

From: Eugene Cobey *EC*
To: Anne Passarelli ; Scott Barber; Theodore Wingfield
Date: Tue, Jun 29, 2004 7:43 PM
Subject: Fwd: Salem/Hope Creek

Attached is Hub's e-mail to NRC senior management. We have an action item to provide a highlighted version of the USA and IAT reports.

Ted, come talk to me about how we can accomplish this action item.

Thanks

Gene

C-170

From: Hubert J. Miller *JH*
To: Ellis Merschoff; Jim Dyer; Samuel Collins
Date: Tue, Jun 29, 2004 6:06 PM
Subject: Salem/Hope Creek

Ellis, Jim, Sam.....I am off to Italy. Jim W. will be in charge.

I hope that the briefing today was helpful to you. The candid feedback was helpful to us.

I have asked the staff to get copies of the USA (Bill O'Conner's) assessment and IAT (Jim O'Hanlon's) review to you. I have asked that they highlight sections that tell the story about the plant and that, as a minimum, are likely to continue stirring external stakeholders. Some of this is captured in the "attachment" slides Randy B. covered in his presentation today but this will give you a deeper perspective or sense of what exists at Artificial Island.

Also, I neglected to mention in covering "next steps" that the staff will be documenting the results of our ongoing review. While this will cover the entire staff effort to this point (all of the Reg I/ OI/NRR/AAA activity since last fall) examining the scwe issue, we expect to keep it at a fairly general level.

In summary, next steps:

- complete and issue report on staff scwe assessment
- complete letter acknowledging PSEG commitments
- prepare deviation memo to cover oversight program going forward.
- prepare response to UCS "petition" (not being treated as 2.206 at DLochbaum's request but we need to respond in some fashion nonetheless).

We will, of course, get input from you and other internal stakeholders on these products.

CC: jtw1