

**From:** Hubert J. Miller *et*  
**To:** Daniel Holody; David Vito; James Joyner  
**Date:** 9/8/03 12:30PM  
**Subject:** Re: Meeting w/Salem HC allegor - Tuesday 9/9/03, 10:00 a.m.

we should pay but be careful

her home is in new jersey

Jim, you are right. we need to tell our travel folks what makes sense re: her itinerary

>>> James Joyner 09/08/03 10:15AM >>>

We will accommodate this without problem. Someone needs to define what we will pay. For example, I'm told she left SC for NJ on Friday. Do we pay for her meals and lodging from Friday until she returns to SC? If we're only be asked to pay for her transportation (either via personal vehicle, rental, or airline), we can do that. Our travel folks should not be put in the position of having to decide what reimbursement she is entitled to.

>>> Hubert J. Miller 09/05/03 05:43PM >>>

me too

>>> A. Randolph Blough 09/05/03 05:07PM >>>

I would be in favor of paying for her travel if there's any legal way. I believe we have done invitational travel before for pligrim and millstone allegers in decades past.  
randy

>>> David Vito 09/05/03 04:51PM >>>

After several conversations back and forth with the allegor and the allegor's attorney, I have confirmed that they will come for the interview on Tuesday 9/9/03 at 10:00 a.m. We have informed Eileen Neff so that she can arrange for the court reporter.

The allegor indicated that she would be leaving South Carolina this evening, so that she can have some time to go through the documentation she has at her home in New Jersey before coming to KOP. I told her that we may want copies of some or all of the additional information she brings, and she has no problem with that. She indicated that she will bring the audio tapes as long as we can copy them and get them back to her. I told her that I was pretty sure that we could do that.

When I initially called her back this afternoon, I made sure that she understood that we are giving a lot of attention to activities at Salem/HC, and that we have accelerated our normal processes in response to the concerns she has raised. I told her that I wanted to assure her that we were not just waiting for her interview before doing anything, because she had given me some impresion in a call this morning that we might not be acting quickly enough. She assured me that that was not her intention in the earlier call. My overall impression is that as she has gotten more deeply into this matter with us, she realized that she wanted to have the face-to-face discussion with us more quickly than the originally planned date. Her attorney offered that he had the same impression, indicating that she "wants to get this off her chest."

She (and her attorney) also made a couple of requests. First, her attorney asked if it was possible for the NRC to pay for her transportation here, as she had informed him (after he inquired) that I had not made any offer to reimburse her for her expenses. I told the attorney that I was not sure about the answer and that I would have to get back to him. He said that was OK, and that if any reimbursement was possible, it would be no problem if it was provided after the fact. In a subsequent call with the allegor, she wanted to make sure that I understood that she didn't even intially think about reimbursement and that that was not an issue for her, but that her attorney had suggested that the question be asked. I assured her that I would ask cognizant staff here in the Region about how this is handled and get back to her.

She also asked me if Hub would be in the office next Tuesday. I told her that I did not know what his schedule was or whether he would even be in the office that day. She indicated that, if at all possible, she

B-229

wodul like to meet Hub. I told her that I would get back to her on this.

CC: A. Randolph Blough; Brian Holian; Ernest Wilson; Glenn Meyer; James Wiggins;  
Jeffrey Teator