

WM Record File 101

WM Project 10  
Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

PDR   
LPDR

Distribution:  
OCT 6 1986

(Return to WM, 623-SS)

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Paul Hildenbrand, BWIP Project Manager  
WMRP, Division of Waste Management

FROM:

Michael F. Weber, WMG  
Division of Waste Management

SUBJECT:

PREPARATION PACKAGE FOR BWIP HYDROLOGY DATA REVIEW,  
DECEMBER 2-5, 1986

DISTRIBUTION  
WM File 101 (w/o sec.info)  
NMSS r/f (w/o sec.info)  
WMGT r/f (w/o sec.info)  
RBrowning  
MBell  
JLinehan  
PJustus  
MFliegel  
MWeber & r/f  
NColeman  
DChery (RES)  
FRCook  
PDR (w/o security inf  
RJohnson  
NStill  
PDR  
LPDR

In accordance with guidance provided by WMRP on the preparation for NRC-DOE HLW Data Reviews, this memorandum provides the products required by items 1-8 of the guidance [cf. memorandum dated March 28, 1985, from Miller to Barrett and others]. I am submitting this to you in preparation for a BWIP Hydrology Data Review at Richland, Washington from December 2-5, 1986. Please note that we plan to visit outcrops of the Columbia River Basalts at Creston, Washington, on Monday before the data review. This site is being investigated by In-Situ Incorporated under contract to NRC(RES) to study groundwater flow and contaminant transport in saturated fractured geologic media. The visit and the data review have been coordinated with Don Chery (RES), NRC project officer for the In-Situ contract. We endorse Dr. Chery's consideration of RES contractor (In-Situ) participation in the data review. The data review pre-meeting is being planned with SNL, NWC, Williams and Associates, and RES staff and contractors for the middle of October (October 16-17, 1986). Please contact me if you have any questions or comments about this memorandum or the enclosed documents.

Item 1: Establish Need for Data Review

WM needs to perform this data review to assess the scope and reliability of hydrologic characterization data for the Hanford site to prepare for review of the SCP and the BWIP Hydrologic Testing Strategy. Subordinate objectives include:

- A. To obtain recent hydrologic characterization information [no Data Reviews have been performed since December 1984; information available to NRC staff is, in general, more than one year old (i.e., the lag time between data collection and release to NRC is greater than one year)].
- B. To initiate technical assessment of recent hydrologic characterization information.
- C. To identify types of hydrologic characterization information that are currently available to BWIP and will likely be considered in the SCP.

8610240143 861006  
PDR WASTE PDR  
WM-10

2114

- D. To continue assessment of hydrologic characterization techniques already implemented by BWIP.
- E. To continue assessment of past QA procedures and practices used for hydrologic characterization.

Travel funds have been budgeted within WMGT for M. Weber and N. Coleman to attend a Data Review/Meeting during the month of December.

Item 2: Letter of Request

A letter to DOE requesting the data review is provided as Enclosure 1. The letter identifies the specific types of data that NRC wants to review and requests a catalog of data that DOE wishes to bring to NRC's attention. The letter also invites DOE to attend the visit to the Creston site on the day before the data review.

Item 3: Inform On-Site Representative

I notified F. Robert Cook about the tentative dates for the data review during the week of September 29, 1986.

Item 4: Coordinate Participants

NRC staff participants in the data review include M. Weber and N. Coleman from WMGT, P. Hildenbrand from WMRP, Don Chery from RES. Contractor participants include Williams and Associates--Gerry Winters, Roy Williams, and Dale Ralston; Nuclear Waste Consultants--Mike Galloway, Fred Marinelli, and Adrian Brown; Sandia National Laboratory--Paul Davis; and a representative from In-Situ Incorporated (RES contractor). The schedule for the data review and a sample data review checklist are included as enclosures to a draft letter to DOE that transmits security information for data review participants (Enclosure 2). The review checklists may be modified, as appropriate, by individual reviewers based on the type of information under review.

