From:

"Timothy Rice" <tbrice@gw.dec.state.ny.us>

To:

<JDN@nrc.gov>
3/13/06 9:01AM

Date: Subject:

Re: IP2 SIT mention of PCBs at Unit 1

Jim,

I passed this on to our Bureau Chief and he will ahve someone in RCRA look at it today.

Tim

>>> "James Noggle" <JDN@nrc.gov> 3/13/06 8:15:39 AM >>>

Dear Tim,

As you know, the NRC intends on mentioning the known PCB contamination associated with Unit 1, but since it is outside of NRC jurisdiction, we would like to characterize this appropriately for the State of New York. This will serve 2 purposes: it will keep pressure on Entergy to sample PCBs in the Unit 1 wells, and it justifies waiting until the hydrology study is complete before pumping Unit 2 wells and potentially extending PCB contamination across the site.

The current 2 areas mentioning Unit 1 in our inspection Draft are attached. I may want to add that the Unit 1 water storage pool indicates 700 ppb PCB and the North Curtain Drain influent indicates 1 ppb, is processed through 2 charcoal columns and meets the NYS MDA of <.65 ppb prior to releasing to the discharge canal. What can I say in this area?

Regards,

Jim

A/120