(111)

PROPOSED RULE PR-073
70FR67380

I am concerned that the current NRC proposal includes no upgrades to existing security requirements for nuclear facilities. 19 attackers were involved in 9/11; how can we not require protection for at least that number? (More would be better.). And on 9/11 we were attacked from the air. Why would reactors not be required to be protected against air attacks?

I believe something along the lines of the "Beamhenge" shields should be implemented (see the Committee to Bridge the Gap Petition for Rulemaking). Furthermore, I am troubled by the administrative process here. Closed meetings with members of the nuclear energy industry, whose goals (e.g., profitability) may conflict with the overarching objective of maximum security for the nation, is a breach of trust on the part of government and is contrary to the democratic principles that distinguish our country from much of the rest of the world.

DOCKETED
USNRC

March 23, 2006 (1:14pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
RULEMAKINGS AND
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Template = SECY-067

SECY-02

From: Carol Gallagher
To: Evangeline Ngbea
Date: Fri, Jan 20, 2006 11:07 AM
Subject: Comment letter on Proposed Rule on Design Basis Threat

Van,

Attached for docketing is a comment letter on the above noted proposed rule from Mike that I received via the rulemaking website on 1/19/06.

His address is:

Mike
81 Empty Saddle Rd
Rolling Hills CA 90274
bluenote72@hotmail.com

Carol

Mail Envelope Properties (43D10AC4.C42 : 3 : 886)

Subject:	Comment letter on Proposed Rule on Design Basis Threat
Creation Date:	1/20/06 11:07AM
From:	Carol Gallagher
Created By:	CAG@nrc.gov

Recipients
nrc.gov
owf5_po.OWFN_DO
ESN (Evangeline Ngbea)

Post Office	**Route**
owf5_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	1175	01/20/06 11:07AM
1636-0007.doc	20480	01/20/06 11:05AM

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard