



May 15, 2006

NRC 2006-0045
10 CFR 50.55a

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 1
Docket 50-266
License No. DPR-24

Correction to Inservice Inspection Summary Report for
Point Beach Nuclear Plant Refueling Outage U1R28

On September 7, 2004, Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC), submitted Inservice Inspection Summary Reports for inspections conducted prior to and during the Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) refueling outage U1R28 that concluded on June 8, 2004. It has subsequently been identified that data submitted in Enclosure 2, Table 1, Abstract of Examinations and Tests, for Examination Category E-D, requires correction regarding the total number of examinations required and credited for the Interval.

The enclosure to this letter contains a corrected Table 1, Abstract of Examinations and Tests.

This letter contains no new commitments and no revisions to existing commitments.

Dennis L. Koehl
Site Vice-President, Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC

Enclosure

cc: Administrator, Region III, USNRC
Project Manager, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, USNRC
Resident Inspector, Point Beach Nuclear Plant, USNRC
PSCW
Mike Verhagen, State of Wisconsin

**Table 1
Abstract of Examinations and Tests**

Examination Category	Total Examinations Required for the Interval	Total Examinations Credited for this Period	Total Examinations Credited (%) for the Period	Total Examinations Credited (%) to Date for the Interval	Remarks
E-A	157	24	13% ¹	74% ¹	The number of liner plates examined, expressed as a percentage of exams, does not correlate to the containment surface area examined, expressed as a percentage of surface area. This is because the containment liner consists of different size liner plates; therefore, surface area will vary from plate to plate. The percentages reflect the surface area of the plates that were examined and not the percentages of the number of examinations performed.
E-B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Containment Penetration Welds, Examination Category E-B examination requirements specified in ASME Section XI Code are not required. Examination Category E-A requirements are considered sufficient to identify any degraded conditions for containment penetration welds.
E-C	34	0	0	67%	Approximately 100 % are examined each period
E-D	68	0 ¹	0 ¹	46%	The examinations percentages do not meet the IWE-2412-1 inspection program B examinations percentages because of the use of the authorized Relief Request # ERR-1. Exam category E-D, Item Number E5.10 and E5.20 were examined in accordance with ERR-1 starting after September 14, 2001. The use of this ERR was authorized by the NRC in the safety evaluation for relief requests for the containment inspection program. (Dated September 14, 2001)
E-F	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Pressure Retaining Dissimilar Metal Welds, Examination Category E-F requirements specified in ASME Section XI Code are not required and shall not be implemented by PBNP.
E-G	38	0 ¹	0 ¹	21%	The examinations percentages do not meet the IWE-2412-1 inspection program B examinations percentages because of the use of the authorized Relief Request # ERR-6. Exam category E-G, Item Number E8.20 was examined in accordance with ERR-6 starting after September 14, 2001. The use of this ERR was authorized by the NRC in the safety evaluation for relief requests for the containment inspection program. (Dated September 14, 2001)
E-P	See remarks	See remarks	See remarks	See remarks	This is covered by PBNP's Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program (CLRT), which implements 10 CFR 50 Appendix J. All Examination Category E-P pressure retaining components are tested in accordance with 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, Option B and scheduled as directed by the CLRT program.

¹ Refer to the remarks column

All percentages are rounded to the nearest 1%.