

May 9, 2006

Mr. David H. Hinds, Manager, ESBWR  
General Electric Company  
P.O. Box 780, M/C L60  
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 27 RELATED TO  
ESBWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Hinds:

By letter dated August 24, 2005, General Electric Company (GE) submitted an application for final design approval and standard design certification of the economic simplified boiling water reactor (ESBWR) standard plant design pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed design.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter. Question 2.5-7 concerns slope stability as discussed in Section 2.5 of the ESBWR design control document (DCD). Questions 3.3-1 through 3 and 3.5-16 concern designing for wind and tornado loadings as discussed in Sections 3.3 and 3.5 of the ESBWR DCD. Question 2.5-7 was sent to you via electronic mail on April 7, 2006. Questions 3.3-1 through 3 were sent to you via electronic mail on March 23, 2006. Question 3.5-16 was sent to you via electronic mail on April 24, 2006. You did not request a telecon on these questions. You agreed to respond to question 2.5-7 by May 19, 2006, and to questions 3.3-1 through 3 and 3.5-16 by June 9, 2006.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at (301) 415-2863 or [lwr@nrc.gov](mailto:lwr@nrc.gov) or you may contact Amy Cubbage at (301) 415-2875 or [aec@nrc.gov](mailto:aec@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Lawrence Rossbach, Project Manager  
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch  
Division of New Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-010

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

Mr. David H. Hinds, Manager, ESBWR  
General Electric Company  
P.O. Box 780, M/C L60  
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780

May 9, 2006

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO. 27 RELATED TO  
ESBWR DESIGN CERTIFICATION APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Hinds:

By letter dated August 24, 2005, General Electric Company (GE) submitted an application for final design approval and standard design certification of the economic simplified boiling water reactor (ESBWR) standard plant design pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed design.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter. Question 2.5-7 concerns slope stability as discussed in Section 2.5 of the ESBWR design control document (DCD). Questions 3.3-1 through 3 and 3.5-16 concern designing for wind and tornado loadings as discussed in Sections 3.3 and 3.5 of the ESBWR DCD. Question 2.5-7 was sent to you via electronic mail on April 7, 2006. Questions 3.3-1 through 3 were sent to you via electronic mail on March 23, 2006. Question 3.5-16 was sent to you via electronic mail on April 24, 2006. You did not request a telecon on these questions. You agreed to respond to question 2.5-7 by May 19, 2006, and to questions 3.3-1 through 3 and 3.5-16 by June 9, 2006.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at (301) 415-2863 or [lwr@nrc.gov](mailto:lwr@nrc.gov) or you may contact Amy Cubbage at (301) 415-2875 or [aec@nrc.gov](mailto:aec@nrc.gov).

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Lawrence Rossbach, Project Manager  
ESBWR/ABWR Projects Branch  
Division of New Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-010

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page  
ACCESSION NO. ML061290029

OFFICE	NESB/PM	NESB/BC(A)
NAME	LRossbach	ACubbage
DATE	05/09/2006	05/09/2006

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**

Distribution for DCD RAI Letter No. 27 dated May 9, 2006

Hard Copy

PUBLIC

NESB R/F

ACubbage

LRossbach

E-Mail

LDudes

JHan

JDanna

ACRS

OGC

ACubbage

LRossbach

LQuinones

MBarillas

JGaslevic

CMunson

DJeng

ZCruz-Perez

RKaras

**REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (RAI)**

**ESBWR DESIGN CONTROL DOCUMENT (DCD) SECTION 2.5, "GEOLOGY, SEISMOLOGY, AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING"**

<b>RAI Number</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Question Summary</b>	<b>Full Text</b>
2.5-7	Munson C	Clarify slope stability.	DCD Tier 2, Table 2.0-1 provides an envelope of ESBWR reference plant site design parameters, considerations and/or limits. For Subsection 2.5.5, Table 2.0-1 specifies that the ESBWR design "assumes stable slopes." Provide a more complete description of the conditions (static and dynamic) under which stable slopes are required.

**ESBWR DCD SECTION 3.3, "WIND AND TORNADO LOADINGS"**

<b>RAI Number</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Question Summary</b>	<b>Full Text</b>
3.3-1	Jeng D Cruz-Perez Z	Confirm that procedures utilized to transform the wind velocity into an effective pressure applied to structures provided in the reference is consistent with SRP Section 3.3.1 or identify and justify deviations from the SRP section.	DCD Tier 2, Section 3.3.1, states that the procedures utilized to transform the wind velocity into an effective pressure applied to structures and parts, and portions of structures, are as delineated in Reference 3.3-1. Reference 3.3-1 lists ASCE Standard 7-2002, "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures," Committee A.58.1, American National Standards Institute. Since the above referenced standard is still under staff review, confirm that the procedures utilized to transform the wind velocity into an effective pressure applied to structures provided in the reference is consistent with those stipulated in Reference 2 of SRP Section 3.3.1 (Rev. 2, 1981), otherwise, identify and justify deviations from the SRP section.

