

(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED

Baker Inspection Group
413 Applegrove St. NW
North Canton, OH 44720
REPORT 2006001

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

US Nuclear Regulatory Comm
2447 Warrenville Rd
Suite 210
Lisle, IL 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

03037029

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

34-32570-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

May 11, 2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	G. Parker	G. Parker	May 11, 2006

[Handwritten Signature]

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Baker Inspection Group REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 03037029	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 34-32570-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 5/11/06	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87121	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 3/01-07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 3320	2. PRIORITY 1	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Joseph Wolfe	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (330) 244-1541
----------------------------	------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: 5/2007
 Field Office _____
 Temporary Job Site _____

PROGRAM SCOPE
Program Scope
87121

The licensee is an inspection firm located in North Canton, Ohio that performs work at temporary job sites under the control of the NRC. The licensee was performing work using a cobalt-60 source of approximately 15 curies and two iridium sources. Licensee has two card certified radiographers and three helpers for their two locations.

Performance Observations

The inspector toured the facility and checked radiation levels around the perimeter of the storage vault, as well as looked for proper signs indicating the presence of radioactive material. At the time of the inspection, work was only being performed in West Virginia so no temporary job site was observed. Independent surveys performed by the inspector did not detect any unexpected reading. Interviews of licensee personnel indicated they had an adequate level of knowledge. Dosimetry readings were at nominal levels, thus no regulatory limits were approached. Both radiographers were in possession of current ASNT certification cards. Guide tubes, cranks, and collimators were inspected and found to be in good working order. No violations were noted.