May 10, 2006

Mr. David A. Christian
Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer
Dominion Resources Services
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6711

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) REGARDING REVISION 6

OF THE EARLY SITE PERMIT (ESP) APPLICATION FOR THE NORTH ANNA

SITE (TAC NOS. MC1126 AND MC1128)

Dear Mr. Christian:

By letter dated April 13, 2006, Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC (Dominion) submitted Revision 6 to its application for an early site permit (ESP) at the North Anna ESP site. This revision proposes to change the cooling system for proposed Unit 3 and to increase the power level for proposed Units 3 and 4 from 4300 MWt to 4500 MWt. The staff reviewed Revision 6 of the application and has determined that additional information is needed to complete its review. The request for additional information (RAI) is enclosed.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Nitin Patel, Project Manager ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-008

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. David A. Christian
Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer
Dominion Resources Services
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6711

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI) REGARDING REVISION 6

OF THE EARLY SITE PERMIT (ESP) APPLICATION FOR THE NORTH ANNA

SITE (TAC NOS. MC1126 AND MC1128)

Dear Mr. Christian:

By letter dated April 13, 2006, Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC (Dominion) submitted Revision 6 to its application for an early site permit (ESP) at the North Anna ESP site. This revision proposes to change the cooling system for proposed Unit 3 and to increase the power level for proposed Units 3 and 4 from 4300 MWt to 4500 MWt. The staff reviewed Revision 6 of the application and has determined that additional information is needed to complete its review. The request for additional information (RAI) is enclosed.

We request that Dominion submit the adequate responses to the RAI by May 24, 2006, in order to maintain the published schedule. If the values in either the Site Safety Analysis Report (SSAR) or the Environmental Report (ER) change as a result of the RAI, please submit a revised SSAR and ER containing the new values. If you have any questions on this matter, please contact the NRC project manager, Nitin Patel, at 301-415-3201 or <a href="mailto:nxx10mm">nxx10mm</a>.

Sincerely.

/RA/

Nitin Patel, Project Manager ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-008

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/encl: See next page

ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML061290142

OFFICE	PM:NESB	PM: NEPB	OGC	BC:ADRA/DRA	BC: NEPB	(A) BC:NESB
NAME	NPatel	JCushing	RWeisman	MKotzalas	AKugler	ACubbage
DATE	05/10/06	05/09/06	05/10/06	05/09/06	05/09/06	05/10/06

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

## Distribution for letter to David Christian dated May 10, 2006:

Hard Copy NESB R/F PUBLIC ACubbage NPatel JCushing

E-Mail

DMatthews/BBeckner CNolan JCushing LDudes MParkhurst (PNNL) AKugler NPatel SMonarque JBlake, RII OGC NEPB R/F BMiller (PNNL) KClark, RII RIDSRgn2MailCenter KLandis, RII RHannah, RII RHarvey BZalcman JReece, RII RWeisman PMagnanelli GBagchi JLee GWunder **PUBLIC** 

#### REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

## REGARDING REVISION 6 TO THE APPLICATION

#### FOR AN EARLY SITE PERMIT (ESP) AT THE NORTH ANNA ESP SITE

#### DOMINION NUCLEAR NORTH ANNA, LLC

## **DOCKET NO. 52-008**

By letter dated April 13, 2006, Dominion Nuclear North Anna, LLC (Dominion) submitted Revision 6 to its application for an early site permit (ESP) at the North Anna ESP site. The revision proposes to change the cooling system for proposed Unit 3 and to increase the power level for proposed Units 3 and 4 from 4300 MWt to 4500 MWt.

The staff has reviewed Revision 6. Using the activity releases given in the environmental report (ER) and the site safety analysis report (SSAR), the breathing rate given in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.183, the site-specific X/Q's, and the dose conversion factors in Federal Guidance Reports (FGR) 11 and 12 (issued by the Environmental Protection Agency), the staff calculated the doses set forth in RAIs 2-5. In those RAIs, the staff refers to doses calculated by the applicant, which were calculated by multiplying the doses in the ESBWR Design Control Document (DCD) by the ratio of the North Anna ESP site-specific X/Q's to that used for the ESBWR, multiplied by a factor of 1.25. Accordingly, the staff has determined that the following additional information is needed to complete its review:

- 1. For each of the postulated accidents discussed in RAIs 2-5, provide the activity release for the period giving the highest 2-hour dose at the EAB. Also, provide the period (in hours) used.
- 2. ESBWR Failure of Small Lines Carrying Primary Coolant Outside Containment.
  - A) Provide a calculation of the highest 2-hour dose at the EAB.
  - B) Using the activity releases given in ER Table 7.1-13a, and the methods described above, the staff calculated 6.82 E-04 rem as the low population zone (LPZ) dose. Please verify that the activity releases in ER Table 7.1-13a are correct, and calculate the LPZ dose using the correct activities and the methods used by the staff described above. If these doses differ from the doses listed in ER Table 7.1-13b, please justify the differences, or otherwise provide the correct result.
  - C) Using the activity releases given in site safety analysis report (SSAR) Table 15.4-12a, and the methods described above, the staff calculated 6.45 E-03 rem as the LPZ dose. Please verify that the activity releases in SSAR Table 15.4-12a are correct, and calculate the LPZ dose using these activities and the methods used by the staff described above. If these doses differ from the doses listed in SSAR Table 15.4-12b, please justify the differences, or otherwise provide the correct result.