RAI Number	Reviewer	Question Summary	Full Text
3.3-2	Jeng D Cruz-Perez Z	Provide explicit rotational wind speed information in DCD Tier 2, Table 2.0-1, or discuss GE's basis for omitting the parameter in the table.	DCD Tier 2, Section 3.3.2.1 states that the design basis tornado and applicable missiles are described in Subsections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2, and Table 2.0-1. Subsection 2.3.1 of Table 2.0-1 provides parameters defining a design basis tornado for the ESBWR excluding the maximum rotational speed of the same. This is not consistent with Table I of Regulatory Guide 1.76, "Design Basis Tornado for Nuclear Power Plants," which lists rotational speed as one of the parameters defining a design basis tornado. Explicitly provide rotational wind speed information in DCD Tier 2, Table 2.0-1, or discuss GE's basis for omitting the parameter in the Table.
3.3-3	Jeng D Cruz-Perez Z	Confirm that plant SSC's not designed for tornado loads are all assumed to fail under tornado loads, and are qualified by appropriate tornado-related II/I interaction analyses for the effect of their failure on Seismic Category I SSC's.	DCD Tier 2, Section 3.3.3.2 states that the COL applicant shall ensure that the remainder of plant structures, systems, and components (SSC's) not designed for tornado loads are analyzed for the site-specific loadings to ensure that their modes of failure do not affect the ability of the Seismic Category I ESBWR Standard Plant SSC's to perform their intended functions. Since the site-specific loadings cited above exclude tornado loads, confirm that these SSC's were all assumed to fail under the tornado loadings, and appropriate tornado-related II/I interaction analyses were performed for the SSC's to ensure that their modes of failure do not affect the ability of the Seismic Category I ESBWR Standard Plant SSC's to perform their intended functions.

**ESBWR DCD SECTION 3.5.3, “Barrier Design Procedures”**

<b>RAI Number</b>	<b>Reviewer</b>	<b>Question Summary</b>	<b>Full Text</b>
3.5-16	Jeng D Cruz Perez Z	Provide the definition of “tornado structure.”	DCD Tier 2, Subsection 3.5.1.4 states that “an evaluation of nonsafety-related structures, systems, and components (not housed in a tornado structure) whose failure due to a design basis tornado missile could adversely impact the safety function of safety-related systems and components,” shall be provided to the NRC by the applicant referencing the ESBWR design. It is not clear to the staff what “tornado structure” means. The applicant is asked to provide the definition of “tornado structure.”

ESBWR

cc:

Mr. David H. Hinds, Manager  
ESBWR  
P.O. Box 780, M/C L60  
Wilmington, NC 28402-0780

Mr. George B. Stramback  
Manager, Regulatory Services  
GE Nuclear Energy  
1989 Little Orchard Street, M/C 747  
San Jose, CA 95125

Mr. David Lochbaum, Nuclear Safety  
Engineer  
Union of Concerned Scientists  
1707 H Street, NW., Suite 600  
Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter  
Nuclear Information & Resource Service  
1424 16th Street, NW, Suite 404  
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James Riccio  
Greenpeace  
702 H Street, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Adrian Heymer  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
Suite 400  
1776 I Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Paul Leventhal  
Nuclear Control Institute  
1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Suite 410  
Washington, DC 20036

Dr. Jack W. Roe  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
1776 I Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Ron Simard  
6170 Masters Club Drive  
Suwanne, GA 30024

Mr. Brendan Hoffman  
Research Associate on Nuclear Energy  
and Environmental Program  
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE  
Washington, DC 20003

Ms. Patricia Campbell  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Glenn H. Archinoff  
AECL Technologies  
481 North Frederick Avenue  
Suite 405  
Gaithersburg, MD. 20877

Mr. Gary Wright, Director  
Division of Nuclear Facility Safety  
Illinois Emergency Management Agency  
1035 Outer Park Drive  
Springfield, IL 62704

Mr. Charles Brinkman  
Westinghouse Electric Co.  
Washington Operations  
12300 Twinbrook Pkwy., Suite 330  
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Ronald P. Vijuk  
Manager of Passive Plant Engineering  
AP1000 Project  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
P. O. Box 355  
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager  
Projects  
PBMR Pty LTD  
PO Box 9396  
Centurion 0046  
Republic of South Africa

Mr. Russell Bell  
Nuclear Energy Institute  
Suite 400  
1776 I Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Jerald S. Holm  
Framatome ANP, Inc.  
3315 Old Forest Road  
P.O. Box 10935  
Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Ms. Kathryn Sutton, Esq.  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP  
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Robert E. Sweeney  
IBEX ESI  
4641 Montgomery Avenue  
Suite 350  
Bethesda, MD 20814

Mr. Eugene S. Grecheck  
Vice President, Nuclear Support Services  
Dominion Energy, Inc.  
5000 Dominion Blvd.  
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Mr. George A. Zinke  
Manager, Project Management  
Nuclear Business Development  
Entergy Nuclear, M-ECH-683  
1340 Echelon Parkway  
Jackson, MS 39213

E-Mail:

tom.miller@hq.doe.gov or  
tom.miller@nuclear.energy.gov  
mwetterhahn@winston.com  
whorin@winston.com  
gcesare@enercon.com  
jerald.holm@framatome-anp.com  
eddie.grant@exeloncorp.com  
joseph\_hegner@dom.com  
steven.hucik@ge.com  
david.hinds@ge.com  
chris.maslak@ge.com  
james1beard@ge.com  
louis.quintana@gene.ge.com  
wayne.massie@ge.com  
kathy.sedney@ge.com  
george.stramback@gene.ge.com