From:	"Timothy Rice" <tbrice@gw.dec.state.ny.us></tbrice@gw.dec.state.ny.us>
To:	<pre><dcroule@entergy.com>, <waxelso@entergy.com></waxelso@entergy.com></dcroule@entergy.com></pre>
Date:	1/12/06 2:17PM
Subject:	Additional Env Sampling Points

## Bill and Don,

While reviewing our records of our involvement in the Unit 1 SFP leak, I found information regarding sampling of groundwater seeps along the Hudson that was performed as part of that investigation. There were two seeps identified along the shore of the Hudson, south of the discharge canal, that were sampled jointly by DEC, NRC, and Con Edison. To my knowledge, no contamination was detected.

I would recommend that as soon as the weather and access to a suitable boat permits, the presence or absence of seeps south of the canal should be investigated, and any that are identified should be sampled and analyzed for H-3.

Tim Rice

CC: "Barbara Youngberg" <bayoungb@gw.dec.state.ny.us>, "Larry Rosenmann" <larosernm@gw.dec.state.ny.us>, "Richard Baldwin" <rwbaldwi@gw.dec.state.ny.us>, <rps02@health.state.ny.us>, <jdn@nrc.gov>, <JRW1@nrc.gov>

Bill and Don, While reviewing our records of our involvement in the Unit 1 SFP leak, I found information regarding sampling of groundwater seeps along the Hudson that was performed as part of that investigation. There were two seeps identified along the shore of the Hudson, south of the discharge canal, that were sampled jointly by DEC, NRC, and Con Edison. To my knowledge, no contamination was detected. I would recommend that as soon as the weather and access to a suitable boat permits, the presence or absence of seeps south of the canal should be investigated, and any that are identified should be sampled and analyzed for H-3. Tim Rice