
c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	JAS	JAS	JAS				
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	b. Assess whether the 10CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	JAS	JAS	JAS			
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	JAS	JAS	JAS			
a. Author	Joseph G. Arsenault	 Printed Name / Signature			Date		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Alan H. Hagemeyer				6/28/05		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Thomas F. Stetko				7/20/05		
d. NRC Supervisor	RYAN LANZ				7/21/05		
NOTE: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c", chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility:	SONGS		Date of Exam:	10/24/2005		Exam Level:	RO/SRO		
Item Description						Initial			
						a	b*	c#	
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility.					3	M	VB	
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.					3	M	VB	
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401					3	M	VB	
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than 4 RO and 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL Program Office)					3	A	VB	
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: _____ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed, or _____ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started, or _____ the examinations were developed independently, or <u>XX</u> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication, or _____ other (explain)					3	A	VB	
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New		3	A	VB	
		41 / 11	10 / 4	24 / 10					
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the question on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right	Memory		C/A		3	M	VB	
		29 / 7		46 / 18					
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.					3	M	VB	
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.					3	M	VB	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.					3	M	VB	
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.					3	A	VB	
		Printed Name / Signature						Date	
a.	Author	LARRY R. ZILLI <i>Larry R Zilli</i>						10/10/2005	
b.	Facility Reviewer (*)	Robert Hampton <i>Rob Hampton</i>						10/17/05	
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#)	Thomas F. Stetke / <i>Thomas F Stetke</i>						10/20/05	
d.	NRC Regional Supervisor	A.T. Giddy / <i>A T Giddy</i>						10/20/05	
Note:	* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.								



Facility:	SONGS	Date of Exam:	10/24/2005	Scenario Numbers:	1/2/3/4	Operating Test No.:	NRC		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials			
						a	b*	c#	
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.					Z	M	SMB	
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.					Z	M	SMB	
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated</li> <li>• the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event</li> <li>• the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew</li> <li>• the expected operator actions (by shift position)</li> <li>• the event termination point (if applicable)</li> </ul>					Z	M	SMB	
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.					Z	M	SMB	
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.					Z	M	SMB	
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.					Z	M	SMB	
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints.					Z	M	SMB	
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.					Z	M	SMB	
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.					Z	M	SMB	
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.					Z	M	SMB	
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).					Z	M	SMB	
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).					Z	M	SMB	
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.					Z	M	SMB	
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)				Actual Attributes (Scenario)			-	-	-
				1	2	3			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)			5	8	8			
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)			1	1	1			
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)			2	4	3			
4.	Major transients (1-2)			1	2	1			
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)			2	1	2			
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)			0	1	0			
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)			3	2	2			

Facility:		SONGS 2 and 3		Date of Exam:		10/24/2005		Operating Test No.:		NRC							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M		
		1			2			3			4						
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N						
		S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		R	I	U
SROU-1	RX													0	1	1	0
	NOR	2												1	1	1	1
	I/C	1,3,5												3	4	4	2
	MAJ	6												1	2	2	1
	TS	3,4,5												3	0	2	2
SROU-2	RX													0	1	1	0
	NOR							1						1	1	1	1
	I/C							2,3,4,5						4	4	4	2
	MAJ							6						1	2	2	1
	TS							2,3						2	0	2	2
SROU-3	RX													0	1	1	0
	NOR							1						1	1	1	1
	I/C							2,3,4,5						4	4	4	2
	MAJ							6						1	2	2	1
	TS							2,3						2	0	2	2
SROU-4	RX													0	1	1	0
	NOR							1						1	1	1	1
	I/C							2,3,4,5						4	4	4	2
	MAJ							6						1	2	2	1
	TS							2,3						2	0	2	2
SROI-1	RX								1					1	1	1	0
	NOR	2												1	1	1	1
	I/C	1,3,5							2,4,					5	4	4	2
	MAJ	6							6					2	2	2	1
	TS	3,4,5												3	0	2	2
SROI-2	RX								1					1	1	1	0
	NOR	2												1	1	1	1
	I/C	1,3,5							2,4,					5	4	4	2
	MAJ	6							6					2	2	2	1
	TS	3,4,5												3	0	2	2

