

From: Ralph Meyer
To: Harold Scott; John Voglewede; Mike Billone
Date: 2/2/06 10:48AM
Subject: RE: ANL Contingency Planning

Mike, Harold, John,

Farouk asked me this morning to put some bullets together. I plan to do that without being too pessimistic. I don't think anyone else needs to write anything on this today. Just talk to me and give me verbal information. I already think I understand the situation, and I look forward to hearing about the outcome of Mike's meetings today.

Ralph

>>> Mike Billone <billone@anl.gov> 02/02/06 9:37 AM >>>

I had planned to start the paragraph or bullet outline with what ANL can do and by when vs. schedule established in 189 SOW.

If ANL can generate 80% of NRC LOCA and SNF data needs outside the AGHCF and satisfy the remaining 20% (LOCA integral tests, bend tests and impact tests with fueled cladding) during FY08, then the slippage in the schedule would be the LOCA integral tests schedule for FY06 and FY07. The SNF tests with fueled cladding have already been scheduled for FY08.

Then offer possible alternatives if NRC did not like this arrangement. I do not think that the other alternatives would result in data generation schedule and cost comparable to ANL, but that is for NRC to decide.

My Schedule Today

1. 9 am telecon with Phillip Finck, Pete Planchon of INL and me to discuss 4 high-burnup M5 rods at INL.
2. 10 am Energy Technology Section Managers Meeting, in which major reorganization plans may be announced.
3. 1:30 pm meeting with my IPS staff/techs and Terri's HFS staff/techs to explain the outcome of the 10 am meeting.

I will write something for you by the end of the day. Ralph can then edit it to give it the right NRC flavor.

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Harold Scott [<mailto:HHS@nrc.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2006 8:35 PM
To: John Voglewede; Ralph Meyer
Cc: billone@anl.gov
Subject: Re: ANL Contingency Planning

Why should we announce a worst-case scenario.

let's announce a likely scenario that seems compromise for both sides.

A-27

and we could have a step back scenario if pressed.

>>> John Voglewede 02/01/06 12:50 PM >>>
Ralph,

This morning, Farouk asked us to prepare a one paragraph description of worst-case contingency plans for the hot cell closure at Argonne. The intent is to have something in his pocket in case the Argonne hot cell problem is raised during next week's Commission meeting(s). He wants something in the next couple of days.

Farouk mentioned decontaminating the integral test rig and shipping it to a hot cell somewhere else. I talked to Mike Billone, who said that option is not economically viable nor possible within schedule. However, he is willing to boil down his previous contingency planning work into a paragraph for you to give to Farouk.

John