From:

Hubert J. Miller

To:

A. Randolph Blough 10/21/03 4:15PM

Date: Subject:

Re: Salem allegation status

I agree completely I hope my note did not suggest otherwise. My point in the note to Sam about providing Q&As to him and others in HQ was to keep people informed of what we plan to say rather than to suggest that they do the talking.

I have probably already been given the Q&As but have not dug that deep in my inbox today. Hopefully, I will be able to help with a review and any feedback I might have shortly.

>>> A. Randolph Blough 10/21/03 03:19PM >>>

Hub, regarding PAO involvement, I recommended to Diane that she try to have RI PAO fielding any media/public questions should they occur - she agreed and will try to set it up that way. Given the intracacies of dealing with an issue that may involve, at various stages, an evolving combinations of public and nonpublic info and identities, I feel that the local PAO will be better able to responsively field questions w/out violating any agency policy.

>>> Hubert J. Miller 10/21/03 02:37PM >>>

Sam, the staff here is drafting some Q&As reflecting the points captured in my previous two pager. (I requested they be prepared yesterday and understand a draft is already circulating....) We should be able to forward this to you and others in the next day or so.

A special communications team meeting was held today. It includes OCA/PAO/EDO/NRR/OI reps. We'll keep you posted.

Hub

>>> Samuel Collins 10/21/03 10:06AM >>>

Hub, thanks for the update. At the Chairman's briefing this morning this topic was raised in the context of preparation of "talking points" for OPA/Staff in the event the alleger goes public and the NRC needs to respond....your thoughts? Sam

>>> Hubert J. Miller 10/16/03 12:08PM >>>

CC:

Beh; David Vito; Glenn Meyer; James Wiggins; Scott Barber; Wayne Lanning

B' 250