-1- Enclosure

## 3. ESBWR Main Steam Line Break – Equilibrium Activity

- A) Using the activity releases given in ER Table 7.1-20a, and the methods described above, the staff calculated 1.57 E-02 rem as the EAB dose for the 0 to 2 hour period and 1.02 E-03 rem as the LPZ dose. Please verify that the activity releases in ER Table 7.1-20a are correct, and calculate the EAB and LPZ doses using these activities and the methods used by the staff described above. If these doses differ from the doses listed in ER Table 7.1-20c, please justify the differences, or otherwise provide the correct result.
- B) Using the activity releases given in SSAR Table 15.4-19a, and the methods described above, the staff calculated 1.06 E-01 rem as the EAB dose for the 0 to 2 hour period and 9.63 E-03 rem as the LPZ dose. Please verify that the activity releases in SSAR Table 15.4-19a are correct, and calculate the EAB and LPZ doses using these activities and the methods used by the staff described above. If these doses differ from the doses listed in SSAR Table 15.4-19c, please justify the differences, or otherwise provide the correct result.

#### ESBWR Loss-of-Coolant-Accident

Provide a reference for the activity releases for the ESBWR Loss-of-Coolant Accident presented in ER Table 7.1-24a and SSAR Table 15.4-23a

## 5. ESBWR Fuel Handling Accident

- A) Using the activity releases given in ER Table 7.1-29, and the methods described above, the staff calculated 3.7 E+00 rem as the EAB dose and 2.40 E-01 rem as the LPZ dose. Please verify that the activity releases in ER Table 7.1-29 are correct, and calculate the EAB and LPZ doses using these activities and the methods used by the staff described above. If these doses differ from the doses listed in ER Table 7.1-30, please justify the differences, or otherwise provide the correct result.
- B) Using the activity releases given in SSAR Table 15.4-28, and the methods described above, the staff calculated 2.5 E+01 rem as the EAB dose and 2.27 E-00 rem as the LPZ dose. Please verify that the activity releases in SSAR Table 15.4-28 are correct, and calculate the EAB and LPZ doses using these activities and the methods used by the staff described above. If these doses differ from the doses listed in SSAR Table 15.4-29, please justify the differences, or otherwise provide the correct result.
- C) 10 CFR 50.34(a)(1) establishes a reference value of 25 rem total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) for "An individual located at any point on the boundary of the exclusion area for any 2-hour period." The criterion for acceptability of the radiological consequences of a fuel handling accident (FHA) is set at 6.3 rem in Standard Review Plan Sections 15.0.1 and 15.7.4 (well below the 25 rem reference value, which is defined as not more than 25 percent of the 25 rem reference value). This criterion is stated in Regulatory Guide 1.183.

The dose at the EAB calculated from the releases in SSAR Table 15.4-28 does not satisfy the guidance of RG 1.183. Justify why the dose is acceptable. Note that I-131

is the primary contributor to this dose. The I-131 release for the ESBWR listed in Table 15.4-28 is 45 times larger than the I-131 release listed in Table 15.4-26 for the ABWR, and is almost 21 times larger than the I-131 release listed in Table 15.4-24 for the AP1000. Explain the basis for the value in Table 15.4-28.

Revise the ER and SSAR to include the analyses with documentation of the source term, analytical assumptions, and dose estimates.

#### 6. Severe Accidents

Provide a copy of GEDO-SR5-2006-0020, which contains information regarding ESBWR source term based on MAAP runs dated April 11, 2006. This is reference 5 of the calculation SM-1526 which is included on the CD with the MACCS2 data.

7. ABWR Feedwater System Pipe Break and Cleanup Water Line Break Accidents

Revision 6 of the ESP application includes two ABWR design basis accidents (DBAs) that were not included in revision 5 of the application: feedwater system pipe break and cleanup water line break. Both the SSAR and ER list EAB and LPZ doses for these accidents in the summary tables 7.1-2 and 15.4-1. Supporting information (activity release and dose calculation tables) is provided in both documents for the feedwater system pipe break. However, neither the ER nor the SSAR provides any supporting information for the ABWR cleanup water line break. Provide the supporting documentation for the ABWR cleanup waterline break accident.

The DCD for the ABWR design does not analyze the feedwater system pipe break accident. Revise the ER and SSAR accordingly, or explain why this accident is being analyzed, and provide all information supporting the analyses, together with references for this information. The staff notes that for the ESBWR, the dose for the feedwater system pipe break accident is more than a factor of 1000 lower than the dose from the cleanup water line break accident, while the doses are the same for these two ABWR DBAs. If analysis of the ABWR feedwater line break is necessary, explain this difference.

8. Revise the SSAR and ER to reflect any change in activities and doses as a result of the above RAIs, and provide references for such activities and doses that are inputs to Dominion's calculations.

