NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Enbridge Pipeline (Lakehead) L.L.C 1500 West Main Street Griffith, IN 46319 REPORT NUMBER(S) 2006-001	REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-35871	13-32357-01	02/21/06

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

[X] 1. *Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.*

[ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

[ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

[ ] 4. *During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements* and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance *with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps* which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	E. L. Kulzer	E. L. Kulzer	2/27/06

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Enbridge Pipeline (Lakehead)L. L. C. REPORT NUMBER(S) 03035871/2006-001	**Region III**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-35871	13-32357-01	February 21, 2006

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	03.01 - 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03120	5	Robert Pollock	715.349.8335

[x] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: **February 2011**

[ ] Field Office

[ ] Temporary Job Site

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a large company that operates oil pipelines and has six facilities in Michigan. The licensee possesses six specifically licensed fixed gauges used as density/level gauges in the operation of pipeline systems in Michigan. Currently, there are a total of 16 individuals including, the RSO involved with use and oversight of the devices, and five individuals involved ARE in the Griffith, Bay City and Stockbridge Station operations. Gauges are leak tested at three-year frequencies in accordance with manufacturers' specifications. Inventories and shutter checks are performed at required frequencies.

The licensee is authorized to remove and/or relocate gauges. In September 2002, the licensee requested and received NRC permission to relocate a fixed gauge from its Lewiston Station to its Stockbridge Station with on-site assistance by the manufacturer. The license was amended to reflect the relocation.

Performance Observations

The inspector observed licensed operations at the Griffith Station. The inspector noted that selected gauges correlated with the licensee's current inventory record. The inspector noted that the gauges were properly labeled and authorized users were adequately trained. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements and the results were similar to those in the licensee's survey records.