Item 5: Logistics

Time and place for the data review have not been established as of this date. They should be identified by DOE-RL once the data review is firmly established and endorsed by NRC and DOE. Once the data review is confirmed with DOE-RL, we should hold a conference call with DOE-RL to confirm the availability of data and finalize the logistics for the data

---

DFC	:WMGT	:WMGT	:	:	:	:	:
NAME	:MWeber	:MFliegel	:	:	:	:	:
DATE	:86/10/	:86/10/	:	:	:	:	:

---

review. There are no special requirements or materials requested from DOE for the data review. We plan to use F. Robert Cook's Personal Computer during the data review for tracking data review checklists and comment preparation.

Item 6: Specific Data Needs

Specific data needs have been identified in the letter to DOE requesting the data review (see Enclosure 1).

Item 7: Transmittal of Schedule, Checklist, and Security Information

Enclosure 2 is a letter to DOE that transmits the schedule, checklist, and security information for NRC participants in the data review. This letter can be transmitted once NRC staff (WMGT, WMRP, and OR) reaches agreement on the schedule and checklist for the data review. We are awaiting additional security information from RES.

Item 8: Travel Authorizations

Travel authorizations for M. Weber and N. Coleman are in preparation.

I will brief NRC staff and contractor participants about conduct of NRC-DOE data reviews at the pre-meeting to ensure that all team members understand and accept their responsibilities and the ground rules for data reviews. Specific review responsibilities will also be assigned. Several contractors participated in the last BWIP hydrology data review, so they should already be familiar with the scope and conduct of HLW data reviews. Please contact me if you wish to discuss the scope, objectives, and content of the pre-meeting.

*/s/*  
Michael F. Weber  
Geotechnical Branch  
Division of Waste Management

Enclosures:  
As Stated

*Record note: Coordinated development of package with N. Coleman (WMGT) and P. Hildenbrand (WMRP) prior to transmittal. (MFW) 10/3/86*

OFC	:WMGT	<i>MW</i>	:WMGT	<i>MW</i>	:	:	:	:	:
NAME	:MWeber		:MFliegel		:	:	:	:	:
DATE	:86/10/3		:86/10/	<i>6</i>	:	:	:	:	:





LIST OF INFORMATION FOR REVIEW AT THE  
BWIP HYDROLOGIC DATA REVIEW, DECEMBER 2-5, 1986

I. Information to be Reviewed

\*A. Water level data collected at primary monitoring facilities (e.g., DC-19/20/22 and the RRL-2 clusters; RRL-14; DC-4; DC-23; McGee Well) since May 1985.

B. Reduction of water level data to filter out external influences such as barometric and earth tidal effects (e.g., use of HEADCO or similar procedures to process water level and pressure data).

\*C. Documentation of pressure responses of piezometers during packer inflation (e.g., packer inflation in RRL-2C flow interior piezometers).

D. Results of recent (since 6/85) laboratory calibration tests on downhole pressure transducers.

\*E. Results of recent (since 12/84) hydraulic testing conducted in conjunction with drill and test programs (e.g., DC-18) and well construction and development programs (e.g., DC-23 and RRL-2B/C).

\*F. Records pertaining to drilling fluid losses that occurred during drilling of DC-23, DC-18, and RRL-17.

\*G. Hydrochemistry data from the site collected since 1/85, especially data from DC-23W/GR, RRL-2, DC-18, and the Westbay Installation in RRL-14.

H. Current operational status of the Westbay Installation at RRL-14.

I. Analysis and control of the composition of water actually used to fill piezometer tubes in DC-19/20/22/23, RRL-2C, and other monitoring facilities.

II. Information that may be Reviewed

A. Interval testing reports that have not yet been formally documented and/or released.

B. Results and procedures used to test the permeability of grout used in the nested piezometers installations (e.g., RRL-2C).

\*C. Stratigraphic, geophysical, and completion data for DC-23W/GR.

D. Geophysical and water level information relevant to characterization of the "Yakima Barricade" structure (i.e., Cold Creek "Barrier").

---

\* NRC may request this information in releasable hard copy.



