

DJV - note from 6/16/23 mtg

NJ 4th Floor 8:70 am

stf ^{Cap} [- Correct/ A Clone Renew
- Improved screening?

1/28/04 letter

IAT - 2/18 - 4/16/04
- also accepted volunteers

A SCP - 15-20% - not viable alternative
(peer review mgmt)

- mgmt ineffect @ under study
potential C & effects of Operational Events

- 2003 efforts to improve SCWT
not effective (ECP surveys?)

- Better after Energy Survey

- Events - 14 - unintended or mixed-message sent
- illustrative but not exhaustive

C-83

Q's

Lisa - perception of non-conservative mgmt decision making?
- perception vs. reality

Randy - Corporate impact perceived/unintended
- how concluded?

Hub - IAT overall assessment of how
- to company has handled soft
& hard (finding & fixing problems) SCWF problems?

Lisa - mgmt effectiveness in detecting & ~~preventing~~ preventing
retaliation & whistleblowing
- Is there a process onsite for
looking @ potential retaliation issues. Not then but now
- IAT recommendation to set up a
process (ERB)

Hub -

Jim Clifford - what was corporate message?
- Report says what it was not

Randy B - What's causing procedure adherence issues?

Charlon - history of problems

- tried to change or ignore
- hard to answer how it
got that way
- mentioned pump vibration issue

Randy B. role of enyr
Oltanlen-Jener supportive of ops
Prezkap - maknua in enyr

Belkter
15 Business Objeks
E&S
CAP
WMP
Leadership Effectivness
Facilities/Maintenance

—
Improvement Plan Action Matrix

(
Apr fall W Coutrye - another survey survey
to decet Exec Stmpw
- met w/ NAC (1st Qtr 2005)
→ repeat periodically over next 5 yrs

Hub - PM program?

- Bekken - "rebuild" system engr program
- look @ current PM - decide whether it is OK or whether more ~~of~~ PMs are needed

Randy - Spare parts comments from USA Report

~~A. Brothers~~

SCWF

To Answer
Randy B's
Clarification
Question

- Proc. Adherence issues

- same problem as w/ long standy temp issue

- long-standy procedure problem caused
acceptance of problems

M. Brothers Assessment of 4 SCWF Pillars

Pillars

- 1 Green
- 2 Yellow
- 3 yellow
- 4 yellow

Lesson What does CAB use as criteria for effectiveness of C/S's.

- CAB renew all Lvl 1, 2 + 3 items (~700/month)

Post Meeting Comments

J. Lipoti -

- How will NRC oversight impact process?
 - = "re-classified" notifications will avoid CARB -
 - will there be manipulation of metrics?
- QA findings
 - Performance bonus policy
 - production-based?
 - are bonus criteria consistent w/ safety goals?



~~Dr. K~~ - typed statements -

- disappointed that Eerland isn't here -
- Why not contacted by IAT?

* - DoV to go thru typed statement

- H & L issue

- OT Reqs -

- Corporate pressure - direct pressure

- contrary to statements @ mtg

(specifics of direct corporate pressure?)



Call Dr. H. -

Hut response Cassidy -

- solicited comments of oversight

& monitoring of results

- funding to get-well program is important

- S/D decisions are our judgment & we will S/D if we need to order so

D. Lockbaum

- If NRC believes they are safe, why should PSEG fix anything?

- NRC Safety Assessors of "As-Found" plant?

"There can't be an accident @ these plants... if an accident occurs, it's intentional."

- Too much uncertainty

- Mentoring

- NRC should consider CAL of Conf. Order -

Hub's Response

- inspector oversight

- perf indicators

- some changes are helping head things in right direction (system engs) safety margins are being preserved

N. Cohen

- Dr. K + DL said a lot of what he wanted to say

- shut 'em down

- give 'em 90 days

- ~~can't~~ Can't wait til 1/08 for next mtg

- need to have a public mtg every 90 days -

50.75(g) review

→ PSEG owner

- Norm C.
- NRC needs a
standard JCWE measurement

Post-Closure

- Alleg - Call Dr. K re: Mis-Truths?
- Res renew her statements?

- * After CBL or Confirmatory Order
- Send state letter to Dr. K after CBL
Inviting comments or our followup
- DE to send letter to DL - re 2.206
shutdown request

Role of QA in USORpt - What is PSEG doing?
Role of NRC - What did PSEG do in response?
- not pictures?

Future Actions?

- What do we think of their assessments
- Δ 's -? between their renew + ours
- regulatory footprint - do we need to finish our renew first?
 - CAE? adjustable?
- Monitoring of licensee results?
 - what do we do w/ periodic ^{quarterly} reports
 - immediate follow-up - are things they are doing getting right answers
 - renew before fall mtg

What tools are they using for future + ongoing assessment?

- should we look @ before fall meeting?
- are they measuring the problem?