

April 7, 2006

Mr. Ronnie L. Gardner
AREVA NP Inc.
3315 Old Forest Road
P.O. Box 10935
Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

SUBJECT: PROPOSED PLAN FOR PHASE 2 OF THE EVOLUTIONARY POWER
REACTOR (EPR) PRE-APPLICATION REVIEW

Dear Mr. Gardner,

In your letter of February 3, 2006, you provided a proposed plan for Phase 2 of the AREVA NP, Inc. (AREVA) EPR pre-application review. The proposal included a series of meetings and planned submittals in a variety of topic areas.

This letter documents NRC's commitment to support the planned scope of activities. However, additional planning is needed to ensure AREVA and NRC activities are effectively coordinated.

Proposed Meetings

Your letter provided a table listing a number of meetings taking place at monthly intervals through the end of this calendar year. The NRC staff will support these meetings. We expect that as the pre-application review proceeds, you may find the need to revise the topics or objectives for the meetings, or to propose additional meetings. In such an event, please inform the NRC as soon as practical so the agency's plans can be adjusted as necessary.

Proposed Submittals and Associated Review Products

Your letter describes two sets of submittals planned for the EPR pre-application effort. One group of items is topical reports which have been described in Phase 1 pre-application planning meetings. For these items, the NRC has budgeted resources to support reviewing these reports. Review schedules for these items will be confirmed with you when we have received your submittals. It is our goal to complete these reviews in a timely manner supportive of your needs for development of your design certification application.

The second set of items, described in Attachment 2 of your letter, is a group of submittals planned to facilitate early review and resolution of issues during pre-application review. For these items, it will be important to establish common understanding of the expected products resulting from the staff's review. For example, a number of items in your list include a stated objective for early start on a review topic. We will need to discuss each of these items further to determine what outcome is desired and what products the NRC can provide during the pre-application review. The NRC also intends to provide resources to support these activities, assuming appropriate expectations are established.

If results of pre-application reviews are to inform NRC staff review of your design certification application, it is important that your submittals reflect a high degree of configuration control, ensuring that information assessed during pre-application is the same as the information submitted for design certification.

Design Certification Resource and Schedule Requirements

Your letter also requested that the NRC provide the resource requirements and schedule for review of the design certification application. As stated in SECY-01-0188, "Future Licensing and Inspection Readiness Assessment," the NRC expects a design certification review to take 42-60 months, dependent on the uniqueness of the design, whether there is a need for testing to demonstrate performance of safety feature and the extent of that testing, and whether policy matters need to be addressed. The review duration is also highly dependent on the quality and completeness of application.

The NRC will develop schedule and resource projections for the EPR design certification over the course of Phase 2 of the pre-application review. This effort will include consideration of the benefit of review of the topics described in Attachment 2 of your letter. As part of the Multinational Design Approval Program, NRC's planning will also consider the anticipated effect of interactions with our foreign counterparts who are also reviewing the EPR design. While these factors may shorten portions the design certification review, reviews in all technical areas at or near the critical path for completion of the review will need to be shortened to have a significant effect on the overall schedule. The NRC will not publish a schedule for the EPR design certification review until the staff has an opportunity to examine your application as part of its acceptance review.

I can be reached at 301-415-1470 or at jfw1@nrc.gov if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joseph F. Williams, Senior Project Manager
New Reactor Licensing Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactor Regulation

Project No. 733

cc: See next page

If results of pre-application reviews are to inform NRC staff review of your design certification application, it is important that your submittals reflect a high degree of configuration control, ensuring that information assessed during pre-application is the same as the information submitted for design certification.

Design Certification Resource and Schedule Requirements

Your letter also requested that the NRC provide the resource requirements and schedule for review of the design certification application. As stated in SECY-01-0188, "Future Licensing and Inspection Readiness Assessment," the NRC expects a design certification review to take 42-60 months, dependent on the uniqueness of the design, whether there is a need for testing to demonstrate performance of safety feature and the extent of that testing, and whether policy matters need to be addressed. The review duration is also highly dependent on the quality and completeness of application.

The NRC will develop schedule and resource projections for the EPR design certification over the course of Phase 2 of the pre-application review. This effort will include consideration of the benefit of review of the topics described in Attachment 2 of your letter. As part of the Multinational Design Approval Program, NRC's planning will also consider the anticipated effect of interactions with our foreign counterparts who are also reviewing the EPR design. While these factors may shorten portions the design certification review, reviews in all technical areas at or near the critical path for completion of the review will need to be shortened to have a significant effect on the overall schedule. The NRC will not publish a schedule for the EPR design certification review until the staff has an opportunity to examine your application as part of its acceptance review.

I can be reached at 301-415-1470 or at jfw1@nrc.gov if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Joseph F. Williams, Senior Project Manager
New Reactor Licensing Branch
Division of New Reactor Licensing
Office of New Reactor Regulation

Project No. 733

cc: See next page

ADAMS ACCESSION NO. ML060900021

OFFICE	PM:NRBA	BC:NRBA
NAME	JFWilliams	LDudes-WBeckner for:
DATE	04/05/2006	04/06/2006

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution for Letter to R. Gardner dated April 7, 2006

Hard Copy

NRBA Rdg.

JWilliams

LDudes

E-Mail

JWilliams

LDudes

AAttard

NRR Technical Branch Chiefs

RidsNrrDnrl (DMatthews)

RidsNrrAdra (GHolahan)

EPR

cc:

Mr. David Lochbaum, Nuclear Safety
Engineer
Union of Concerned Scientists
1707 H Street, NW., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter
Nuclear Information & Resource Service
1424 16th Street, NW, Suite 404
Washington, DC 20036

Mr. James Riccio
Greenpeace
702 H Street, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20001

Mr. Adrian Heymer
Nuclear Energy Institute
Suite 400
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Paul Leventhal
Nuclear Control Institute
1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 410
Washington, DC 20036

Dr. Jack W. Roe
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Brendan Hoffman
Research Associate on Nuclear Energy
and Environmental Program
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20003

Ms. Sandra Sloan
Areva NP, Inc.
3315 Old Forest Road
P.O. Box 10935
Lynchburg, VA 24506-0935

Ms. Patricia Campbell
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Charles Brinkman, Director
Washington Operations
Westinghouse Electric Company
12300 Twinbrook Parkway, Suite 330
Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Glenn H. Archinoff
AECL Technologies
481 North Frederick Avenue
Suite 405
Gaithersburg, MD. 20877

Mr. Gary Wright, Manager
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety
Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, IL 62704

Mr. Ronald P. Vijuk
Manager of Passive Plant Engineering
AP1000 Project
Westinghouse Electric Company
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

Mr. Ed Wallace, General Manager
Projects
PBMR Pty LTD
PO Box 9396
Centurion 0046
Republic of South Africa

Mr. Russell Bell
Nuclear Energy Institute
Suite 400
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Ms. Kathryn Sutton, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Mr. Robert E. Sweeney
IBEX ESI
4641 Montgomery Avenue
Suite 350
Bethesda, MD 20814

E-Mail:

tom.miller@hq.doe.gov or
tom.miller@ nuclear.energy.gov
sandra.sloan@areva.com
mwetterhahn@winston.com
gcesare@enercon.com
eddie.grant@exeloncorp.com
whorin@winston.com
steven.hucik@ge.com
david.hinds@ge.com
chris.maslak@ge.com
james1beard@ge.com
louis.quintana@ge.com