

From: Sharon Johnson *RV*
To: REACTORS
Date: 11/7/03 2:25PM
Subject: Salem/Hope Creek ARB

Attached

B-265

g:\alleg\panel\20030110arb4.wpd ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DISPOSITION RECORD

Allegation No.: RI-2003-A-0110
Site/Facility: Salem/Hope Creek
ARB Date: 11/07/2003

Branch Chief (AOC): Meyer
Acknowledged: Yes
Confidentiality Granted: No

Issue discussed: Current Actions on Tech Issues and SCWE

Alleger contacted prior to referral to licensee (if applicable)? Issue will not be referred to licensee

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD DECISIONS

Attendees: Chair - Holian, Blough Branch Chief (AOC) - Meyer SAC - Vito
OI Rep. - Wilson RI Counsel - Farrar Others - Holody, Urban, Lanning, Barber

DISPOSITION ACTIONS:

- 1) DRP to evaluate whether SCWE inspection should be conducted. Continue with the joint staff/OI review currently ongoing.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/5/03
Completed: _____

- 2) Review survey results from the recent ECP SCWE surveys, Gallup Q12 survey and Winston and Strawn assessment of SCWE for the purpose of understanding the licensee's response to the alleger's issue brought to the licensee previously. NRC reviewers will provide an assessment of the adequacy of PSEG's SCWE reviews and the legitimacy of PSEG's conclusions.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Urban
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: 12/5/2003
Completed: _____

- 3) DRP will continue to update the summary of technical issues on weekly basis considering information from additional information from interviews, information from review of transcripts of completed interviews, DRS review of TARP reports and NRB documentation.

Responsible Person: Meyer
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: Ongoing
Completed: _____

- 4) Repanel if indications of wrongdoing are identified.

Responsible Person: Meyer/Barber
Closure Documentation: _____

ECD: Bi-Weekly
Completed: _____

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT: SCWE Review

PRIORITY OF OI INVESTIGATION: High

If potential discrimination or wrongdoing and OI is not opening a case, provide rationale here (e.g., no prima facie, lack of specific indication of wrongdoing):

Rationale used to defer OI discrimination case (DOL case in progress):

**ARB MINUTES ARE REVIEWED
AND APPROVED AT THE ARB**

2

ENFORCEMENT STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS CONSIDERATION (only applies to wrongdoing matters (including discrimination issues) that are under investigation by OI, DOL, or DOJ):

What is the potential violation and regulatory requirement? _____

When did the potential violation occur? _____

(Assign action to determine date, if unknown)

Once date of potential violation is established, SAC will assign AMS action to have another ARB at

NOTES: (Include other pertinent comments. Also include considerations related to licensee referral, if appropriate. Identify any potential generic issues)

Distribution: Panel Attendees, Regional Counsel, OI, Responsible Individuals (original to SAC)