## Chien en McKernon

Facility/Task, FOS Exam

Teo- Start Dere 57/98/2005

	ITEM DESCRIPTION:	DATE	
`\	Skaminsky, blica Schedule Agreement	j. Jen 2, 2005	
	To SO Stoff & Flag. Control Assigned	1 Jan 9, 2009	
es L	Reclay on that brefed on security & other results	. Jaa 9. 2006	
·*.	Corp. Not feation Letter Sent	ta. 9, 2006	700 2/25/
25	property Appalancement Letter Service R & LCR Factor, to	l step 24, 2003	NA
4	Takk Expressions, Inserse, A Standarda Consecutive SO	7.579, 0005	10m 4/9/00
	[Reformation details] Desp	Z 1897 10, 2005	- 10m 3/1/g
 ()	Integrated Exert Outlines Out	/ : <del></del>	791 4/1/0
n to the total of	Opplieds of feducing in RC & Resolution from	4/5	70ce 4/5/0
3	Probeigan spolications Que	- Jun 8, 2015	Dou 6/8/8
	Draft Exerce w/ Coculeut Doe 5/2	7	Jon 5/27
10	lingspendent Reviewer inthus As Reviewed Air Porch	May 10, 2003	
- 4	PIBO Securities, Indust Approving for Field Rev.	1 Day 39, 2005	
: 2	(Streume Provinced will Errol	Jun 20	Dow /201
13	jelikal Apul, Desid, Arsyna Schelt Prepara V	E. J. B. 24. 2304	20m 6/24
7 -3	TRRC Supplication Authorisis Final Extens	1 Jul <b>5</b> , 2008	2011 1/3/
	Stand Acual Sucress Stand	. A. 1 2005	20m 7/1/
16	Proutor B. His Bowle Lead of Fed IS White Authorized	341.2005	Du 1/1
\$77	Example production for Town	. Jul <b>i 5</b> , 2008	70m 7/5/6
16	(Fiso, graces, a cam & Comments Secto	; John 2005	Tora 1/191
111	poso water - Greding Completed	1 24, 2005	20m 1/20
20	Example Priding Bering Op. Tests	1	Jon 7/20
Z I	NRC On 18 a Review Competed	JU 21 2005	Tom 7/21,
27	NBO BO Friedric Campliated	50)21, 0000	70m 7/21
33	IKSSAP Exchanges updated Sefore Report Issued	Jul 2 2016	20m 7/21/
24	License/Drivinis Gryptod & France Issued	⊹∘ુ <b>25</b> ∷≎ક	Dm 8/25/
ener en e Signafi	SISPR PKg Review Completed: Sept	6-3,2004	Jom 9/11
	1 <b>515PR PKg Review Completed. V</b> Ifinal Instruction Report Issued, Exem Package to OLA, Facility	A. Contact Netting	i of Presults

Facility		Fort Calhoun Date of Examination:	7/	11/0	کے
ltem		Task Description	a	Initials	s c#
1. W	a.	Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	EK	/km3	710
R I	b.	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with / Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	FI	Jw	Don
T T	C.	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	SK,	Km	19m
E N	d.	Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate. $\prime$	FK.	Km.	Du
2. S	a.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	EK,	July 1	Ore
M U L A T	b.	Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	FK	Just	Du
O R	C.	To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	FK	Ship	Dru
3. W / T	/	Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:  (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form  (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)  (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	F <sup>k</sup>	Øw.	79k
		Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:  (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	£k.	fres	Non
	Ġ)	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	5K	du	M
4.	a.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	FK	pri	m
G E	b.	Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	FK	(w	1004
N E		Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	EK	W	Ton
R		Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	(F)(	m	Mu
A L	e. f.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.  Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	EX	Au	Au.
c. NRC	nor ility I	Terry F. Hospic Name/Signature 7 22  Reviewer (*)  Printed Name/Signature 7 22  Printed Name/Signature	uuired	373 3/2 4/14 5/24	8/05 8/05 8/05 1/05

