EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: 06/30/06

EDO CONTROL: G20060392

DOC DT: 04/11/06

FINAL REPLY:

Stephen D. Dingbaum, OIG

TO:

Kane, DEDR

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

\*\* GRN \*\*

CRC NO:

Dyer, NRR

DESC:

ROUTING:

Status of Recommendations: Follow-Up Audit of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Decommissioning

Fund Program (OIG-06-A-07)

Reyes Virgilio Kane Silber

Silber Dean

Cry/Burns Malloy, OEDO OIG File

DATE: 04/13/06

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NRR

Dyer

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

ERids: EDO-01

Template: EDO-001



#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 11, 2006

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

**MEMORANDUM TO:** 

William F. Kane

Deputy Executive Director for Reactor

and Preparedness Programs

Office of the Executive Director for Operations

FROM:

Stepken D. Dingbaum

Assistant Inspector General for Audits

SUBJECT:

STATUS OF RECOMMENDATIONS: FOLLOW-UP AUDIT

OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION'S DECOMMISSIONING FUND PROGRAM (OIG-06-A-07)

REFERENCE:

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FOR REACTOR AND

PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS MEMORANDUM DATED

MARCH 23, 2006

Attached is the Office of the Inspector General's analysis and status of recommendations from the Follow-Up Audit of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Decommissioning Fund Program, as discussed in the agency's response dated March 23, 2006. Based on this response, recommendations 3, 4 and 5 are resolved. Recommendations 1 and 2 are unresolved. Please provide a status update of all recommendations by June 30, 2006.

If you have any questions or concerns, please call me on 415-5915.

Attachments: As stated

cc:

William Dean, OEDO

Melinda Malloy, OEDO Patty Tressler, OEDO

Recommendation 1:

OIG recommends that the EDO seek, and the

Commission provide, direction whether to:

Require verification of decommissioning trust fund

balances from trustees.

Response dated

March 23, 2006: The staff disagrees with the underlying

recommendations that action must be taken.
Because these two recommendations are policy matters, the staff is preparing a Commission paper on the OIG's recommendations, and the staff's views regarding the recommendations. This Commission paper is scheduled to be completed in March 2006.

**OIG** Analysis:

At the exit conference on January 17, 2006, there was

no indication that the agency disagreed with our findings. Pending receipt of policy direction from the

Commission, this recommendation will remain

unresolved. Please provide OIG with a status update

on this recommendation by June 30, 2006.

Status:

Unresolved.

Recommendation 2:

OIG recommends that the EDO seek, and the

Commission provide, direction whether to:

Require specific prudent investment restrictions for

decommissioning trust funds.

Response dated March 23, 2006:

The staff disagrees with the underlying

recommendations that action must be taken. Because these two recommendations are policy matters, the staff is preparing a Commission paper on the OIG's recommendations, and the staff's views regarding the recommendations. This Commission paper is scheduled to be completed in March 2006.

**OIG Analysis:** 

At the exit conference on January 17, 2006, there was

no indication that the agency disagreed with our findings. Pending receipt of policy direction from the

Commission, this recommendation will remain

unresolved. Please provide OIG with a status update

on this recommendation by June 30, 2006.

Status:

Unresolved.

Recommendation 3:

OIG recommends that the EDO:

Prescribe and implement a timeframe within which

quality assurance will be performed.

Response dated March 23, 2006:

The staff agrees with these recommendations.

The staff applied LIC-205, "Procedures for NRC's Independent Analysis of Decommissioning Funding Assurance for Operating Nuclear Power Reactors" in conducting the 2005 biennial report analysis, and QA audit. Following the completion of the staff's analysis of the 2005 biennial reports, the staff conducted a lessons-learned exercise based on its application of LIC-205. As a result of this lessons-learned exercise, the staff is revising LIC-205 to more clearly define each step in both the independent analysis process and the QA audit/verification process, and to more clearly define the period for the completion of the staff's analysis, including the start and completion of the QA audit. The staff expects to issue Revision 1 to LIC-205 fully

addresses Recommendations 3 and 4.

**OIG Analysis:** 

The proposed corrective action addresses the intent of this recommendation. This recommendation will be closed when OIG receives Revision 1 to LIC-205 and determines that it prescribes a timeframe within which quality assurance will be performed. Please provide OIG with a status update on this recommendation by

June 30, 2006.

Status:

Resolved.

Recommendation 4:

OIG recommends that the EDO:

Clearly document quality assurance work performed.

Response dated March 23, 2006:

The staff agrees with these recommendations.

The staff applied LIC-205, "Procedures for NRC's Independent Analysis of Decommissioning Funding Assurance for Operating Nuclear Power Reactors" in conducting the 2005 biennial report analysis, and QA audit. Following the completion of the staff's analysis of the 2005 biennial reports, the staff conducted a lessons-learned exercise based on its application of LIC-205. As a result of this lessons-learned exercise, the staff is revising LIC-205 to more clearly define each step in both the independent analysis process and the QA audit/verification process, and to more clearly define the period for the completion of the staff's analysis, including the start and completion of the QA audit. The staff expects to issue Revision 1 to LIC-205 in May 2006. Revision 1 to LIC-205 fully addresses Recommendations 3 and 4.

OIG Analysis:

The proposed corrective action addresses the intent of this recommendation. This recommendation will be closed when OIG receives Revision 1 to LIC-205 and determines that it specifically requires clear documentation of quality assurance work performed. Please provide OIG with a status update on this recommendation by June 30, 2006.

Status:

Resolved.

Recommendation 5:

OIG recommends that the EDO:

Update NRC's decommissioning formula considering the relationship between formula based and sitespecific estimates.

Response dated March 23, 2006:

The staff agrees, that once an adequate data base is available, the formula should be updated. Over the next few years, as decommissioning projects are completed or substantially completed and the final costs become available, the staff will be able to compare the formula estimates for those sites to the final cost data at that time. The staff plans to begin assessing whether the formula needs to be updated in FY08.

The staff recently completed its review of the three preliminary site-specific decommissioning cost estimates for Oyster Creek Generating Station, Nine Mile Point Unit 1, and the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant. In each case, the staff concluded that any variations between the site-specific cost estimates and the formula estimates are in a reasonable range when comparing only the radiological decommissioning costs. The uncertainties regarding available disposal capacity (since Barnwell may start limiting access to its disposal facility after mid-year 2008), when DOE is scheduled to take possession of the spent nuclear fuel, and the possible use of a more restrictive site release criteria at some sites, may limit our ability to significantly improve the formula in the near-term.

OIG Analysis:

The proposed corrective action addresses the intent of this recommendation. The agency's response provides for a plan to begin an assessment of the formula in FY 08, however, there is no indication of an anticipated completion date. Please provide OIG with a status update on this recommendation, including an anticipated completion date, by

June 30, 2006.

Status:

Resolved.