April 14, 2006

ORGANIZATION: Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE LICENSE RENEWAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

CALL AND MEETING HELD BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC) STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE (NEI) LICENSE RENEWAL TASK FORCE

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) License Renewal Task Force held a public telephone conference call and meeting on January 31, 2006, to discuss generic license renewal topics, lessons learned from the audit process, and license renewal process improvements. Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the conference call participants. Enclosure 2 contains the agenda for the conference call. A summary of the discussions follows:

- 1. New issues under development within the NRC that may affect license renewal
 - a. Review of later American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code editions and addenda as applicable to license renewal

The NRC staff has collected and identified changes in the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, between: (1) the 1995 Edition and the 1998 Edition/2000 Addenda, (2) the 1998 Edition/2000 Addenda and the 2001 Edition/2003 Addenda, and (3) the 2001 Edition/2003 Addenda and the 2004 Edition. The information was collected to support the staff's endorsement, conditional endorsement, or rejection of the aforementioned changes.

The NRC staff reviewed comparison of changes in various editions and addenda of the ASME B&PV Code Section XI previously performed by the staff, ASME personnel, and specific applicants. The staff found three changes that were aging management related. All three changes were found acceptable with one based on an acceptable alternative to volumetric examination. Based on this review, the changes in Subsections IWB, IWC, IWD, IWF, IWF, and IWL of ASME B&PV Code Section XI (1998 Edition,1999 Addenda, 2000 Addenda, 2001 Edition, and 2003 Addenda) were all acceptable. An applicant may use any one as acceptable alternatives to the edition and addenda referenced in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report aging management programs without the need to submit these alternatives for NRC review in its plant-specific license renewal application. However, currently approved relief requests are not acceptable as a justification for taking exception to a GALL Report recommendation. For these exceptions, the technical basis for the exception must be provided in the application.

The rule change endorsing the 2004 Edition of Section XI of the ASME Code is in the final process of NRC concurrence cycle, and was not included in the staff review described above.

b. Interim Staff Guidance web page update

The NRC's license renewal web page contains the Interim Staff Guidance (ISG) positions that were incorporated into the September 2005 update of the license renewal guidance documents. It was agreed that these ISGs would be removed and only current ISGs would be posted on the web page until they are incorporated into the next guidance document update. The web page was subsequently updated to remove the ISGs incorporated into the revised guidance documents.

c. Potential ISG

In the December 20, 2005, telephone conference call, the NRC staff identified the potential need for an ISG concerning corrosion of boiling water reactor, Mark I, drywell steel containment shells. A separate telephone conference call and meeting was held on January 31, 2006, to discuss this subject.

2. Lessons learned from recent safety review audits

A regular agenda item for the monthly telephone conferences or meetings is for the staff and applicants to discuss lessons learned from recent safety review on-site audit activities. This discussion provides real-time feedback to applicants entering the audit phase for their applications or for use by future applicants. Observations from recent audits include:

NRC staff observations from Oyster Creek and other recent audits

- a. The audit team thought it was good for future applicants to observe the audit process, as was done by the staff for Susquehanna, Palo Verde, Wolf Creek, Beaver Valley, and the STARS business unit at the Oyster Creek audit. The Oyster Creek audit went well, and subsequent applicants could model their audit preparations on what was done by the applicant for Oyster Creek and also by the applicant for Nine Mile Point.
- b. It is important for a successful and efficient audit to have complete program basis documents.
- c. The Oyster Creek basis documents in each aging management program (AMP) binder were good in that they were clearly written and the binders were well-organized.
- d. Another positive is that the Oyster Creek basis documents contained very thorough discussions of operating experience and industry experience for each AMP.
- e. There should be cross references between the plant basis documents if there is overlap between documents.
- f. The binders for each Oyster Creek AMP were considered very comprehensive because they contained the basis document and all documents referenced by the AMP in the application.
- g. The official basis document should be in the binder rather than a working document.

- h. It is advantageous to have the information provided in the binders also in electronic format as well as on paper. Electronic format helps audit team members prepare their audit report input by allowing them to copy the pertinent information while at the site.
- i. The potential for dry-well corrosion should be considered for all containments of Mark I design.
- j. Volumetric inspection of small-bore piping socket welds needs to be addressed on a plant specific basis.

Applicant observations provided by NEI

- a. Using the first two days of the audit to review the binders with the plant basis documents and other material were considered a good practice, as it led to well-focused questions from the audit staff.
- b. A good practice is for the audit team to announce plans for the breakout sessions as early as possible, to help ensure the applicant has the right staff available to support the sessions. This is especially important for scheduling breakout sessions with plant personnel. Also helpful to the applicant is for the team to announce its plans for the next day at the end of each audit day, listing the topics and applicant engineering support needed.
- c. An improvement in the process would be better control of the question and answer process during the week of the audit. A different numbering system for the AMP and aging management review questions might be considered. It may also help to have a defined process that the originator follows (e.g., administrative support enters questions into the computer, sends the questions to the audit team lead, etc.). A database would help the closure process, in that the applicant could sort questions by AMP, reviewer, closed or not closed, etc.
- d. The breakout sessions and one-on-one discussions were helpful in resolving issues.
- e. A positive practice by the NRC staff is to provide the applicant with the audit team questions, when possible, before the audit team arrives.
- f. A better understanding of the documentation needed to support the future time-limited aging analyses audits would be beneficial.
- 3. Follow-up on items from December 20, 2005, telephone conference call
 - a. Existing audit process
 - (1) NRC to provide a list of plants with good audit preparation and onsite documentation

The Oyster Creek and Nine Mile Point applicants demonstrated good audit preparation and onsite documentation in support of the audits.

(2) NEI to develop a standard for the content of onsite documentation and provide for NRC staff review.

NEI has established a team to develop the standard and intended to provide a draft by the proposed March 2006 meeting. Comments would then be requested by April 2006 with the standard finalized in May 2006. NEI's schedules for completing this item and Item 3.a(3) were subsequently delayed.

(3) NEI to develop a 1-2 page guidance document for the acceptance review and provide for NRC staff review.

NEI has established a team to develop the guidance. The schedule is the same as that for Item 3.a(2).

b. Status of incorporating the scoping and screening review into the audit process

The NRC staff indicated in the December 20, 2005, telephone conference call that it was considering the potential benefits of performing scoping and screening reviews for applications using onsite audits, similar to the aging management review audits. The goal would be to obtain further efficiencies in the process and help the staff manage its application review workload. The staff's assessment is a long-term activity and the staff will discuss the results of this assessment in future telephone conferences or meetings as progress is made.

c. Pre-submittal audit team leader site visits

In the December 20, 2005, telephone conference call, the NRC staff indicated that its intent was for the audit team leader to visit the applicant's facility in advance of the application submittal. The staff has determined that this pre-submittal site visit is not required and any needed advance coordination can be done over the telephone.

4. New topics

a. Environmental Guidance

A license renewal application is initially considered a tendered application and is not docketed until completion of an acceptance review. A checklist for performing the acceptance review for the safety portion of the application is contained in Chapter 1 of the license renewal standard review plan, NUREG-1800. The NRC staff is currently documenting the criteria used for performing the environmental acceptance review and plans to incorporate the checklist into the next revision of Supplement 1 to the environmental standard review plan, NUREG-1555. The staff will provide the checklist to NEI for information in the future.

The NRC has been reviewing NEI's "Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives (SAMA) Analysis Guidance Document," NEI 05-01. The staff is preparing its final comments and will provide them to NEI to revise the document. The staff is also assessing the best means to endorse the NEI document for use in preparing license renewal applications.

b. License renewal program audit

The NRC's Office of the Inspector General is conducting a six-month audit of the license renewal program and may contact external stakeholders.

5. Public participation

No member of the public chose to participate.

/RA/

Stephen T. Hoffman, Senior Project Manager License Renewal Branch B Division of License Renewal Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encls: See next page

b. License renewal program audit

The NRC's Office of the Inspector General is conducting a six-month audit of the license renewal program and may contact external stakeholders.

5. Public participation

No member of the public chose to participate.

/RA/

Stephen T. Hoffman, Senior Project Manager License Renewal Branch B Division of License Renewal Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 690

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page

Adams Accession no.: ML061070117

DOCUMENT NAME:E:\Filenet\ML061070117.wpd

OFFICE	LA:RLRA:DLR	PM:RLRB:DLR	BC:RLRA:DLR	BC:RLRC:DLR	BC:RLRB:DLR
NAME	YEdmonds	SHoffman	LLund	KChang	JZimmerman
DATE	4/4/06	4/5/06	4/11/06	4/12/06	4/14/06

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS FOR THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

JANUARY 31, 2006

<u>Participants</u>	<u> Affiliations</u>
---------------------	----------------------

Stephen Hoffman Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

P.T. Kuo **NRC** Jacob Zimmerman **NRC** Louise Lund **NRC NRC** Tomeka Terry Ken Chang **NRC** Rani Franovich **NRC** S.K. Mitra **NRC** Linh Tran **NRC** Amy Hull **NRC** Kiyoto Tanabe **NRC** Cavetano Santos **NRC NRC** R.K. Wild Jaclyn Storch **NRC**

Mike Gallagher Exelon Nuclear

James Ross
Nuclear Energy Institute
Mike Detamore
PPL Susquehanna
Steve Schellin
Roger Rucker
Dave Kunsmiller
Steve Dort
Nuclear Energy Institute
PPL Susquehanna
NMC Point Beach
Beaver Valley
Beaver Valley
Beaver Valley

Alan Cox Entergy

Eric Blocher Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing (STARS)

Paul Crawley STARS
Todd Anselmi STARS
Rich Schaller STARS
Bill Victor Cooper
Dave Bremer Cooper

Ken Brune Tennessee Valley Authority
Chalmer Myer Southern Nuclear Company

Kathryn Sutton Morgan, Lewis

Marty O'neal Winston
Fred Polaski Exelon
Al Fulvia Exelon
Lori Yulcome Wolf Creek
Ken Albright Prairie Island

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS FOR THE TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE (CONT.D)

JANUARY 31, 2006

Participants
Paul Aitken
Mike Heath
Roger Stewart
Bob Vincent
Pete Mazzaferro
Massoud Tafazzoli

Massoud Tafazzoli Nancy Chapman Deann Raleigh Affiliations
Dominion

Progress Energy Progress Energy

Palisades Nine Mile Point

AREVA

Bechtel SERCH

Scientech

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION – TELECONFERENCE MEETING BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

JANUARY 31, 2006

- 1. New issues under development within the NRC that may affect license renewal
 - a. Review of later ASME Code editions and addenda as applicable to license renewal
 - b. Interim Staff Guidance web page update
- 2. Lessons learned from recent safety review audits
- 3. Follow-up on items from December 20, 2005, telephone conference
 - a. Existing audit process
 - (1) NRC to provide list of plants with good audit preparation and onsite documentation
 - (2) NEI to develop a standard for the content of onsite documentation and provide for NRC staff review
 - (3) NEI to develop a 1-2 page guidance document for the acceptance review and provide for NRC staff review
 - b. Status of incorporating the scoping and screening review into the audit process
 - c. Pre-submittal audit team leader site visits
- 4. New topics
- 5. Public participation

NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

Project No. 690

CC:

Mr. Joe Bartell U.S. Department of Energy NE-42 Washington, DC 20585

Ms. Christine S. Salembier, Commissioner State Liaison Officer Department of Public Service 112 State St., Drawer 20 Montipelier, VT 05620-2601

Mr. James Ross Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I St., N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Frederick W. Polaski Manager License Renewal Exelon Corporation 200 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348

Peter A. Mazzaferro Site Project Manager - License Renewal Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. David Lochbaum Union of Concerned Scientists 1707 H St., NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mark Ackerman
Project Manager, License Renewal
FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company
P.O. Box 4
Route 168 (Mail Stop BV-SGRP)
Shippingport, PA 15077

Mr. Paul Gunter, Director Reactor Watchdog Project Nuclear Information & Resource Service 6930 Carroll Avenue, Suite 340 Takoma Park, MD 20912

Mr. Hugh Jackson
Public Citizen's Critical Mass Energy &
Environment Program
215 Pennsylvania Ave., SE
Washington, DC 20003

Mary Olson Nuclear Information & Resource Service Southeast Office P.O. Box 7586 Asheville, NC 28802

Talmage B. Clements
Manager - License Renewal
Progress Energy
P.O. Box 1551
Raleigh, NC 27602

Mr. Garry G. Young Manager, License Renewal Services 1448 SR 333, N-GSB-45 Russellville, AR 72802

Mr. William Crough, Manager Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant Tennessee Valley Authority P.O. Box 2000 Decatur, AL 35609

Patrick Burke License Renewal Project Manager Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant Nuclear Management Company, LLC 2807 West County Road 75 Monticello, MN 55362-9637

Robert A. Vincent Licensing Lead - License Renewal Project Palisades Nuclear Plant 27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway Covert, MI 49043

Note to Organization Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) from Stephen T. Hoffman, dated: April, 14, 2006

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF THE LICENSE RENEWAL TELEPHONE CONFERENCE AND

MEETING HELD BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY

COMMISSION (NRC) STAFF AND THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE (NEI)

LICENSE RENEWAL TASK FORCE

HARD COPY

DLR RF

E-MAIL:

PUBLIC

RidsNrrDss

RidsNrrDssSbwb

RidsNrrDssSpwb

RidsNrrDssSnpb

RidsNrrDssSbpb

RidsNrrDci

RidsNrrDciCsgb

RidsNrrDciCpnb

Rids Nrr Dci Cvib

RidsNrrDciCptb

RidsNrrDe

RidsNrrDeEemb

RidsNrrDeEgcb

RidsNrrDeEicb

RidsNrrDeEeeb

RidsNrrDeEqva

RidsNrrDeEqvb

RidsNrrDra

RidsNrrAfpb

RidsNrrAcvb

K. Winsberg

R. Weisman

S. Duraiswamy

S. Smith (srs3)

3. 3111tt1 (5153)

Y. L. (Renee) Li

DLR RLR/REB Staff

C. Colleli

C. Julian

M. Modes

J. Vora

L. Kozak

T. Mensah

OPA, (RidsOpaMailCenter)

OCA, (RidsOcaMailCenter)