06/30/05

		The state of the s	
-4	01	Written Examination Quality	Checklist



Facility:	Fort Calhoun Date	of Exam:	7/8	/0:	Exam	Levei:	ROJE S	RO D		
							Initial			
	Item Description					а	<i>y</i> -	c*		
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and app	licable to tr	e facility	1.	·	5K	VEN	TOU		
2.	NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.     Facility learning objectives are referenced as a	vai(able.				<i>5/1</i>	Aw	Torre		
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section	, i d i =	IK	(tw)	PH					
4.	The sampling process was random and systematic (If mo were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, con-	jestions office).			Tom					
5.	Question duplication from the Ilcense screening/audit exa indicated below (check the item that applies) and applied in the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed the audit exam was completed before the Ilcense examinations were developed independently; or the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (exptain)	Ξħ	(fau	Ten						
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank 32/5	Mod 4	ified 4	New 39/16	5h	Aw	Tom		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	30 /	ory G	43	C/A	卦	Ju.	Toju		
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	5				5K	Aw.	One		
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to whic deviations are justified.	in the previ h they are	ously ap assigned	proved ;	l	Fh.	of sw	om		
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guide	lines in ES	Append	lix B.		EK	(M)	Am		
11.										
a. Autho b. Facili c. NRC d. NRC	z.l		5/ 5/ 7/ 1/6	30/05 20/05 20/05 105						



Facili	ty: FORT Calhoun Date of Examination: 7/11/05 Operating	Test N	Numbe	r:
	1. General Criteria		Initial	1
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	a Fire	fw	C#
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	EK	fre	702
C.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	JEK .	fre	700
đ.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	5k	Azu	me
е.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	Ek!	fw	Da
	2. Walk-Through Criteria			
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable:  initial conditions  initiating cues  references and tools, including associated procedures  reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee  operationally important specific performance criteria that include:  detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature  system response and other examiner cues  statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant  criteria for successful completion of the task  identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards  restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable  Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through	EK .	Dew	Par
	outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	Er.	ku	On
	3. Simulator Criteria			
	associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	Et	(Fw)	70n
b.   c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) T.O. M. KERNON JOURN 6/1 NRC Supervisor 2.E. W.TZ 16	Dat /27/ 31 - 5 /0/0	105 5	
NOTE	<ul> <li>The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.</li> <li>Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.</li> </ul>			

Facility:	FORT Calhoun Date of Exam: 7/11/05 Scenario Numbers: ) 10	2 / 3 Operating Test	No.:							
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initials	s					
		<u> </u>	а	b*	_c#					
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumenta of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	tion may be out	FX	fru!	2024					
2.	2. The scenarios consist mostly of related events.									
3.	3. Each event description consists of  the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated  the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event  the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew  the expected operator actions (by shift position)  the event termination point (if applicable)									
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated in without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	nto the scenario	Ek.	fw	702					
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		SK	Haw	My					
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination to complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	eam to obtain	Fr	Pu	Ton					
7.										
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		FK	Ju	Ron					
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scen	evaluated	Ek	fru	Bon					
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-		乐	free	294					
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Forr (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	n ES-301-6	Elr.	The	Don					
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transic specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and events	Fh.	fw	7780					
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each cr	ew position.	JEK	/ Ju	100					
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes								
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)	8,8,9	EK.	tw	200					
2.	2. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)									
3	Abnormal events (2–4)	3 1212	Fk	(tw	70m					
4	Major transients (1–2)	1 1112	JEK.	Pru	10m					
5	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1–2)	1 1111	EK	The	2000					
6	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0–2)	1 111	Er	Ph	Bu					
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	3 1313	FK	[Bh	15/000					

7. D. M. 6/10/05 ES-301, Page 25 of 27

R01 R04
R02 R05
R03 R06
USROI USROZ

Facility: /	ORT	CAL	ALHOUN Date of Exam: 7/11/p.5 Operating Test No.:												
A	E							Scenar	ios						
P P L I	V E N T		1			2		3				T 0 T	M - N		
L-CANT	T Y CREW POSITION		ITION	CREV	/ POSI	TIÓN	CREW POSITION			CREW	Ā	M			
Ť	P E	S R O	A T C	В О Р	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		M
	RX		5											1	1*
RO)	NOR														1*
SRO-I	I/C		1,4,6				2,3,8							6	4*
SRO-U	MAJ		Ź				6							2	2
JONO-0	TS														2
	RX					7								1	1*
(RO)	NOR														1*
SRO-I	I/C					1,45				2,4,6,	7			7	4*
SRO-U	MAJ					6				2,4,6, 8				2	2
	TS														2
	RX														1*
RO	NOR			5										1	1*
SRO-I	I/C			2,3,8					3,5,7 8					6	4*
SRO-U	MAJ			7					8					2	2
	TS				***										2
	RX														1*
RO	NOR							,							1*
SRO-I	1/C														4*
SRO-U	MAJ														2
	TS	4,6			1,4			1,4						6	2

