

From: "Axelson, William L" <WAxelso@entergy.com>
To: "James Noggle" <JDN@nrc.gov>
Date: 1/16/06 2:39PM
Subject: RE: Additional Env Sampling Points

I talked with Rice today about this and agreed to sample this new location if we can find it in the spring time when we get our boat out of winter storage

Also I have tentatively set a split sample date for all nine wells in Phase 1 for feb 6 2006 assuming all goes well

Can you pls call me now?

-----Original Message-----

From: James Noggle [mailto:JDN@nrc.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 12, 2006 3:34 PM
To: Croulet, Donald; Axelson, William L; tbrice@gw.dec.state.ny.us
Cc: bayoungb@gw.dec.state.ny.us; larosenm@gw.dec.state.ny.us; rwbaldwi@gw.dec.state.ny.us; rps02@health.state.ny.us; John White
Subject: Re: Additional Env Sampling Points

I agree. It would be advantageous to coordinate 3-way splits, if Entergy agrees.

>>> "Timothy Rice" <tbrice@gw.dec.state.ny.us> 01/12/06 2:15 PM >>>

Bill and Don,

While reviewing our records of our involvement in the Unit 1 SFP leak, I found information regarding sampling of groundwater seeps along the Hudson that was performed as part of that investigation. There were two seeps identified along the shore of the Hudson, south of the discharge canal, that were sampled jointly by DEC, NRC, and Con Edison. To my knowledge, no contamination was detected.

I would recommend that as soon as the weather and access to a suitable boat permits, the presence or absence of seeps south of the canal should be investigated, and any that are identified should be sampled and analyzed for H-3.

Tim Rice

A/19