

May 5, 2006

Mr. Gene F. St. Pierre, Site Vice President  
c/o James M. Peschel  
Seabrook Station  
PO Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874

SUBJECT: SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE:  
ELIMINATION OF MONTHLY OPERATING REPORTS AND OCCUPATIONAL  
RADIATION EXPOSURE REPORTS (TAC NO. MC9303)

Dear Mr. St. Pierre:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 109 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 for the Seabrook Station, Unit No 1, in response to your application dated December 19, 2005.

The requested changes would delete Technical Specification (TS) 6.8.1.2a "Occupational Radiation Exposure Report [ORER]," TS 6.8.1.2.c, regarding challenges to pressurizer relief and safety valves and TS 6.8.1.5, "Monthly Operating Report [MOR]," as described in the Notice of Availability published in the *Federal Register* on June 23, 2004 (69 FR 35067).

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

G. Edward Miller, Project Manager  
Plant Licensing Branch I-2  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-443

Enclosures:

1. Amendment No. 109 to NPF-86
2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1

cc:

Mr. J. A. Stall  
Senior Vice President, Nuclear and  
Chief Nuclear Officer  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Peter Brann  
Assistant Attorney General  
State House, Station #6  
Augusta, ME 04333

Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Seabrook Nuclear Power Station  
P.O. Box 1149  
Seabrook, NH 03874

Town of Exeter  
10 Front Street  
Exeter, NH 03823

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Office of the Attorney General  
One Ashburton Place, 20th Floor  
Boston, MA 02108

Board of Selectmen  
Town of Amesbury  
Town Hall  
Amesbury, MA 01913

Ms. Deborah Bell  
Federal Emergency Management Agency  
Region I  
J.W. McCormack P.O. &  
Courthouse Building, Room 401  
Boston, MA 02109

Mr. Tom Crimmins  
Polestar Applied Technology  
One First Street, Suite 4  
Los Altos, CA 94019

Mr. Stephen McGrail, Director  
ATTN: James Muckerheide  
Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  
400 Worcester Road  
Framingham, MA 01702-5399

Philip T. McLaughlin, Attorney General  
Steven M. Houran, Deputy Attorney  
General  
33 Capitol Street  
Concord, NH 03301

Mr. Bruce Cheney, Director  
New Hampshire Office of Emergency  
Management  
State Office Park South  
107 Pleasant Street  
Concord, NH 03301

Mr. M. S. Ross, Managing Attorney  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar  
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

James M. Peschel  
Regulatory Programs Manager  
Seabrook Station  
FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC  
PO Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874

Marjan Mashhadi  
Senior Attorney  
Florida Power & Light Company  
801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Suite 220  
Washington, DC 20004

Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1

cc:

David Moore  
Vice President, Nuclear Operations Support  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

May 5, 2006

Mr. Gene F. St. Pierre, Site Vice President  
c/o James M. Peschel  
Seabrook Station  
PO Box 300  
Seabrook, NH 03874

SUBJECT: SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1 - ISSUANCE OF AMENDMENT RE:  
ELIMINATION OF MONTHLY OPERATING REPORTS AND OCCUPATIONAL  
RADIATION EXPOSURE REPORTS (TAC NO. MC9303)

Dear Mr. St. Pierre:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 109 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 for the Seabrook Station, Unit No 1, in response to your application dated December 19, 2005.

The requested changes would delete Technical Specification (TS) 6.8.1.2a "Occupational Radiation Exposure Report [ORER]," TS 6.8.1.2.c, regarding challenges to pressurizer relief and safety valves and TS 6.8.1.5, "Monthly Operating Report [MOR]," as described in the Notice of Availability published in the *Federal Register* on June 23, 2004 (69 FR 35067).

A copy of the related Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

G. Edward Miller, Project Manager  
Plant Licensing Branch I-2  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-443

Enclosures:

- 1. Amendment No. 109 to NPF-86
- 2. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

RidsNrrLpl1-2	RigsOgcMailCenter	RidsRgn1MailCenter
PUBLIC	RidsNrrPMGMiller	RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter
LPL1-2 R/F	RidsNrrLACRaynor	WBeckner
RidsNrrDorl	GHill (2)	Tech Branch

Accession Number: ML061080207

OFFICE	CLIIP LPM	LPL1-2/PM	LPL1-2/LA	LPL1-2/BC
NAME	WReckley	GEMiller:cm	CRaynor	DRoberts
DATE	01/17/06	5/4/06	5/3/06	5/4/06

Official Record Copy

FPL ENERGY SEABROOK, LLC, ET AL.\*

DOCKET NO. 50-443

SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 109  
License No. NPF-86

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
  - A. The application for amendment filed by FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC, et al. (the licensee), dated December 19, 2005, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
  - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
  - C. There is reasonable assurance: (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
  - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
  - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

---

\*FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC (FPLE Seabrook) is authorized to act as agent for: Hudson Light & Power Department, Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company, and Taunton Municipal Light Plant and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation and maintenance of the facility.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 109, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of its date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days of issuance.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

*/RA/*

Darrell J. Roberts, Chief  
Plant Licensing Branch I-2  
Division of Operating Reactor Licensing  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment:  
Changes to the Technical  
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 5, 2006

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO. 109

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-86

DOCKET NO. 50-443

Replace the following pages of the Appendix A, Technical Specifications, with the attached revised pages as indicated. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain marginal lines indicating the area of change.

Remove

xiv  
6-12  
6-13

Insert

xiv  
6-12  
6-13

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 109 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-86  
FPL ENERGY SEABROOK, LLC  
SEABROOK STATION, UNIT NO. 1  
DOCKET NO. 50-443

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated December 19, 2005 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML053560093), FPL Energy Seabrook, LLC (FPLE or the licensee) submitted License Amendment Request No. 05-10, requesting changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 (Seabrook). The requested changes would delete TS 6.8.1.2.a "Occupational Radiation Exposure Report [ORER]," TS 6.8.1.2.c, regarding challenges to pressurizer relief and safety valves and TS 6.8.1.5, "Monthly Operating Report [MOR]," as described in the Notice of Availability published in the *Federal Register* on June 23, 2004 (69 FR 35067).

## 2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

Section 182a. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, (the "Act") requires applicants for nuclear power plant operating licenses to state TSs to be included as part of the license. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC or the Commission's) regulatory requirements related to the content of TSs are set forth in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Section 50.36, "Technical Specifications." The regulation requires that TSs include items in five specific categories, including: (1) safety limits, limiting safety system settings, and limiting control settings; (2) limiting conditions for operation (LCOs); (3) surveillance requirements; (4) design features; and (5) administrative controls. However, the regulation does not specify the particular requirements to be included in a plant's TSs.

The Commission has provided guidance for the content of TSs in its "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors" (58 FR 39132, published July 22, 1993), in which the Commission indicated that compliance with the Final Policy Statement satisfies Section 182a. of the Act. The Final Policy Statement identified four criteria to be used in determining whether a particular item should be addressed in the TSs as an LCO. The criteria were subsequently incorporated into 10 CFR 50.36 (60 FR 36593, published July 19, 1995). While the criteria specifically apply to LCOs, the Commission indicated that the intent of these criteria may be used to identify the optimum set of administrative controls in TSs. Addressing administrative controls, 10 CFR 50.36 states that they are "the provisions relating to organization and management, procedures, recordkeeping, review and audit, and reporting

necessary to assure operation of the facility in a safe manner." The specific content of the administrative controls section of the TSs is, therefore, related to those programs and reports that the Commission deems essential for the safe operation of the facility, which are not adequately covered by regulations or other regulatory requirements. Accordingly, the NRC staff may determine that specific requirements, such as those associated with this change, may be removed from the administrative controls in the TSs if they are not explicitly required by 10 CFR 50.36(c)(5) and are not otherwise necessary to obviate the possibility of an abnormal situation or event giving rise to an immediate threat to the public health and safety.