A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T  T Y P E	Scenarios													T O T A L	M I N I M U M		
		1			2			3			4							
		C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N			C R E W P O S I T I O N							
		S R O	A T C	B O P	R		I	U										
RO-1	RX		2											1	1	1	0	
	NOR								1					1	1	1	1	
	I/C		3,5						3,5, 8					5	4	4	2	
	MAJ		6						6					2	2	2	1	
	TS													0	0	2	2	
RO-2	RX								1					1	1	1	0	
	NOR			2										1	1	1	1	
	I/C			1,5, 7					2,4,					5	4	4	2	
	MAJ			6					6					2	2	2	1	
	TS													0	0	2	2	
RO-3	RX								1					1	1	1	0	
	NOR			2										1	1	1	1	
	I/C			1,5, 7					2,4,					5	4	4	2	
	MAJ			6					6					2	2	2	1	
	TS													0	0	2	2	
RO-4	RX								1					1	1	1	0	
	NOR			2										1	1	1	1	
	I/C			1,5, 7					2,4,					5	4	4	2	
	MAJ			6					6					2	2	2	1	
	TS													0	0	2	2	

Instructions:

1. Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must service in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (\*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: SONGS		Date of Examination: 10/24/2005		Operating Test No. NRC-1								
Competencies	SRO				RO (ATC)				BOP			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3		1	2	3		1	2	3	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1,3,4,5,6	2,3,4,5,7,8	2,3,4,5,6,8		3,5,6	2,4,7,9	2,4,6		1,5,6,7	3,5,6,7,8	3,5,6,7,8,9	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL	ALL	ALL		2,3,5,6	1,2,4,7,9	1,2,4,6		1,2,5,6,7	1,3,5,7,8	1,3,5,6,8,9	
Operate Control Boards (2)	NA	NA	NA		2,3,5,6	1,2,4,7,9	1,2,4,6		1,2,5,6,7	1,3,5,7,8	1,3,5,6,8,9	
Communicate and Interact	ALL	ALL	ALL		2,3,5,6	1,2,4,5,7,8,9	1,2,4,6,7		1,2,5,6,7	1,3,5,6,7,8	1,3,5,6,7,8,9	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	ALL	ALL	ALL		NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	3,4,5	2,4	2,3		NA	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	
Notes:												
(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.												
(2) Optional for an SRO-U.												
(3) Only applicable to SROs.												

*Instructions:*

*Circle the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.*

Facility: <u>SONGS</u>		Date of Exam: <u>10/28/05</u>		Exam Level: RO/SRO	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	<u>RY</u>	<u>JH</u>	<u>JAS</u>		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented <span style="float: right;">NA</span>	<u>RY</u>	<u>JH</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	<u>RY</u>	<u>JH</u>	<u>JAS</u>		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	<u>RY</u>	<u>JH</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	<u>RY</u>	<u>JH</u>	<u>N/A</u>		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	<u>RY</u>	<u>JH</u>	<u>JAS</u>		
Printed Name/Signature		Date			
a. Grader	<u>Rubert J. Anderson</u>		<u>11/1/05</u>		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>[Signature]</u>		<u>11/1/05</u>		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Thomas J. Stetler</u>		<u>11/16/05</u>		
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>ATHody</u>		<u>11/17/05</u>		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

# Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 10/24/2005 To 10/27/2005

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
10/24/2005	San Onofre / 05000361 / 2005302 TAC #: X02289	RO - 2 SROU - 2 SROI - 1	Admin	NNF	STETKA, THOMAS F.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GAGE, PAUL C. STETKA, THOMAS F. WERNER, G E.
10/24/2005	San Onofre / 05000362 / 2005302 TAC #: X02290	RO - 2 SROU - 2 SROI - 1	Admin	NNF	STETKA, THOMAS F.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GAGE, PAUL C. STETKA, THOMAS F. WERNER, G E.

