

**From:** "Croulet, Donald" <dcroule@entergy.com>  
**To:** "Sachatello, Ronald" <rsach90@entergy.com>  
**Date:** 3/8/06 3:47PM  
**Subject:** RE: FW: Scan from a Xerox WorkCentre Pro

Ron,

Correct me if I'm wrong but these were sampled March 2000, correct? Although Homyk's email is dated April 2000, those results were from the same samples taken in March 2000. Am I correct?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** James Noggle [mailto:JDN@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 08, 2006 3:43 PM  
**To:** Croulet, Donald  
**Subject:** Re: FW: Scan from a Xerox WorkCentre Pro

Good investigative work. Still don't have the sample dates, however.

Regards,

Jim

>>> "Croulet, Donald" <dcroule@entergy.com> 03/08/06 3:39 PM >>>  
Jim,

Attached is the Tritium due diligence results. Gamma spec next email.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** ipcps012@entergy.com [mailto:ipcps012@entergy.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 08, 2006 4:31 PM  
**To:** Croulet, Donald  
**Cc:** Croulet, Donald  
**Subject:** Scan from a Xerox WorkCentre Pro

Please open the attached document. It was scanned and sent to you using a Xerox WorkCentre Pro.

Number of Images: 1  
Attachment File Type: PDF

For more information on Xerox products and solutions, please visit <http://www.xerox.com>

**CC:** "James Noggle" <JDN@nrc.gov>

A1109

## Homyk, W. Alan

---

**From:** Homyk, W. Alan  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 13, 2000 11:17 AM  
**To:** 'amich1301@aol.com'; 'kajakonrad@aol.com'  
**Cc:** Hewitt, Roy; Homyk, W. Alan; Jee, George  
**Subject:** Tritium Results for Monitoring Wells

Dear Drs. Michalski and Konrad:

Attached for your information are the tritium results for our monitoring wells. All results were less than detectable.

<u>Well #</u>	<u>Sample #</u>	<u>Result (pCi/l)</u>
MW-100	00-0147	<270
MW-108	00-0148	<270
MW-109	00-0149	<270
MW-111	00-0150	<270

FYI, Alan Homyk