The impetus for the MOR came from the 1973-1974 oil embargo. Regulatory Guide 1.16, Revision 4, "Reporting of Operating Information - Appendix A Technical Specifications," published for comment in August 1975, identifies operating statistics and shutdown experience information that was desired in the operating report at that time. In the mid-1990s, the NRC staff assessed the information that is submitted in the MOR and determined that while some of the information was no longer used by the NRC staff, the MOR was the only source of some data used in the NRC Performance Indicator (PI) Program of that time period (see NRC Generic Letter (GL) 97-02, "Revised Contents of the Monthly Operating Report"). Beginning in the late 1990s, the NRC developed and implemented a major revision to its assessment, inspection, and enforcement processes through its Reactor Oversight Process (ROP). The ROP uses both plant-level PIs and inspections performed by NRC personnel. In conjunction with the development of the ROP, the NRC developed the Industry Trends Program (ITP). The ITP provides the NRC a means to assess overall industry performance using industry level indicators and to report on industry trends to various stakeholders (e.g., Congress). Information from the ITP is used to assess the NRC's performance related to its goal of having "no statistically significant adverse industry trends in safety performance." The ITP uses some of the same PIs as the PI Program from the mid-1990s and, therefore, the NRC has a continuing use for the data provided in MORs. The NRC also uses some data from the MORs to support the evaluation of operating experience, licensee event reports, and other assessments performed by the NRC staff and its contractors.

The reporting requirements in TSs for Seabrook includes challenges to the pressurizer power operated relief valves (PORVs) and pressurizer safety valves. The reporting of challenges to the pressurizer PORVs and safety valves was included in TSs based on the guidance in NUREG-0694, "[Three Mile Island] TMI-Related Requirements for New Operating Licensees." The industry proposed, and the NRC accepted, the elimination of the reporting requirements in TSs for challenges to pressurizer PORVs and safety valves Revision 4 to TSTF-258, "Changes to Section 5.0, Administrative Controls." The staff's acceptance of TSTF-258, and subsequent approval of plant-specific adoptions of TSTF-258, is based on the fact that the information on challenges to relief and safety valves is not used in the evaluation of the MOR or annual report data, and that the information needed by the NRC is adequately addressed by the reporting requirements in 10 CFR 50.73, "Licensee Event Reports."

Licensees are required by TSs to submit annual ORERs to the NRC. The reports, developed in the mid-1970s, supplement the reporting requirements currently defined in 10 CFR 20.2206, "Reports of Individual Monitoring," by providing a tabulation of data by work areas and job functions. The NRC included data from the ORERs in its annual publication of NUREG-0713, "Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities," through the year 1997, but no longer includes the data in that or other reports.

### 3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

#### 3.1 MORs

As previously mentioned, the administrative requirements in TSs are reserved for "the provisions relating to organization and management, procedures, recordkeeping, review and audit, and reporting necessary to assure operation of the facility in a safe manner." The current use of the information from the MORs is not related to reporting on or confirming the safe operation of specific nuclear power plants. Instead, the data is used by the NRC to assess and communicate with stakeholders regarding the overall performance of the nuclear industry. Data related to PIs for specific plants are reported to the NRC as part of the ROP. The NRC staff has determined that the MORs do not meet the criteria defined for requirements to be included in the administrative section of TSs and the reporting requirement may, therefore, be removed.

Although the MORs do not satisfy the criteria for inclusion in TSs, the NRC staff nevertheless has a continuing need to receive the data in order to compile its reports on industry trends and to support other evaluations of operating experience. In addition, information such as plant capacity factors that are reported in the MORs are useful to the NRC staff and are frequently asked for by agency stakeholders.

The NRC staff interacted with licensees, industry organizations, and other stakeholders during the development of the Consolidated Data Entry (CDE) program (currently being developed and maintained by the Institute of Nuclear Power Operation), regarding the use of an industry database like CDE to provide data currently obtained from MORs. These discussions also involved the related Revision 1 to TSTF-369, "Removal of Monthly Operating Report and Occupational Radiation Exposure Report." As described in Section 4 of this Safety Evaluation, the licensee is making a regulatory commitment to continue to provide the data identified in GL 97-02, following the removal of the TS requirement to submit MORs, and will, therefore, continue to meet the needs of the NRC staff for the ITP and other evaluations. The use of an industry database such as CDE is more efficient and cost-effective for both the NRC and licensees than would be having the NRC staff obtain the needed information from other means currently available. Should a licensee fail to satisfy the regulatory commitment to voluntarily provide the information, the NRC could obtain the information through its inspection program (similar to the process described in NRC Inspection Procedure 71150, "Discrepant or Unreported Performance Indicator Data") with the licensee being charged for the time spent by the NRC staff.