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule  
From 10/24/2005 To 10/27/2005

Page 2 of 4  
02/23/2006 10:19:48  
Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: 5 Operational

Summary By Date

10/2005 SANO - San Onofre  
RO - 4

SROI - 2

SROU - 4

LSRO - 0

Total for San Onofre: 10

SAJ OUTRE

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/24/2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator in acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	DATE	DATE	NOTE
1. JAMES ASSAULT	ATTN: JAMES ASSAULT	10/24/05	10/24/05	
2. LANCE ELLI	ATTN: LANCE ELLI	10/24/05	10/24/05	
3. JAMES HOGG	Supervisor	10/24/05	10/24/05	
4. JACQUELINE SCHELIK	Supervisor	10/24/05	10/24/05	
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

NOTES:

NUREG-1021, Revision 2

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the weeks(s) of 10/24/2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. JOSEPH ARSENAULT	AUTHOR/CONSULTANT	<i>Joseph Arsenault</i>	6/13/05			
2. ANNE ZILLI	AUTHOR/CONSULTANT	<i>Anne Zilli</i>	6/13/05			
3. Alan Hungenberger	Supervisor	<i>Alan Hungenberger</i>	5/18/05		10/19/05	
4. Michael Jones	MANAGER	<i>Michael Jones</i>	6/23/05		10/31/05	
5. Robert Hampton	Training Specialist	<i>Robert Hampton</i>	7/18/05		10/31/05	
6. Wayne L. Lyke	Supervisor, Eng. Training	<i>Wayne L. Lyke</i>	8-2-05		11/24/05	
7. Raymond C. Whitmore	TS2	<i>Raymond C. Whitmore</i>	8-2-05		10-31-05	
8. Brewster Cotton	NACO	<i>Brewster Cotton</i>	8/3/05		11/19/05	
9. Edwin Kaminski	NACO	<i>Edwin Kaminski</i>	8/3/05		11/19/05	
10. Doyle Kauldsen	CRS	<i>Doyle Kauldsen</i>	8-3-05		11-9-05	
11. James Vuk	CRS	<i>James Vuk</i>	8-4-05		11-9-05	
12. Daniel Carter	NCO	<i>Daniel Carter</i>	8-4-05		11-9-05	
13. Wm Doyle	NCO	<i>Wm Doyle</i>	8-4-05		11-9-05	
14. RL Meyers	NCO	<i>RL Meyers</i>	8-5-05		11/09/05	
15. Raymond Harold	CRS	<i>Raymond Harold</i>	8-5-05		11/9/05	

NOTES:

SAM O'NEILL

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/29/2005 of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
Rick Manning	NCO	[Signature]	0505	[Signature]	11-16-05
JOEL GARRETT	SCRS/STAR	[Signature]	8/11/05	[Signature]	11/9/05
BEN TOWNSEND	NCO	[Signature]	8/11/05	[Signature]	11/27/05
JASON JANKE	CRS	[Signature]	8/11/05	[Signature]	11/9/05
Vincent Loughney	Program Analyst (NTD)	[Signature]	8/18/05	[Signature]	10/31/05
James Moore	ACC	[Signature]	8-18-05	[Signature]	11-1-05
Kurt Rauch	Manager	[Signature]	8/23/05	[Signature]	10/31/05
George Marengo	Liaison (NTP)	[Signature]	10/3/05	[Signature]	10/31/05
SM. GRAHAM	SHIFT MANAGER	[Signature]	10/3/05	[Signature]	11/3/05
Richard Pelli	Shift Supervisor	[Signature]	10/3/05	[Signature]	10/31/05
Lynn Sanchez	Shift Supervisor	[Signature]	10/3/05	[Signature]	10/31/05
Michael Raney	Supervisor, Int. Operator TS	[Signature]	10/27/05	[Signature]	10/31/05
Michael Slowey	AMP	[Signature]	10/26/05	[Signature]	11/1/05

NOTES:

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/24/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. STEVE SCHULTZ	NUC. COMPUTER TECH	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-26-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-09-05
2. LARRY HOODAK	" "	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-26-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/9/05
3. ALLEN KUATZ	" " SUP	<i>[Signature]</i>	10/26/05	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/22/05
4. CRAIG MILLER	SHIFT MANAGER	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-27-05	<i>[Signature]</i>	11-9-05
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES: