From:

Joseph Schoppy

To:

David Vito; Raymond Lorson

Date:

10/22/03 9:33AM

Subject:

Re: HC allegation scan

When one is "second guessed" on many occasions, one develops a knack for "first guesses."

>>> Raymond Lorson 10/22/03 09:17AM >>> My guess is that you are a good guesser!

>>> David Vito 10/22/03 09:01AM >>>

I'm not an "expert".....it's just my opinion. Perhaps you should discuss with DRP/DRS division management (and my guess is that Hub/Jim may also want to throw their two cents in) to get their perspective.

>>> Raymond Lorson 10/22/03 08:53AM >>> Dave:

You are the expert. I am just concerned that we are going to do our standard inspection, and no one provides any adverse info and publish a conclusion that may be different from the more exhaustive look that OI and others are working on. Maybe the best approach is to do the inspection and then make a decision later on how to document so we dont impact anything that you are working on.

Ray

>>> David Vito 10/22/03 08:47AM >>>

My preference would be that we do not skip the SCWE part of the P&IR. In fact, I think is should be a point of emphasis. The alleger's issues, while they do deal with the entire site, focus more on Salem than Hope Creek. A focus on SCWE during the HC PI&R will help us gain more prespective.

>>> Raymond Lorson 10/22/03 07:53AM >>> Joe, Dave etc.

I am wondering if we skip the SCWE part of the 711152 procedure in light of the on-going activities. We should get sufficient insight into performance in this area based on the allegation follow-up??

Ray

>>> Joseph Schoppy 10/22/03 06:15AM >>> Dave,

I'm prepping for the upcoming Hope Creek PI&R inspection. Given the recent rumblings on the Island, I'm planning on giving SCWE a hard look - as much as we can under 71152. Can you provide a quick overview (status and trend) of Hope Creek allegations over the past two years? Thanks.

Joe

CC:

Glenn Meyer

B'252