# NORTH ANNA EARLY SITE PERMIT SERVICE LIST

Mr. David A. Christian
Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear
Officer
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, VA 23060-6711

Ms. Lillian M. Cuoco, Esq. Senior Counsel Dominion Resources Services, Inc. Rope Ferry Road Building 475, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Waterford, CT 06385

Mr. C. Lee Lintecum County Administrator Louisa County P.O. Box 160 Louisa, Virginia 23093

Mr. David R. Lewis Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Dr. W. T. Lough Virginia State Corporation Commission Division of Energy Regulation P. O. Box 1197 Richmond, Virginia 23209

Office of the Attorney General Commonwealth of Virginia 900 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

Senior Resident Inspector North Anna Power Station U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1024 Haley Drive Mineral, Virginia 23117

Mr. Robert B. Strobe, M.D., M.P.H. State Health Commissioner Office of the Commissioner Virginia Department of Health P. O. Box 2448 Richmond, Virginia 23218

Mr. David Lochbaum Union of Concerned Scientists 1707 H Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3919 Mr. Paul Gunter Director of the Reactor Watchdog Project Nuclear Information & Resource Service 1424 16<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 404 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Adrian Heymer Nuclear Energy Institute Suite 400 1776 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Russell Bell Nuclear Energy Institute Suite 400 1776 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. James Riccio Greenpeace 702 H Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001

Ms. Patricia Campbell Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Gary Wright, Manager Division of Nuclear Safety Illinois Emergency Management Agency 1035 Outer Park Drive Springfield, IL 62704

Mr. Glenn H. Archinoff AECL Technologies 481 North Frederick Avenue Suite 405 Gaithersburg, MD. 20877

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager Projects PBMR Pty LTD PO Box 9396 Centurion 0046 Republic of South Africa

Mr. Brendan Hoffman Research Associate on Nuclear Energy Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy and Environmental Program 215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20003 Mr. Paul Leventhal Nuclear Control Institute 1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 410 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Charles Brinkman Westinghouse Electric Co. Washington Operations 12300 Twinbrook Pkwy., Suite 330 Rockville. MD 20852

Mr. Marvin Fertel
Senior Vice President
and Chief Nuclear Officer
Nuclear Energy Institute
Suite 400
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Dr. Glenn R. George Co-Head, Energy Capital Markets Nomura Securities International, Inc. 2 World Financial Center, Bldg. B, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor New York, NY 10281-1198

Mr. Arthur R. Woods Enercon Services, Inc. 500 TownPark Lane Kennesaw, GA 30144

Ms. Vanessa E. Quinn, Chief Radiological Emergency Preparedness Branch Nuclear and Chemical Preparedness and Protection Division Department of Homeland Security 1800 South Bell Street, Room 837 Crystal City-Arlington, VA 22202-3546

Mr. Michael M. Cline, State Coordinator Virginia Department of Emergency Management 10501 Trade Court Richmond, Virginia 23236-3713

Mr. Jim Debiec Director - Power Production Old Dominion Electric Cooperative 4201 Dominion Blvd Glen Allen, VA 23060 Mr. Thomas Mundy Director, Project Development Exelon Generation 200 Exelon Way, KSA3-N Kennett Square, PA 19348

Ms. Joanne Tetrault Librarian Louisa County Public Library 881 Davis Highway Mineral, VA 23117

Ms. Abhaya Thiele 406 Key West Drive Charlottesville, VA 22911

Mr. J. Randall Wheeler Spotsylvania County Administrator P.O. Box 99 Spotsylvania Courthouse Spotsylvania, VA 22553

Mr. William C. Rolfe Assistant County Administrator P.O. Box 111 Orange, VA 22690

Ms. Sandra Sloan Areva NP, Inc. 3315 Old Forest Road P.O. Box 10935 Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Ms. Kathryn Sutton, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Robert E. Sweeney IBEX ESI 4641 Montgomery Avenue Suite 350 Bethesda, MD 20814

Mr. Dick Clark
President, Oakridge Civic Assn.
2212 Founders Bridge Rd.
Midlothian, VA 23113

## Internal e-mail

**SCollins** 

**MDapas** 

RBlough

MGamberoni

BHolian

WTravers

**LPlisco** 

VMcCree

**CCasto** 

JCaldwell

**GGrant** 

CPederson

**MSatorius** 

**BMalet** 

**TGwynn** 

**DChamberlain** 

AHowell

#### External E-mail

tom.miller@hq.doe.gov or

tom.miller@ nuclear.energy.gov

Eugene Grecheck@dom.com

Jack Davis@dom.com

Marvin Smith@dom.com

Joseph Hegner@dom.com

Tony Banks@dom.com

Lillian Cuoco@dom.com

David\_Sommers@dom.com

Vicki Hull@dom.com

Margaret Bennett@dom.com

david.lewis@pillsburylaw.com

gzinke@entergy.com

sandra.sloan@areva.com

mwetterhahn@winston.com

whorin@winston.com

gcesare@enercon.com

louis.quintana@ge.com

steven.hucik@ge.com

david.hinds@ge.com

chris.maslak@ge.com

james1beard@ge.com

eddie.grant@exeloncorp.com