g:\alleg\panel\20030110arb5.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: <u>RI-2003-A-0110</u> Site/Facility: <u>Salem/Hope Creek</u> ARB Date: <u>11/17/2003</u> Branch Chief (AOC): <u>Meyer</u> Acknowledged: <u>Yes</u> Confidentiality Granted: <u>No</u>

Issue discussed: Current Actions on Tech Issues and SCWE Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? <u>Issue will not be referred to licensee</u>

## **ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS**

Attendees: Chair - <u>Holian</u> Branch Chief (AOC) - <u>Meyer</u> SAC - <u>Vito</u> OI Rep. - <u>Wilson, Neff</u> RI Counsel - <u>Farrar</u> Others - <u>J White, Holody, Urban, Barber, Wingfield</u>

## **DISPOSITION ACTIONS:**

1) DRP to evaluate whether SCWE inspection should be conducted. Continue with the joint staff/OI review currently ongoing. (Efforts will be made to interview Shift Managers and CRS over the next month).

Responsible Person: <u>Meyer/Barber</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>12/15/03</u> Completed:\_\_\_\_\_

2) Review survey results from the recent ECP SCWE surveys, Gallup Q12 survey and Winston and Strawn assessment of SCWE for the purpose of understanding the licensee's response to the alleger's issue brought to the licensee previously. NRC reviewers will provide an assessment of the adequacy of PSEG's SCWE reviews and the legitimacy of PSEG's conclusions. (Review in progress)

 Responsible Person: Meyer/Urban
 ECD: 12/5/2003

 Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_\_
 Completed: \_\_\_\_\_\_

3) DRP will continue to update the summary of technical issues on weekly basis considering information from additional information from interviews, and information from review of transcripts of completed interviews. DRS has completed review of TARP reports and NRB documentation and will discuss at the next ARB panel. DRP/DRS to assess.

Responsible Person: <u>Meyer/Jackson</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>Ongoing</u> Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

4) No indications of potential wrongdoing (other than the alleged H&I issues) have been identified to date. Repanel if indications of wrongdoing are identified.

Responsible Person: <u>Meyer/Barber</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>Bi-Weekly</u> Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

5) Next periodic ARB

Responsible Person: <u>SAC</u> Closure Documentation: \_\_\_\_\_ ECD: <u>12/1/03</u> Completed: \_\_\_\_\_

ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED AT THE ARB

## SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: SCWE Review

## PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: High

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing): Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ): What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement?\_\_\_\_\_

When did the potential violation occur?\_\_\_\_\_

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at four (4) years from that date, to discuss enforcement statute of limitations issues.

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Next ARB will include a discussion of suggestions for binning inputs related to SCWE (e.g., namagement production vs. safety pressure, non-conservative decision making, union pressures to suppress concerns identification, etc.) And how that will feed into the overall SCWE assessment.

<u>Distribution</u>: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)