

G. Meyer
From: A. Randolph Blough , RI
To: GwM
Date: 3/22/04 10:29AM
Subject: Comments re: Meeting w/PSEG

Glenn, see assignment in bold below.

>>> Hubert J. Miller 03/22/04 08:12AM >>>
Dave,

I called Frank Cassidy Friday and took a call from Roy Anderson yesterday.

Both know we intend to have a few people on-site to talk to key people doing interviews for PSEG....following up to understand exactly how the interviews are being done, how they select who they interview, confidentiality, the things we talked about in our post meeting caucus, etc. etc. We need to do this right away so they don't go down a long path and find out they missed it by a mile.

Their schedule for completing the review makes this an urgent matter.

Also, Glenn, Randy, et al will be leading us in examining what next steps should be in overview of the program. This will undoubtedly need to key off what we find in our scouting mission over the next several days. As I said at the public meeting, we need to decide what our formal oversight will be.....there is a broad range of options as I said in the meeting. (We know some would have us do this under or with an order.)

This also should be settled soon given that the licensee study is proceeding at full tilt.

Gina, pls schedule a briefing later this week.

>>> David Vito 03/19/04 11:23AM >>>

I stayed for while after the group departed last night to talk with Lisa Jarriel about her impressions of the meeting. She had several good comments that I wanted to share with everyone.

SCWE Result Matrix - Lisa indicated that other facilities (Mike Brothers has been involved in at least one) undergoing this type of review have developed a matrix that compares the Best Practices for a Safety Conscious Work Environment to the results of the various tools that are being used. Such a matrix allows a comparison of the tools to see if they are measuring the same things and/or the right things. Since Mike Brothers is now at PSEG, we may want to suggest to him that PSEG also consider generating such a matrix.

IAT - Independence, randomness of selection of interviewees, ID protection, open door aspects - I don't want to speak for everyone, but Lisa and I had a little apprehension, after listening to their description of the IAT, as to whether the IAT had established a process which would account for these attributes and assure that they get what they need (and not necessarily what they might like). It might be a good idea to look over their shoulders with regard to: how they are selecting those who are interviewed; what kind of confidentiality policy is associated with the process; and whether there is an "open door" policy for providing information to the IAT, and if there is one, how that message was imparted to the site staff. One could wonder if the IAT will provide results that will be as candid as the results that are generated by the Synergy and USA reviews.

Management Training - PSEG made several statements about training that is being or will be provided to

C-78

managers regarding SCWE, but they did not describe the training in any detail. Lisa indicated that she would like to review whatever training programs, policies, or procedures, that are being or have been developed regarding SCWE at Salem/Hope Creek.

Event Review Board - Lisa and I discussed Mike Brothers knowledge of the ERB, which is a process change that was made at Millstone with regard to getting a rapid handle on any personnel action or event/incident that has any hint of involving retaliation or chilling effect. It involves gathering all facts about a given action (preferably before the personnel action is taken) or incident and any other similar actions to assure that the current action doesn't involve aspects that could be perceived as retaliatory, e.g., disparate treatment. This concept has worked well at Millstone, and is probably a significant contributor to the turnaround in SCWE there. We may want to ask PSEG (Mike B.) if they are considering adopting a similar process.

Mail Envelope Properties (405F0642.E92 : 9 : 34932)

Subject: Comments re: Meeting w/PSEG
Creation Date: 3/22/04 10:29AM
From: A. Randolph Blough

Created By: ARB@nrc.gov

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
nrc.gov		
kp1_po.KP_DO	Delivered	03/22/04 10:29AM
BEH BC (Beh)	Opened	03/23/04 03:25PM
	Deleted	04/01/04 10:20PM
	Emptied	04/09/04 01:06AM
GWM (GwM)	Opened	04/01/04 01:13PM

Post Office	Delivered	Route
kp1_po.KP_DO	03/22/04 10:29AM	nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	5629	03/22/04 10:29AM

Options

Auto Delete: No
Expiration Date: None
Notify Recipients: Yes
Priority: High
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification:
 Send Notification when Opened

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

To Be Delivered: Immediate
Status Tracking: All Information