VARIOUS CHECKLISTS

FOR THE DRESDEN INITIAL EXAMINATION - FEBRUARY 2006

.

ES-201, Rev. 9E

Examination Preparation Checklist

Form ES-201-1

Facility:	Dresden Date of Examination:	2/6-13/2006
Examinat	tions Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)	<u></u>
Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	GP
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	P-
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	- 6 ?
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	62
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	62
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	6
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)}	50
{-45}	 Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d) 	6
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	6
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.I; C.2.i; ES-202)	4
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	- CP
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	42
-7	 Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h) 	4
-7	 Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204) 	GP.
-7	 Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k) 	1º
-7	 Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) 	R
identified in t case basis ir	es are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examinations and are keyed to the examination corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusten coordination with the facility licensee. (] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.	

aointy	: Drese	den Date of Examination: 2			
em		Task Description	a	Initials b*	c#
1.	а.	Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	C.K	IQ	4
W - R I	b.	Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	Ĉĸ	ND.	4
τ Τ	C.	Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	CK	N	4
E N	d.	Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Ĉх	1c)	4
2. S	a.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	Ск	10	5
Î M U L A T	þ.	Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Ċĸ	ND	4
O R	C.	To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	CK	M	9
3. W / T	а.	 Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form 	СК	1D	4
	þ.	Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	Ċĸ	w	4
	C.	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	CK	M	И
4.	a.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	Ск	10	4
G E	b.	Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	CK	L MJ	4
Ν	C.	Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	CK	(m)	4
E R	d.	Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	CK	14	4
Α	е.	Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	CK	14	if
L	f.	Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	Ĉχ	(N)	Li
b. Fa c. N	RC CH		Da <u>9/27/05</u> 9/27/05 9/27/05		יי - - -

ES-201, Page 25 of 27

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>21/66</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

Examination Security Agreement

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>2/6/06</u>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Charles Koloska	ILT Exam Author	Charle forto		hue help	2-14-06
2. Steve Russell	EXELONTD OTPS	A Defermine		a martine	
3. WAYNE MOLZE	DBA To D LL (0, t, t)	- AND - N	- 4/·/95-4	MAN AND	
4. Mike-Parcell	Exam Author (Contractor)	- mig tareed		myasheally	2/14/06
5. Miké Mcdynard	SHINT WAR FACILITY AFP	Mathaney	- 9-14-05	Will Sim	2-14-06
6. Anton J. Reyis	Dresden Sim SW	- and In		www.gu	
7. Joe Glane K	<u><u><u>R</u>O</u></u>	Joz Manete	11/28/05	ty flend	2-20-06
8. Jim Guis	<u>RU</u>	jam Jula	11/78/05 5	Atula	3-1-04
9. Terences Pslant	ShifrMir	This off	1/128/05	TSOLA	2-20-06
10. Mark R. Hannerhan	Unit Supprvisor	Marchant	n/2815	Harban	3/3/0
11. Mike Stricklin	IT	mill sottant	11/34/05	Malith	2/20/6
12. Ton Moser	RO	Margar	12/8/5	[misi]	2/20/6
13. MARTIN KAPCHINSK	E RO	MKaschinle	12.8.5	Magilishile	1 220ce
14. Kon Eltrevoca	Ro	Katthinal	12-5-05	Laithul	2-14-06
15. JON JSP1561	<u>ho</u>	Ginkraf.	12-8-5	Jabar	2 -28-6
NOTES:			/		

Lacknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of <u>216166</u> as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of $\frac{2}{2}$ [1] From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE
1. Decrell Titus 2. William Higgins	Unit Sup Unit Supervisor	Dand it	12-8-05	
3. AL ZLOWS 4. CHRIS WAQUER		Church Lager	- <u>12.11.6</u> 12-13.55	() (LL.) a Den 2-14-26
5. JEFE HANSEN	Coro Teng Pro: MGR	Afry I Har	1-5-06	(fright the \$104/06
6. Doug Wise 7. Chris Kent	- Dresden Ops Training Shift Manager	William and with	- 1-6-06 - 19/06	214/06
8. Paul Woutkiewicz	Unit Supervisat	Plinglag	Viloc	Palyuntan 2/14/05
9 JOHN DOYLE	N & O	A stranger and a stranger a	1-9.6	2.14-6
10. Gell LEONAM	NSO Trainin Directu		19/4	The state of the s
12. Kin KeetON	Clerk	Jim Restan	21.106	Jun Keepon 2/4/06
13. KANDALL KEISNER 14. Glenn L. Wilson	-Instructor	the findell ferre	216/06	Man 2 DR 2114/06
15. George Grat	- OTM	Muy An	Z/4 /06_	Muz-1264 2/14/06

X Quit Company + Nuclear Industry ES-201, Page 26 of 27

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

Lacknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $\frac{2}{b}/b}{b}$ as of the date of my signature. Lagree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. Lunderstand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge. I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2 - b - b = 2 - b =

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. CArd Bardin	SOS	loul bus	2606	(ind bus	220/04
2. Frank Ferrero	Instructur	Heino	2-7-06	the p	3-14-06
3. PATRICIC O WARD	Sin Gondumun	acould	2-2-06	Blut to sille	2/14/00
4. MIKE Now to	RO	put not	2,18/06	- the Mint	3/6/06
5. Joff Simpson	<u>KO</u>	It sizen	2/1/6	M Losan	3/6/6
6. Stere Fals	Succession / Formater	Vatta 1	2/9/6.0	14 the	7-20-2
7. HAL DOD	SHIFT MANNGER	Sett	<u>=211016</u>	X D	3-1-6
8					
9	/				
10					
11	,,				
12					
13					
14		····			
15					
NOTES:					