### Instructions:

- Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type;
   TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant
   (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C)
   malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- 2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. \* Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

ES-301, Page 26 of 27

ISR01

TSR03

Facility:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Da	te of E	xam:			0	perating	Test N	lo.:		
A P	E > I							Scenar	ios						
P L	E N T		1			2		3				T 0	M		
CAN	T Y	CRE	W POS	ITION	CREW POSITION			CREV	V POSI	TION	CREW POSITION			T A L	N H M
Ť	P E	020	ATC	вов	SRO	A T C	ВОР	S R O	A T C	ВОР	S R O	A T C	В О <del>Р</del>		M
	RX		5											1	1*
RO	NOR									i					1*
SRO-I)	I/C		1,4,6				2,3,8							6	4*
SRO-U	MAJ		7				6							2	2
	TS							1,4						2	2
	RX					7								1	1*
RO	NOR														1*
SRO-I	I/C					1,45				3,4,6	9			7	4*
SRO-U	MAJ					6				8				2	2
	TS	4,6												2	2
	RX														1*
RO	NOR			اج										1	1*
SRO-I	I/C			2,3,8					3,5,7					6	4*
SRO-U	MAJ			7					8					2	2
	TS				1,4									2	2
	RX														1*
RO	NOR														1*
SRO-I	I/C														4*
SRO-U	MAJ														2
	TS														2

## Instructions:

- Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type;
  TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant
  (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C)
  malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.\* Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

ES-301, Page 26 of 27

Facility: Fort Calhou	7 <sub>[</sub>	ate (	of Ex	ami	natio	n: 7	7/1/	/a	<u></u>	Oρ	erati	na '	Test	No.:		
		201				, <u>,,</u>	APF	LIC			03 A				. U.S.A	<del>-</del>
	ROSRO- I/SRO-U				ROSRO- I/SRO-U				(RÒ/SRO- I/SRO-U				RO/SRO-			
Competencies	S	CEN	IARI	0		SCEN	VARI	0	S	CEN	IARI	0	S		IARIO	2
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	ATC	BaP				AX.	BoP		B₽		ATC		Se	SR	Sp	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1,4 6	2,3 6,8				1,4 5,7	3, 2, 9		8		3,5 7,8		1,2 3,6 8,9	1,2 4,6	a ~ ∞	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	<i>5</i> 7	3,6 8				1,4 6,7	4,6		478	i	578		578	1,6	478	
Operate Control Boards (2)	5,7	a,3 6,8				1,6 7	2,4 6,9		3 350		m 4,000			,		
Communicate and Interact	1,4 5,7	2,3 6,8				1,4 6,7	2,4		Wyw		MYNOS		ルカケアル	-W+V	1478	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)													245	146	-478	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)													46	1	14	
Notes: (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. (2) Optional for an SRO-U. (3) Only applicable to SROs.																

## Instructions:

Circle the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

Facility: FOXT Calhou	n [	)ate (	of Ex	ami	natio	n: 2	7/11/	as	-	Ор	erati	ng	Test	No.:		
	J	<u> Csf</u>	b/			ISPAPPLICANTS ISP 3										
		ROSRO-U			RO(SRO-) (I)SRO-U				ROSRO-U				RO/SRO I/SRO-U			
Competencies	S	SCENARIO			SCENARIO				s	CEN	MARI	<u> </u>	S	CEN	ARIO	0
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3_	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	ATC.	Bop	SRO		5R	ATC	Bop		Bg	Seo	Mc					
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	1,4	3,3 6,8	3000		1,2 3,6 8,9	1,4 5,7	ء ا		238	1,2 4,6	3,5 7,8					
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	<i>5</i>	3,6 8	478		578	1,4 6,7	4,4		578	16	578					
Operate Control Boards (2)	1,5 6,7	ł				1,6 7	2,4 6,9		2,3 5,7 8		3578					
Communicate and Interact	1,4 5,7	2,3 6,8	1,4 7,8		3,7 7,8	1,4 6,7	2,4		258	1350	2'8 3'2					
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)			ルケ 7,8		2467					146						
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)			14		46					14						

### Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

## Instructions:

Circle the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

ES-301, Page 27 of 27

## Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist



Fac	cility: FORT Calhoun Date of Exam: 7/8/05 Exam	Level: R	o∑∫s	ROX
			Initials	
	Item Description	а	þ	С
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	JEK_	ten	70h
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	FK	fw	DU
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	Il.	fre	Jon
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	XI/A	fres.	Ton
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	N/A	fw	Tom
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	跃	fu	20m
	Printed Name/Signature		D	ate
a.	Grader Jerry Koske/ Jan Turk	=	7/	14/05
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) DAVID WEAUER / Wow	-	7-1	4-5
C.	NRC Chief Examiner (*) T. O.M. KERNON DUK		7/1	9/05
d.	NRC Supervisor (*)  Anthony Gody Cat Sody	-	7/2	alor
(*)	The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations two independent NRC reviews are required.	graded	by the I	NRC;

10:35:46 Page 1 of 4 08/15/2005

**Operator Licensing Exam Schedule** From 01/01/2005 To 09/30/2005

Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Exam Week	Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	#	Candidates	Type	Exam Auth	Exam Author Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
05/23/2005	05/23/2005 Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2005301 TAC #: X02281			Prep	444	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
06/20/2005	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2005301 TAC #: X02281			Prep	IL IL	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
07/11/2005	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2005301 TAC #: X02281	RO - 6 SROU - 2	SROI - 2	Admin	FF F	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	LANTZ, RYAN E. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.
07/18/2005	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2005301 TAC #: X02281			Doc	ш. Ш. Ш.	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	LANTZ, RYAN E. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.

Sites: FCS Orgs: 4620 Exam Author: ALL

# . Pre-Examination

authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized OS as of the enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/// suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

# . Post-Examination

during the week(s) of \_\_\_\_\_\_. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered noted below and authorized by the NRC.

DATE NOTE	
SIGNATURE (2)	
DATE 1/10/05	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
SIGNATURE (1)	
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY  Training Consultant	Shift Mange Consol
PRINTED NAME 1. Jerry Hoshe	3. Edward F. Cinzer Tr.  5. Care Large Tr.  6. Robin Fisher  7. True Creamon  9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 14. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15

**F**Ø2

Examination Security Agreement

## Pre-Examination

authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized soknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 7/1/05 as of the enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be censee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility administered these licensing examinations from this date until comptellon of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## Post-Examination

during the week(s) of 7/1/05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered noted below and authorized by the NRC.

SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE	With Man	2,720 m 70/01 7/21/05	Long Le per total Moles	Fisher po Telen 7/11/0/	College Colleg	16 - Semison 7.145	101/11/20 11/100	and the district	1/4/5	
DATE	1/10/05	19/2/05 Ed	Stables Crais	5.80 - 5 Keep	5/24/05	6.16.5 17	6/16/5		2/11/5	•
SIGNATURE (1)	Jay & Parke	- Antigorial	San	CE ESE D		Man La But	They went	Charles Charles	Pr lethat	
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	Training Consultant	SUPERVISOR - COS 5 14CH 1841N	20	07	SOLINGSOL-SIMUMOSE NOSTRUK	MARK GUTTERREZ SIMULATOR COMP. SPECIALIST	Simulator Scaules	Das Train ny Succession	Somis Fastinctions Cet	ı
PRINTED NAME		2. DAVID WEAVER.	4. Ale Chuichenson	B. Robin FISHER	7. Creve Creamen	9. MARK GUTIERREZ	11 July Kon	13. Dandy Cake	14 1 30 6 10 haster	NOTES:

Form ES-201		
	Examination Security Agreement	
	100,000	

## Pre-Examination

authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be icensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Z suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## Post-Examination

05. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of  $\frac{7}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{1000}$ . From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or próvidé performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

(Z) /	7-12-05 VA A VI SO-51-7										
SIGNATURE (1)	2										
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SHIFT WANTEDE										
PRINTED NAME	1. MAIN HAVELAK	7, 40	4.	8.	7.	ó	10,	12	13.	4. n.	NOTES:

ES-201, Page 26 of 27

fase 2 of 2