DATA REVIEW SCHEDULE

DOE-NRC HYDROLOGY DATA REVIEW FOR  
THE HANFORD SITE, DECEMBER 2-5, 1986

Tuesday, December 2

8:00	Introduction and Objectives	Hildenbrand/NRC Mecca/DOE
8:15	Initiate Review	NRC
	Water level data Filtering for External Influences Packer pressure responses Calibration lab tests	
11:30	Information Requests/Clarifications	NRC DOE
12:00	Lunch	
1:00	Resume Review	NRC
	Water level data (continued)	
4:00	Information Requests/Clarifications	NRC DOE
4:30	Summary (if appropriate)	NRC/DOE
4:45	Break	

Wednesday, December 3

8:00	Resume Review	NRC
	Hydraulic testing results	
11:30	Information Requests/Clarification	NRC DOE
12:00	Lunch	
1:00	Resume Review	NRC
	Hydraulic testing (continued)	
4:00	Information Requests/Clarification	NRC DOE

4:30	Summary (if appropriate)	NRC/DOE
4:45	Break	

Thursday, December 4

8:00	Resume Review	NRC
	Hydrochemistry data Westbay operation Piezometer tubes	
	Carry-over information	
11:30	Information Requests/Clarification	NRC DOE
12:00	Lunch	
1:00	Resume Review	NRC
	Hydrochemistry data (continued) Carry-over (continued)	
4:00	Information Requests/Clarification	NRC DOE
4:30	Summary (if appropriate)	NRC/DOE
5:00	Break	

Friday, December 5

8:00	Resume Review	NRC
	Carry-over information Category II information	
11:30	Information Requests/Clarification	NRC DOE
11:45	Lunch	
12:45	NRC Caucus	NRC
1:30	Summary of Immediate Observations and Data Review Wrap-Up	NRC/DOE
2:30	Adjourn	

Record Number BH86-

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_  
Affiliation \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

HYDROLOGY DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST

TOPIC: \_\_\_\_\_

TYPE OF DATA (circle one):

- a. hydraulic head    b. hydraulic characteristic    c. structural/stratigraphic  
d. ext. boundary    e. hydrochemistry    f. geophysical  
g. QA Record    h. other \_\_\_\_\_

TESTING INFORMATION (applicable) (not applicable)

Identifier \_\_\_\_\_

Depth Interval \_\_\_\_\_ (ft) (m)

Unit \_\_\_\_\_

Effective Depth Interval \_\_\_\_\_ (ft) (m)

Test Type \_\_\_\_\_

Reference \_\_\_\_\_

REFERENCED PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS:

Borehole \_\_\_\_\_

Unit \_\_\_\_\_

Depth Interval \_\_\_\_\_

Record Number BH86-

Reviewer \_\_\_\_\_  
Affiliation \_\_\_\_\_  
Date \_\_\_\_\_

HYDROLOGY DATA REVIEW CHECKLIST  
CONTINUATION SHEET

Page \_\_\_ of \_\_\_

---

COMMENTS:

Borehole \_\_\_\_\_

Unit \_\_\_\_\_

Depth Interval \_\_\_\_\_

PERSONNEL INFORMATION FOR NRC STAFF/CONTRACTORS  
ATTENDING HYDROLOGY DATA REVIEW (DECEMBER 2-5, 1986)

<u>Name</u>	<u>Social Security Number</u>	<u>Birthdate</u>	<u>Affiliation</u> <sup>1</sup>
Michael F. Weber	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NRC
Nell M. Coleman	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NRC
Paul Hildenbrand	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NRC
James E. Kennedy	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NRC
Gerry Winter	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	WA
Roy Williams	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	WA
Dale Ralston	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	WA
Michael Galloway	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NWC
Fred Marinelli	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NWC
Adrian Brown <sup>2</sup>	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	NWC
Paul Davis	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	SNL

<sup>1</sup>NRC= U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission; WA= Williams and Associates Inc.;  
NWC= Nuclear Waste Consultants, Inc.; SNL= Sandia National Laboratory

<sup>2</sup>Adrian Brown

[REDACTED]