

From: "Fiore, Craig" <Craig.Fiore@dhs.gov>
To: "Tim McGinty" <TJM1@nrc.gov>
Date: 3/8/06 7:37AM
Subject: RE: Request: DHS Clarification on 12/19/05 FRN Comments (PRM50-79)

Tim,

You are indeed correct that pp. 6-13 of GM EV-2, which addresses the exercise and drill demonstration requirements, was superseded with the issuance of FEMA-REP-14 and FEMA-REP-15, Objective #16, "Implementation of Protective Actions - Schools". Then with the issuance of the REP Exercise Evaluation Methodology, REP-15 was rescinded effective January 1, 2002, and much of REP-14, Section C.2 became obsolete. The adoption of the EEM replaced the 34 REP-14 objectives set out in Section D, with the 6 Evaluation Areas and this was incorporated in the Interim REP Program Manual, dated August 2002. Therefore, the portions of the Interim REP Program Manual, such as Evaluation Area 3.c.2, that address the criteria for demonstrating protective action implementation for schools, supersedes those related portions contained in FEMA-REP-14.

So, upon further consideration, I agree that we should no longer reference those portions of GM-EV 2 and REP-14 that have been rescinded or superseded.

Regarding your request for clarification on the comment - "FEMA does not expect state and local governments to assume responsibility for all schools. Schools prepare their own emergency plans, and coordinate planning/exercising efforts with s/l governments)", I agree with you that the first sentence, if isolated, could be interpreted to mean that a subset of the population (in this case school children) may not be adequately accounted for in the required levels of REP planning and preparedness. Therefore, we need to cooperatively ensure that this sentence is not isolated from the very important supplemental sentence that follows it which describes how the schools/daycare center emergency planning and exercising efforts are closely coordinated and sufficiently aligned with the state/local/municipal offsite response organizations plans.

I hope this explanation provides you with the additional clarification you were seeking to obtain. Please let me know if you have any additional comments, questions or concerns on this matter. Thank you.

Craig F.

-----Original Message-----

From: Tim McGinty [mailto:TJM1@nrc.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2006 6:08 PM

To: Fiore, Craig

Cc: Conklin, Craig; Quinn, Vanessa; Anthony McMurtray; Eric Leeds; Nader Mamish

Subject: Request: DHS Clarification on 12/19/05 FRN Comments (PRM50-79)

Craig: In addition to my below query to ensure we properly understand the context of the comment "FEMA does not expect state and local governments to assume responsibility for all schools", I have another area that I need FEMA clarification on. Nader had a conversation with Craig Conklin this afternoon, and asked that I seek clarification from you on the below issue germane to our ongoing analysis of the FRN (including your comments):

Our 12/19/05 FRN referenced FEMA GM EV-2 (p. 6, 7 & 10) and/or FEMA-REP-14 in the Background Section, and for issues D & G.

It is our current understanding that based on the attached FRN and the DHS web-site (<http://www.fema.gov/rrr/rep/guideref.shtm>) that FEMA-REP-14 was significantly revised, with the interim guidance being used instead. Additionally, the website indicates that pages 6-13 of GM EV-2 were superseded by FEMA-REP-14, in that (primarily, for the purpose of this e-mail) sub-elements 2.C and 3.C of the interim exercise evaluation method are being used instead.

Your comments in NRC Review for issues D & G appear to validate the continued applicability of references to GM EV-2 (specifically, for pgs. 6,7 and 10), and we are seeking your clarification. Additionally, your insights (if any) regarding our 12/19/05 FRN characterization in the Background Section ... "FEMA's regulations were further amplified by FEMA Guidance Memorandum (GM) EV-2, "Protective Actions for School Children" and FEMA-REP-14, "Radiological Emergency Preparedness Exercise Manual" would be appreciated. Would either deleting the reference to FEMA-REP-14 or pointing instead to the FRN involving the interim EEM be more appropriate?

Your help in these regards would be greatly appreciated. Our accurate

rewrites, inserted comments, and "track changes". We will also be submitting these comments in a letter dated today (2/28/06) from Ms. Vanessa Quinn to Mr. Anthony C. McMurray.

Thank you once again for the opportunity to review and provide our comments to this important document. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns with any of the comments that we have provided.

Respectfully submitted,

Craig J. Fiore

Lead Program Specialist

Radiological Emergency Preparedness (REP) Program

DHS/Office of Infrastructure Protection

1800 South Bell Street - Room 839

Crystal City - Arlington, VA 22202-3546

phone: (703) 605-4218

fax: (703) 605-1198

craig.fiore@dhs.gov

NOTICE:

This message is for the designated recipient only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original. Any other use of this e-mail by you is prohibited.

CC: "Conklin, Craig" <Craig.Conklin@dhs.gov>, "Quinn, Vanessa" <Vanessa.Quinn@dhs.gov>, "Nader Mamish" <NLM@nrc.gov>, "Hammons, Darrell" <Darrell.Hammons@dhs.gov>, "Smith, Gary" <Gary.Smith@dhs.gov>, "Wierman, Kenneth" <Kenneth.Wierman@dhs.gov>, "Prosanta Chowdhury" <PXC@nrc.gov>

Mail Envelope Properties (440ECFEC.EFF : 3 : 36607)

Subject: RE: Request: DHS Clarification on 12/19/05 FRN Comments (PRM50-79)
Creation Date: 3/8/06 7:39AM
From: "Fiore, Craig" <Craig.Fiore@dhs.gov>
Created By: Craig.Fiore@dhs.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 TJM1 (Tim McGinty)
 NLM CC (Nader Mamish)

nrc.gov

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 PXC CC (Prosanta Chowdhury)

dhs.gov

Kenneth.Wierman CC (Kenneth Wierman)
 Gary.Smith CC (Gary Smith)
 Darrell.Hammons CC (Darrell Hammons)
 Vanessa.Quinn CC (Vanessa Quinn)
 Craig.Conklin CC (Craig Conklin)

Post Office

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
 nrc.gov
 dhs.gov

Files

MESSAGE
 TEXT.htm
 Mime.822

Size

6924
 20433
 31297

Date & Time

03/08/06 07:39AM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard