

**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: City of Raymore 100 Municipal Circle Raymore, MO 64083		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 2443 Warrenville Road Suite 210 Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351	
REPORT	2006-001		

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-36410	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 24-32479-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION March 8, 2006
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

**LICENSEE:**

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

\_\_\_\_\_ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

**Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.**

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Deborah A. Piskura		3/8/2006

**Docket File Information**  
**SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT  
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE <b>City of Raymore</b> REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>2006-001</b>	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE <b>Region III</b> <b>2443 Warrenville Road</b> <b>Lisle, IL 60532</b>
---	---

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-36410	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 24-32479-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION Mar. 8, 2006
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01, 03.02, 03.03, 03.04, 03.05, 03.06, and 03.07
-----------------------------------	--

**SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION**

1. PROGRAM 03121	2. PRIORITY E 1 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Lorie Crandell, RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 816.892.3019
---------------------	----------------------	--	-------------------------------------

Main Office Inspection      Next Inspection Date: Mar. 2011

Field \_\_\_\_\_

Temporary Job Site \_\_\_\_\_

**PROGRAM SCOPE**

The licensee was small municipal office employing 100 individuals. The licensee possessed one moisture/density gauge used occasionally for road testing projects during the construction season. The licensee approved 3 individuals (all successfully completed the manufacturer's training course) as authorized gauge users. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauge; these services were performed by the device manufacturer.

During this inspection, the inspector toured the gauge storage area, surveyed the gauge storage room and storage cabinet, interviewed select licensee personnel, and reviewed select records. Licensee personnel discussed and demonstrated security measures in the field, transportation procedures and emergency procedures. At the time of this inspection the gauge was not in use. Therefore, the inspector was unable to conduct a field inspection.