

NMSS

From: William Maier
To: Sollenberger, Dennis
Date: Thursday, June 23, 2005 1:22:32 PM
Subject: Re: State input into 20.2002 database?

Dennis,

Was Mark Thaggard the name you couldn't recall this morning? If so, below is his response to Bob Evans' question on Agreement State participation in the Waste Disposal Tracking System. Looks like it is not intended to be an all-encompassing archive of 20.2002 dispositions.

} Release

Bill

>>> Mark Thaggard 6/23/05 11:49:40 AM >>>

I'm not completely clear on your question. The database will only cover our licensees. Thus, it is intended to address material originating where we have some jurisdiction, regardless of where the material will be sent (i.e., an Agreement State).

The database is intended for internal use only. Thus, Agreement States will not have access to it.

>>> Bob Evans 9:16:27 AM 06/23/2005 >>>

Following the video-conference, Region IV came up with several questions about agreement state involvement with the database.

Will 20.2002 requests going into agreement states be added to the database, and, will agreement states have access to the database?

} Release

Thanks!

J/35

MMS

From: "Steve Romano" <sromano@americanecology.com>
To: "James Kennedy" <JEK1@nrc.gov>
Date: 3/28/05 12:34PM
Subject: NRC Clearance -Rule & Idaho

Jim: See below. I wasn't aware that the attached document was publicly available. I have informed Ms. Trever that she has misconstrued the clearance rule initiative, which we understand is not intended for Subtitle C disposal determinations. Idaho DEQ indicated that they will be on a conference call with NRC staff tomorrow to address Connecticut Yankee related questions on March 29. I encourage the involved NRC staff clarify the clearance rule initiative's intent relative to 20.2002 actions and related exemptions.

—Original Message—

From: KATHLEEN TREVER [mailto:KTREVER@deq.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2005 12:25 PM
To: sromano@americanecology.com
Cc: BRIAN MONSON; DOUGLAS WALKER; ORVILLE GREEN; TONI HARDESTY
Subject: Fwd: Re: NRC Clearance -Rule

Steve,

We received the attached predecisional draft of NRC's proposed clearance rule for solid materials from restricted or impacted areas of NRC-licensed facilities. We understand the rule is supposed to go to the Commissioners this spring.

This appears to be a workable, predictable approach for determining what could be acceptable at USEI from these types of facilities. (The draft rule sets concentrations for individual radionuclides and a dose equivalent limit of 1 mrem per year.)

DEQ would have to evaluate what changes would be needed for the performance assessment, post-closure requirements, waste acceptance criteria and verification, health and safety plan, monitoring and waste handling procedures.

Let me know if it would be helpful to have your radiological staff meet with us to discuss how the rule proposal could apply to USEI.

KTrever
208-373-0428