RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

2006 FEB 22 PM 2:49

RECEIVED

1/11/06
71FR 1774
50

E-RIDS=ADM-03
Add=
C. Raynor (CLR)

SISP Review Complete

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

R. Emmis (RXE)

Template=ADM-013

Jesse Lepkoff
314 Upper Houghton Rd.
Brattleboro, VT 05301

WHITE RIVER JUNCTION VT
M
2006

Chief Rules + Directives
Branch
Div. of Admin. Services
Office of Administration
USNRC WASHINGTON DC
20555-0001

February 2, 2006

To Whom it may concern

Concerning page 1774 of The Federal Register/Vol 71, No. 7/Wednesday, January 11, 2006, I feel very strongly that an independent Safety assessment is called for. The risk assessments in the draft Safety Evaluation released by the NRC assumes that the reactor is brand new. Not much is known about how the aged components of the reactor will hold up with an increase that may expose hidden weaknesses.

Also the Experimental Ascension test is just that "an Experiment" with the communities surrounding the plant as guinea pigs - putting the population at risk is irresponsible.

Jesse Lepkoff