Operating Test Quality Checklist

Form ES-301-3

Facility: <u>Dresden</u>	Date of Examination: 2/6/06 Operating Test N	umber:	ILT 05	-1
			Initials	
	1. GENERAL CRITERIA	а	b*	c#
a. The operation of the sampling	ating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	C.K	242)	4
b. There is r this exam	no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during ination.	CK	IQ.	4
c. The oper	ating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	Сĸ	w	Ĝ
d. Overlap v acceptab	vith the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within le limits.	СK	m	H
e. It appears applicant	s that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent s at the designated license level.	Сĸ	N	4
	2. WALK-THROUGH CRITERIA			
 initia initia refe reas desi 	A includes the following, as applicable: al conditions ating cues rences and tools, including associated procedures ionable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific gnation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee rationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	Ск	νĐ	q
outlines (criteria (e	hat any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance .g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified forms and Form ES-201-2.	СК	M	Ч
	3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA			
The associated simi Form ES-301-4 and	ulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with a copy is attached.	СК	M	8
a. Author b. Facility Review c. NRC Chief Exa d. NRC Superviso <u>For</u> HF NOTE: * The fac	miner (#) Quele , Quelen	<u> </u> 2- 4 4	Date 2 - 9 - 13 -= 18 0 8 / 0	.05
# Indepe	ndent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence is required.			

ES-301, Page 24 of 27

Simulator Scenario Quality Checklist

Form ES-301-4

гасшу	: Dresden Date of Exam: 2/6/06 Scenario Numbers: N-	1 / N-2 / N-3 Operating Tes	1				
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		a	Initials b*			
1.	Ĉĸ	nd)	en. De				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		CI	no	H		
 3. Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 							
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorpora without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	ated into the scenario	CK	N	H		
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		CK	1	L		
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examina complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives		Cr	~	4		
7.	7. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.						
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.						
9.	CK	ng	4				
10.	D. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.						
11.] Form ES-301-6	CK	m	Ľ			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of t specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenari		Ск	N	B		
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for ea	ch crew position.	CK	10	4		
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes					
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	7 151 6	CK	3	n		
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	1/1/1	CK	M	4		
3.	Abnormal events (2-4) 3 / 2 / 2						
4.	Major transients (1-2)	21212	ĈK	N	a		
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	21213	CIC	NO	u		
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/2/1	CIC	6	a		
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	21314	1K	m			

ES-301, Page 25 of 27

Competencies Checklist

Form ES-301-6

Facility: Dresden	Date of Examination: 2/6/06 Operating Test No.: ILT 05-1															
	APPLICANTS															
Competencies	5	SRO-I	(SRO)	SRO-I (ATC)			SRO-U (SRO)								
	SCENARIO			SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/ Diagnose Events and Conditions	1-6	1-5			1-6	1-5	2-5				1-5					
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-6	1-5			1-6	1-5	3-5				1-5					
Operate Control Boards (2)					1-6	1-5	3-5									
Communicate and Interact	1-6	1-5			1-6	1-5	2-5				1-5					
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-6	1-5									1-5					
Comply With and Use Tech Specs. (3)	1,3	2,3									1,2					
Notes: (1) Includes Technica (2) Optional for an SF (3) Only applicable to	RO-U.		ion c	ompli	ance	for an	1 RO.								-	

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

12-13-05 , alulla

ES-301, Page 27 of 27

-

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-6

Facility:	Drasden Date	of Exam:	2/	610	6	Exam	Level: R	O/SRO		
			Initial							
	a	b*	c*							
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and app		CK	<u>n</u>	4					
2.	a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as a	CK	R	4						
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section	on D.2.d o	f <u>ES-40</u>	1		CK	12	4		
4.	If more than four RO and two SRO questions are repeate exams, the facility licensee's sampling process was rand				licensing	Сĸ	M	4		
5.	 Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started the examinations were developed independently the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain) 									
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only guestion distribution(s) at right.	Bank Modified New 44/1 /1 7 / 2 24 / /2				СК	Ŗ	4		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Mem 35 /	iory 5	40	СК	R	в			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	3		. a .		СК	NG	4		
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to whic deviations are justified.	in the prev h they are	viously a assigne	approve ad;	d	Сĸ	Q	4J		
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guide		CK	N	4					
11.										
b. Facilit c. NRC	a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Regional Supervisor									
Note:	Note: The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.									

ł

ſ

Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist

Facili	ty: Date of Exam:	Exam L	evel: R	0/SRO							
			3								
	Item Description	а	b	с							
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	CK	Swb	4							
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	Ск	SH.	4							
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	Ск	SIA	4							
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80 $\pm 2\%$ overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, $\pm 4\%$ on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	СК	545	4							
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	СК	At 1	Н							
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems: evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	СК	Set	4							
	Printed Name/Signature		D	ate							
a. Gra	ader Charles Kolatta Charles Katato		2/1	6/04							
b. Fac	cility Reviewer(*) Shannay Frey / Shaft		2/1	6/06							
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) Charles Ph. 1145/ Charle Rulin 2/28/202											
d. NRC Supervisor (*) Huonovy Peterson Harrow 3/1/06											
(*)	The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations g two independent NRC reviews are required.	graded b									