

238U-234U-230Th ages of secondary deposits and evidence on the rate of recent radionuclide migration at the Nopal I natural analog

David A. Pickett, CNWRA, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA **William M. Murphy,** Dept. of Geosciences, California State University, Chico, California, USA **Bret W. Leslie,** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, USA

INTRODUCTION
The Nopal I uranium ore deposit of the Pefia Blanca mining district, Chihuahua, Mexico (Figure 1), has proven useful as a natural **analogy of the proposed reposition** for high-level nuclear waste at Yucca Mo analog for the proposed repository for high-level nuclear waste at Yucca Mountain, Nevada (e.g., Pearcy et al., 1994; CRWMS M&O,
2000a). Observations of uranium (U), thorium, radium, and protactinium distributions in the d as an analog for release and migration of radionuclides from a repository. Tuffs and fractures containing iron-oxyhydroxide minerals in and near the ore body indicate that U has been mobilized out of the ore body, preferentially along fractures, during the last few hundred thousand **years** (Rikryl et al., 1997; Pickett & **Murphy,** 2001). **Secondary deposits** of caliche and opal around the deposit **also** indicate U migration. This work (i) documents the extent to which uranium has been mobilized, (ii) obtains ²³⁰Th⁻²³⁴U⁻²³⁸U dates on these **materials,** (iii) calculates **limits on** release **rates on** the **basis** of *secondary* **mineral mass** and age **information,** and (iv) considers constraiuts these estimated release. rates **may** place *on* performance assessment models for the **proposed** Yucca Mountain **repository.**

Figure 2. Caliche coating near-vertical fractures from
area indicated on Figure 1.
area indicated on Figure 1.
xRD: calcite with and without quartz and equiding
xRD: calcite with and without quartz and equiding

Figure 1. View from the northeast of the Nopal I uranium deposit. Dashed blue line is approximate outline of the zone of visible uranium ore on both Levels +00 m and +10 m. The pink arrow points to a road cut containing some of the caliche samples.

> $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4}}$ $=$

SAMPLES

crystalline calcite:

- **fills** fractures and coats *free* surfaces with euhedral crystals up to 1 cm wide.

caliche:

-
-
- XRD: calcite with and without quartz and sanidine.

opal:

- coats tuff or tuff breccia in limited portions of the Nopal I exposure.
- occasionally occurs with uranophane that precedes and postdates opal deposition.

URANIUM CONTENTS <

crystalline calcite:

- 0.1 to 30 **p~m**

-Average 10 ppm, using perched water data from site, yields D(U/Ca) of 0.5, consistent with experimental coprecipitation data [pickett *et* al., 2000; D(U/Ca) is the **ratio** of *UICa* in **caiate to** that n water] **--W-L** -

Figure 3. Map of Nopal I deposit with U concentrations indicated. Contour interval is 2 m.

230Th-234U-238U DATES

TIMS analyses by Larry Mack, University of Texas at Austin. Discussed here **are** "model ages" **on total rock** dissolutions, with **no** corrections to activity ratios. *See* Figures 4 and *5.*

crystalline calcite:

- 213 **ka** and older.

- Due to their relatively low **U** and old ages, calcites will not be discussed **Mer.** *Our* interest in radionuclide migration focuses **on** the **most** recent events.

- 18 to 136 **ka,** with one at **secular** equilibrium. - **New data** and a previously **reported isochron** age (54 **ka; Pearcy et al.,** 1994) **are shown** with **U** concentration in Figure 6. **There** appears **to** be **an** episode of deposition of **high-U materials** around **50 ka, supported** by **a** previously measured 54 **ka high-U** opal age. The caliches in **this** episode **are** from the same road cut, but they are from distinct layers and/or fractures.

opal:

- One previously measured age at 54 ka.
- Others **are** at or near **secular** equilibrium.

Figure 4. Map of Nopal I uranium deposit with ²³⁰Th-²³⁴U-²³⁸U model ages in **thousands of years. "S.E." indicates secular equilibrium within uncertainty.**

URANIUM CONTENTS

crystalline calcite:

- 0.1 to 30 **ppm**

-Average 10 **ppm,** using perched water **data** from site, yields **D(U/Ca)** of 0.5, consistent with experimental coprecipitation **data** [pickett et al., 2000, **D(U/Ca)** is the ratio of **U/Ca** in calcite **to** that in **water]**

caliche:

- 24 to 335 ppm

- Calculated carbonate contents (fuing) are 0.5 to 98 %, typically *60* to *80* %. From **preliminary** experiments, **12** to **100** % **of** U is leachable, typically 10 to 50 %. Estimated U concentrations in 1 M HNO₃-leachable solid range up to around 100 ppm.

- Highest concentrations **are** found in location that **was** downhill fiom the ore **body** prior to excavation (Figure 3).
- Caliches appear to **be recorders** of **U** migration firom the **ore** body along **near-surface** fractum.

ODal:

- i900 to **8800** ppm in clear *greenish* samples.
- *Opal* with strong yellow color has 12 pcrccnt **U;** suggestive of included U **minerals.**

Figure 5. ²³⁰Th-²³⁴U-²³⁸U evolution plot of **Nopal I samples; values are activity ratios. Green** = **caliche; red** = **calcite; blue** = **opal. Black lines show 230Th ages in ka. Blue cuwes** are calculated initial ²³⁴U/²³⁸U. Open symbols **are previous alpha spectrometry data.**

.

Figure 6. Caliche U concentrations through time.

Are the caliche ages real?

Initial ²³⁰Th/²³²Th can be high and variable in this high-U system, so ²³⁰Th ages may need to be corrected. In addition, preliminary 1 **M HNO3** caliche leaching **tests** show that substantial **amounts** of U *exist* in more **than** one **component.**

The isotopic *system* may be tested through plots of total-dissolution and leaching **analyses** (e.g., Bischoff & Fitzpatrick, 1991; Luo & Ku, 1991; Kaufman, 1993). A leachate-total dissolution pair was analyzed for one of the caliches (Figure 7). The 0.1 M **HN03** leachate **contained** 66 pacent of the total-rock U, *suggesting* substantial contriiutiom hm *at* least **two** components. That the leachate and total rock have similar ages (Figure 7) while both have large proportions of the uranium budget lends confidence to the ages within a few thousand years. The significance of the **"isochron"** values is questionable.

It is considered unlikely that continuous deposition over many thousands **of** years, with the measured **date** merely reflecting an average, is important for the caliches. If long-term continuous deposition were important for the one leached sample, the *two* **components** would not be *expected* to yield **similar ages.** In addition, **two** of the samples falling in the **ca.** *50* **ka period wcrc from** adjacent 6 mm-thick layers and yielded **similar ages** of **45** and **48 ka,** inconsistent with long-term continuous deposition.

Leaching on other caliches is underway. In lieu of that information, the total-rock dates are interpreted as valid ages.

Figure 7. Activity ratios from a caliche from 40 m north of the ore body *(64* ppm U). Black $230T$ h age curves are labeled in ka. Blue curves = calculated $intial$ 234 U/ 238 U. Red error ellipses show measured total rock and leachate. yielding 34.3 and 34.6 ka. Blue square is a ²³⁰Th-²³²Th-²³⁴U-²³⁸U "isochron" ppm U). Black ²³⁰Th age curves are labeled in ka. Blue curves = calculated
initial ²³⁴U/²³⁸U. Red error ellipses show measured total rock and leachate,
yielding 34.3 and 34.6 ka. Blue square is a ²³⁰Th-²³²Th-²³⁴ Blue triangle is calculated for the leach residue using mass balance.

EPlSODlClTY OF RELEASE

An episode of elevated U release and migration is indicated by the apparent age clustering **of** high-U deposits at around *50* **ka.** Radionuclide release models for the proposed repository at Yucca Mountain do not include episodes of *enhanced* release (NRC, 200 1 ; **CRWMS M&O.** 2000b). Rather, release is treated **as** continuous, with climate change addressed by stepwise changes in infiltration rates.

A lower limit **on** the rate of episodic U release at Nopal I can be calculated **from** the **ca.** *50* **ka** caliche deposition **data.** The following assumptions **are** made:

- 10,OOO year episode duration (estimated **from** Figure 6)
- 100 ppm in caliche **(all ca.** *50* **ka** caliches in Figure 6have > 100 ppmu)

- caliche volume of 1OOO **a13** (10 cm-thick caliche covering 10,OOO **m2;** masses reach up to about 15 *cm* thick) The calculated rate of U deposition is 30 g/y. **This** estimate is highly uncertain, because it depends **on** extrapolations of field and laboratory observations.

Because much more U is transported than is actually captured in the caliches, this deposition rate provides a lower limit **on** release rate. The **degree** to which release rate exceeds deposition rate should far exceed any uncertainty in the calculated deposition rate. An **upper** limit **on** the long-term U release rate for the site based **on** solubility-limited U concentration and **constant,** modemday **water** flux is **also** 30 *g/y* (Murphy and **Pearcy,** 1992). Consistency **between** the independently estimated upper limit **on** the long-term release rate and lower limit *on* the episodic deposition rate lends credibility to the magnitude of these **estimates.** Furthermore, **as** noted above, that the U episodic release **rate** likely greatly exceeds the calculated deposition rate *suggests* that the episodic release rate is much greater **than** the long-term **maximum** estimate. Rapid episodic release could **most** simply be explained **as** resulting **from** greater water flux (compared to present) related to climate episodes. **These** rates can be used to evaluate rates used in **performance** assessments of the proposed repository.

DISCUSSION

1. Recent U migration is reflected in the U content of deposits of caliche, opal, and—to a lesser extent-calcite.

2. Caliche deposits record the most recent mobilization, within the past 100 ka, presumably under conditions generally **similar** to the prescnt. Significant U moblization **on** this time scale is consistent with previous U-series studies (Prikryl et al., 1997; Pickett & Murphy, 2001).

3. The U-Th isotopic systematics in the caliches do not simply reflect carbonate-detritus mixing. Rather, U has been deposited in both carbonate and siliceous authigenic phases. Leaching tests or total-dissolution "isochrons" are needed because application of simple detritus corrections would be inappropriate, particularly in light of expected wide variations in detrital ²³⁰Th/²³²Th.

4. Leachate results on one sample strongly suggest that the different authigenic components are similar in age. Until leaching analyses are completed, this observation lends confidence to interpretation of the U-Th model a **similar** in age. Until leaching analyses **are** completed, **this** observation lends mn!idence to interpretation of the U-Th model ages **as** accurate.

5. Deposition of high-U caliches and opal at around **50 h** suggests **an** episode of elevated U **migration** at **rates** greater **than** long-term *cstimws* (which **are based** in part **on** present climate).

6. Because of analogous hydrologic and chemical conditions at Yucca Mountain, **models** of radionuclide release at the proposed repository based **on** variations in infiltration rate should consider the possibility of episodic enhancement. Current models employing stepwise changes in **idiltration rate** may **address this** possibility, but **should** be **assessed** in light of the natural **analog information.** A transient episode **of** *enhanced* release **prcccdtd** and followed by lowm release may **rffect** dose differcnty **than** a long-term **aeries** of *ste-pwise* changes.

REFERENCES

Bischoff & Fitzpatrick, 1991, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 55, p. 543-554. Civilian Radioactive Waste Mangement System Management & Operations (CRWMS M&O), 2000a, ANL-NBS-HS-000007 REV00, U.S. Department of Energy. Civilian Radioactive Waste Mangement System Management & Operations (CRWMS M&O), 2000b, TDR-WIS-PA-000001 REV 00 ICN 01, U.S. Department of Energy. Ludwig & Titterington, 1994, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 58, p. 5031-5042. Luo & Ku, 1991, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 55, p. 555-564. Kaufinan, 1993, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 57, p. 2303-2317. Murphy & Pearcy, 1992, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 257, p. 521-527. Pearcy, Prikryl, Murphy, & Leslie, 1994, Appl. Geochem. 9, 713-732. Pickett & Murphy, 2001, Proc. 8th Natural Analogue Working Group Meeting, in press. Pickett, Leslie, Murphy, and Nugent, 2000, J. Conference Abstracts 5, p. 797. Prikryl, Pickett, Murphy, and Pearcy, 1997, J. Cont. Hyd. 26, p.61-69. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 2001, NUREG-1668.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work, performed in part by the CNWRA under contract No. NRC-02-97-009 with the U.S.Nuclear Regulatory Commission, is an independent product and does not necessarily reflect the views or regulatory position of the NRC.