

The following Salem/HC Issues were derived from interviews. They do not constitute safety issues, but are reflective of slow corrective actions, operator workarounds, or may have been caused by schedule pressures or weak follow through by engineering, maintenance or operations personnel and management.

Handwritten: 2-23-06

| No | Technical/Workaround/Schedule Pressure issues | Source |
|----|--|----------------|
| 1 | The Salem Boric Acid Tank Installed Level Device has been replaced with a piece of tygon tube. This is a long standing workaround that indicated slow corrective action and a willingness to live with problems. | 11/6 interview |
| 2 | The SW discharge xconnect valves (SW-17) have significant seat leakage that challenges the #2 & #4 bay installed and temporary sump capability during surveillance testing | 11/6 interview |
| 3 | The Salem Unit 3 Starting Air compressors are totally unreliable. A N2 tank truck is on-site to backup the compressors when they fail. This is a long standing workaround that indicated slow corrective action and a willingness to live with problems. | 11/6 interview |
| 4 | Prior to INPO arriving in the spring of 2003, EMIS tags were removed from plant equipment. | 11/6 interview |
| 5 | During a hurried attempt to fill a SW loop, an NEO was put into an unsafe condition under the Salem Unit 2 RAP tanks. Looking for boundary valve leakage with extension cords and high intensity lights in the area. | 11/6 interview |
| 6 | A drain tank installed for 15 CFCU at its containment penetration to collect known leakage filled up and is dripping on ductwork. This is a known problem that needs more attention. | 11/6 interview |

G:\DRP\BRANCH3\Allegation SCWE\tech issue punch list.wpd