NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Richmond Sanitary District 2380 Liberty Avenue Richmond, IN 47374 REPORT 2006-001	REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
03031638	13-26166-01	March 27, 2006

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- [X] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- [ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- [ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ **Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):**

- [ ] **4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.**
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer	Ed Kulzer	3/23/06

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Richmond Sanitary District REPORT	Region III

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-31638	13-26166-01	March ,2006

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	03.01 - 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03121	5	Richard McGuire	765.983.7459

[x] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: March 2011

[ ] Field

[ ] Temporary Job Site

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a small branch of the Richmond City Sanitary District. The licensee used the gauge in in cells at its landfill. The facility employs 3 individuals. The licensee possesses one Troxler Model 3440 moisture density gauge, for use daily/weekly during the construction of the cells at the landfill. The licensee has not been used the gauge in over two years. At the time of the inspection the licensee had sold the gauge to a facility authorized to possess it. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauge; these services were performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office at the New Paris Pike location.

Performance Observations

At the time of this inspection, the gauge was not in use. Gauge/case was locked and chained in a locked and labeled storage cabinet. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. The gauge was never used outside of this facility. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results as noted in the licensee's survey records.