The only significant changes resulting from the adoption of TSTF-369 are that the information will be provided quarterly instead of monthly (although the operating data will still be divided by month) and the form of the reporting will be from a consolidated database such as CDE instead of in correspondence from individual licensees. The change of reporting frequency to quarterly has some advantages for both the NRC staff and licensees, since it will coincide with the collection and submission of the ROP PI data. In terms of the specific method used to transmit the data to the NRC, the licensee has committed (see Section 4.0) to provide data identified in GL 97-02 on a quarterly basis. The NRC staff believes that the most efficient process for licensees and the NRC will be for all licensees to use a system such as CDE. Such systems have advantages in terms of improved data entry, data checking, and data verification and validation. The NRC will recognize efficiency gains by having the data from all plants reported using the same computer software and format. Although the data may be transmitted to the

NRC from an industry organization maintaining a database such as CDE, the licensee provides the data for the system and remains responsible for the accuracy of the data submitted to the NRC for its plants. The public will continue to have access to the data through official agency records accessible in ADAMS.

### 3.2 Challenges to Pressurizer PORVs and Safety Valves

The content requirements for Seabrook includes an annual report on challenges to the pressurizer PORVs and safety valves. As discussed in the previous section, the NRC staff has documented in its approval of TSTF-258 and related plant-specific amendments that the reporting of challenges to pressurizer PORVs and safety valves may be removed from TSs, since the information needed by the NRC is adequately addressed by the reporting requirements in 10 CFR 50.73, "Licensee Event Reports." The staff finds it acceptable to remove the requirement to report challenges to pressurizer PORVs and safety valves along with the other reporting requirements associated with the MOR and ORER.

### 3.3 Occupational Radiation Exposure Reports

The information that the NRC staff needs regarding occupational doses is provided by licensees in the reports required under 10 CFR Part 20, "Standards for Protection Against Radiation." The data from the 10 CFR Part 20 reports are sufficient to support the NRC trending programs, radiation related studies, and preparation of reports such as NUREG-0713. Accordingly, the NRC's limited use of the ORER submitted pursuant to the existing TS requirements no longer warrants the regulatory burden imposed on licensees. Therefore, the NRC staff finds it acceptable that TS 5.6.4 is being deleted and the ORER will no longer be submitted by the licensee.

## 4.0 VERIFICATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

In order to efficiently process incoming license amendment applications, the NRC staff requested each licensee requesting the changes addressed by TSTF-369 using the consolidated line item improvement process to address the following plant-specific regulatory commitment.

Each licensee should make a regulatory commitment to provide to the NRC using an industry database the operating data (for each calendar month) that is described in Generic Letter 97-02, "Revised Contents of the Monthly Operating Report," by the last day of the month following the end of each calendar quarter.<sup>1</sup>

The licensee has made a regulatory commitment to provide the requested data via an industry database (i.e., the CDE) by the end of the month following each calendar quarter.

The NRC staff finds that reasonable controls for the implementation and for subsequent

---

<sup>1</sup> In subsequent discussions between the NRC staff and the Institute of Nuclear Power Operation, the staff has agreed that the report may be provided within approximately 45 days instead of the 30 days described in the CLIP model application. Licensees may revise their plant-specific regulatory commitments accordingly.

evaluation of proposed changes pertaining to the above regulatory commitment can be provided by the licensee's administrative processes, including its commitment management program. The NRC staff has agreed that [Nuclear Energy Institute] NEI 99-04, Revision 0, "Guidelines for Managing NRC Commitment Changes," provides reasonable guidance for the control of regulatory commitments made to the NRC staff (see Regulatory Issue Summary 2000-17, "Managing Regulatory Commitments Made by Power Reactor Licensees to the NRC Staff," dated September 21, 2000). The NRC staff notes that this amendment establishes a voluntary reporting system for the operating data that is similar to the system established for the ROP PI program. Should the licensee choose to incorporate a regulatory commitment into the final safety analysis report or other document with established regulatory controls, the associated regulations would define the appropriate change-control and reporting requirements.

#### 5.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the New Hampshire and Massachusetts State officials were notified of the proposed issuance of the amendment. The State officials had no comments.

#### 6.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendment relates to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendment.

#### 7.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: W. Reckley

Date: May 5, 2006