EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM:

DUE: 04/14/06

EDO CONTROL: G20060360

DOC DT: 04/03/06

FINAL REPLY:

Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton

EDATS: SECY-2006-0054

TO:

Chairman Diaz

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** PRI **

CRC NO: 06-0173

Chairman Diaz

DESC:

ROUTING:

Independent Safety Assessment at Indian Point

CONTACT:

Reyes Virgilio Kane Silber Dean Cyr/Burns

DATE: 04/04/06

Dyer, NRR

Zimmerman, NSIR

ASSIGNED TO:

Collins, RI Cyr, OGC

EDO

Shoop

Schmidt, OCA

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

Ref. G20060240.



EDATS Number: SECY-2006-0054 Initiating Office: SECY

General Information

Assigned To: OEDO Due Date: 4/14/2006 5:00 PM

Other Assignees: SECY Due Date: 4/18/2006 5:00 PM

Subject: Independent Safety Assessment at Indian Point

Description:

ADAMS Accession Numbers

Incoming: Response: Package:

Document Information

Originating Organization: Congress Originator Name: Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton

Incoming Task Received: Letter Date of Incoming Document: 4/3/2006

Document Received by OEDO Date: 4/4/2006

Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Addressee: Chairman Diaz

Process Information

Action Type: Letter Priority: High

Sensitivity: None

Signature Level: Chairman Diaz

Urgency: NO

OEDO Concurrence: YES
OCM Concurrence: YES

Special Instructions: Ref. G20060240.

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20060360,G20060240,LTR-06-0173

Related Task:

File Routing: EDATS

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Apr 04, 2006 09:36

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-06-0173

LOGGING DATE: 04/04/2006

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

AUTHOR:

SEN Hillary Rodham-Clinton

AFFILIATION:

CONG

ADDRESSEE:

CHRM Nils Diaz

SUBJECT:

Concerns NRC's 03/28/06 response to the request for an Independent Safety Assessment at

Indian Point NPP

ACTION:

Signature of Chairman

DISTRIBUTION:

OCA to Ack, RF

LETTER DATE:

04/03/2006

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

Commission Correspondence

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

04/18/2006

DATE SIGNED:

COMMITTEES:

ARME) Services Environment and Public Works Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Special Committee on Aging

8625/ 35A

HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON
NEW YORK
SENATOR

RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING SUITE 478 WASHINGTON, DC 2)310–3204 202–224–4461

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3204

April 3, 2006

06 APR -4 AH 7: 38

The Honorable Nils J. Diaz, Ph.D. Chairman
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

...

Dear Dr. Diaz:

I write in regard to your letter of March 28th, which provides information about the Indian Point inspection we discussed at the March 9th hearing of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee. At that hearing, I requested that the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) conduct an Independent Safety Assessment (ISA), which other elected officials have also requested. In response, you made a commitment to conduct an engineering safety assessment, and promised to send a letter outlining the details.

In my view the engineering safety assessment you have proposed is a step forward, but it does not fully address the range of concerns that prompted the calls for an ISA. Legislation requiring an ISA at Indian Point that was introduced by Representatives Kelly, Hinchey and others includes two main components: an assessment of the design, construction, maintenance and operation of certain systems at Indian Point units 2 and 3; and a comprehensive evaluation of the radiological emergency plan for those units.

Your letter describes a process whereby Indian Point units 2 and 3 would each be the subject of an inspection to be conducted by a team of NRC personnel and contractors that would last seven weeks, and would include 700 hours of direct inspection effort. The inspection would focus on significant plant components, as determined by a risk analysis. While you have acknowledged that such an inspection would be less intensive scrutiny than an ISA, the scope and intent of the proposed review appears to be similar to what the legislation would require.

However, your proposed engineering safety review does not address the radiological emergency plan for Indian Point in any way. I understand that the NRC is currently working with local governments and New York State to identify problems with the radiological emergency plans and to determine which levels of government should address these problems. I have been told that the review will include a discussion of the findings of the 2003 Witt report. While these are positive steps, they fall short of what would be required under the proposed House legislation.

Because of the shortcomings of your proposal, I am introducing a Senate bill requiring an ISA that corresponds to the House legislation. I do so because I am not convinced that your proposed engineering assessment meets the needs of the community. However, I think that the

Page 2

proposed assessment and the ongoing review of the radiological emergency plan can and should be augmented in a way that meets these needs and makes legislation unnecessary.

In closing, I want to reiterate that an extensive review of both the plant operations and the emergency plans are necessary to ensure the safety of the communities surrounding Indian Point. Your letter provides basic information about how an engineering assessment would be conducted, but it is short on details. In addition, the letter does not address the review process for the emergency plans. Therefore, I request that you respond with a more detailed description and timeline of both the proposed engineering assessment and the ongoing review process for the emergency plan.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Hillary Rodham Clinton